Exhibit B

EXHIBIT 2

		Page 1
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	IN RE: UBER)Case No.	
	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)3:23-md-03084-	
6	PASSENGER SEXUAL)CRB(LJC)	
	ASSAULT LITIGATION)	
7)	
	This Document Relates)	
8	to:	
	ALL ACTIONS)	
9)	
10		
11		
12	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
13	BRUCE WEINER	
14	New York, New York	
15	Tuesday, October 28, 2025	
16		
17		
18		
19	Reported By:	
20	CATHI IRISH, RPR, CRR, CLVS	
21		
22		
23		
24		
	Job No. CS7657810	
25		

Page 2 October 28, 2025 9:05 a.m. Remote videotaped deposition of BRUCE WEINER, with all participants appearing via videoconference, before Cathi Irish, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public of the State of New York.

		Page 3
1		
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE CONWAY	
5	& WISE LLP	
6	Attorneys for MDL Plaintiffs	
7	1519 Robert C. Blakes Sr. Drive	
8	New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
9	BY: TIFFANY ELLIS, ESQ.	
10	SARA CRAIG, ESQ.	
11		
12	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
13	Attorneys for Defendant	
14	1301 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	
15	Washington, D.C. 20004	
16	BY: ALEXANDRA CARITIS, ESQ.	
17	PAUL COTLER, ESQ.	
18		
19	HAUSFELD LLP	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21	1 Marina Park Drive	
22	Suite 1410	
23	Boston, Massachusetts 02210	
24	BY: STEVEN ROTMAN, ESQ.	
25		

		Page 4
1		
2	APPEARANCES: (continued)	
3		
4	SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLP	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	112 Madison Avenue	
7	7th Floor	
8	New York, New York 10016	
9	BY: ELLYN HURD, ESQ.	
10		
11	ANAPOL WEISS	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	60 South 6th Street	
14	Suite 2800	
15	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	
16	BY: HOLLY DOLEJSI, ESQ.	
17		
18		
19	ALSO PRESENT:	
20	BAILEY FINNESTAD, videographer	
21	CURTIS DELANEY	
22	REILLY DUNNE	
23	JONATHAN JAFFE	
24		
25		

Page 5 1 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're going on the record at 9:05 a.m. 3 Eastern time on October 28, 2025. 4 Please note that this deposition is 5 being conducted virtually. Quality of 6 7 recording depends on the quality of camera and Internet connection of 8 9 participants. What is seen from the witness and heard on screen is what 10 11 will be recorded. Audio and video 12 recording will continue to take place 13 unless all parties agree to go off the record. 14 15 This is media unit 1 of the video 16 recorded deposition of Bruce Weiner in 17 the matter of In Re Uber Rideshare 18 Technologies Passenger Sexual Assault 19 Litigation, filed in the United States 2.0 District Court, Northern District of 21 California, San Francisco Division. The case number is 3:23-md-03084-CRB 22 23 (LJC). 24 This deposition is being 25 conducted remotely using virtual

		Page 6
1		
2	technology.	
3	My name is Bailey Finnestad	
4	representing Veritext Legal Solutions	
5	and I'm the videographer. The court	
6	reporter is Cathi Irish from the firm	
7	Veritext Legal Solutions.	
8	I am not authorized to administer	
9	an oath, I'm not related to any party	
10	in this action, nor am I financially	
11	interested in the outcome.	
12	Appearances will be reflected in	
13	the stenographic record.	
14	Will the court reporter please	
15	swear in the witness?	
16	BRUCE WEINER, called as a	
17	witness, having been duly sworn by a	
18	Notary Public, was examined and	
19	testified as follows:	
20	MS. ELLIS: Counsel, before we	
21	begin, as I told you before we went on	
22	the record, Mr. Weiner has a short	
23	notice that he needs to put on the	
24	record.	
25	MS. CARITIS: Understood.	

		Page 7
1	WEINER	
2	THE WITNESS: So all I have to	
3	say here is that the views and	
4	testimony I provide today are my own	
5	and are not related to my employment	
6	at the New York Federal Reserve Bank.	
7	The New York Federal Reserve Bank has	
8	no stance on this litigation.	
9	EXAMINATION	
10	BY MS. CARITIS:	
11	Q. Thank you, Mr. Weiner. I just	
12	introduced myself a few minutes ago off	
13	the record. My name is Alex Caritis and I	
14	represent Uber in this case. I will say I	
15	am losing my voice or lost it, it's coming	
16	back. I'm going to make it through but if	
17	there's ever a time when you can't hear me	
18	or understand, it will be the first that	
19	someone tells me to speak up, but please	
20	do.	
21	Same, Cathi, if you can't hear	
22	me, please just let me know.	
23	So I apologize for any	
24	inconvenience but I wanted to make sure	
25	you should always feel comfortable to say,	

		Page 8
1	WEINER	
2	Alex, can you restate that, I can't hear	
3	you.	
4	A. Sure.	
5	Q. You already just provided a short	
6	statement but could you please introduce	
7	yourself to the jury, stating your full	
8	name?	
9	A. Sure. My name is Bruce Weiner.	
10	I am technology and product development	
11	expert in this case.	
12	Q. And Mr. Weiner, we are conducting	
13	this deposition remotely. And it's my	
14	understanding that plaintiff's counsel is	
15	in the room with you; is that correct?	
16	A. She's sitting right next to me.	
17	Q. Okay. Where are you both	
18	physically located?	
19	A. We're at a law office and I don't	
20	know the exact address. Do you have that,	
21	Tiffany?	
22	MS. ELLIS: 112 Madison Avenue.	
23	BY MS. CARITIS:	
24	Q. And whose law office is that, is	
25	that Ms. Ellis's law office?	

Page 9 1 WEINER The law office of 2 MS. ELLIS: Simmons Hanly Conroy. 3 BY MS. CARITIS: 4 And Mr. Weiner, is there anybody 5 0. else in the room with you besides 6 Ms. Ellis? 7 At this moment in this conference 8 Α. 9 room there are just the two of us. 10 And we are talking via screen so 11 I assume you have a computer screen in front of you; is that right? 12 13 Α. That is exactly correct. 14 Okay. And do you have any e-mail Ο. 15 or messaging applications up along with 16 the Zoom platform? 17 Α. No, I've used a computer that was 18 provided to me here, which only has Zoom 19 on it. Great. And I would just ask that 2.0 O. 21 throughout the duration of on-the-record 22 time you please not refer to any e-mails 23 or messaging applications either on the 24 computer you're utilizing or on your cell 25 phone; is that fair?

Page 10 1 WEINER 2 Absolutely. I do have certain Α. 3 documents here printed out. I assume I can refer to those? 4 5 Ο. Certainly. And you just anticipated my next question. I was going 6 7 to ask what documents, if any, you have in 8 front of you, so can you please let me 9 know what you have next to you? 10 Α. Sure. I have my report printed 11 out right here next to me. We have the 12 ISO standards and IEEE standards that were 13 referred to in my case. And then we have 14 a couple of documents, I believe counsel 15 informed you of, that were recently 16 de-designated or part of recent depositions as depo aids that I have in 17 the room as well. 18 19 Okay. Can you provide a little Ο. 2.0 more information about what those 21 deposition aids are, please? Sure. I have a document which is 22 23 the global feature definition of S-RAD and the U.S. regional additional feature 24 deposition for S-RAD. I have an S-RAD 25

Page 11 1 WEINER 2 document called Safety Risk Dispatch. have a baseline S-RAD global model. I 3 4 have a depo aid from Ms. Esteves's deposition which covers all of the safety 5 6 features that she was prepared to speak 7 about at the 30(b)(6) on deposition. And 8 then I have Sunny Wong's deposition aid 9 that refers to the S-RAD scores for the Wave 1 cases. I also have the depositions 10 11 of the Wave 1 defendants. 12 Sorry, to be clear, you have the Ο. depositions of the five Wave 1 plaintiffs? 13 Plaintiffs, plaintiffs, my 14 15 apologies. 16 Were any of these materials Ο. 17 provided to you after you issued your September 26, 2025 report? 18 19 Α. The few de-designated documents 2.0 that I mentioned were made available after 21 they were de-designated, which came after 22 my report, and the Sunny Wong deposition 23 was just last week, I believe. 24 Did any of the information 25 provided to you in the documents that

Page 12 1 WEINER you're calling de-designated documents and 2 3 the Sunny Wong deposition that occurred concerning S-RAD more recently change any 4 of your opinions in your September 26, 5 6 2025 report? 7 No. As of this document the 8 complete set of my opinions are contained 9 in my report. As I mentioned at the end of my report I reserve my right to 10 consider additional materials and I am 11 12 looking at those materials but I have not 13 formed any new opinions based on them at this time. 14 15 Sitting here today, I don't even 16 know what date it is, October 28th about 17 9:00 your time, are you anticipating 18 serving a supplemental or rebuttal expert 19 report in these five Wave 1 cases? 2.0 Α. At this moment I am still 21 reserving the right to submit a supplemental as it relates to some of 22 23 these de-designated and new depositions, but I have not made that determination yet 24

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

until I fully consume and understand the

25

Page 13 1 WEINER materials and have viewed if there are any 2 changes to my opinions, which as of this 3 moment I mention there are not. 4 5 What's your understanding of when Ο. these de-designated documents were 6 7 produced to plaintiffs? I've seen some of these 8 9 de-designated documents. I was actually 10 on retreat after I submitted my report and 11 when I returned, they were made available in Everlaw but I do not know the specific 12 13 date they were de-designated. 14 Do you know the dates that 15 Mr. Wong was deposed concerning S-RAD and 16 Wave 1 plaintiffs? 17 I believe that was last week. 18 don't have the specific date in front of 19 me but again, as I exited my retreat, that 2.0 deposition transcript was made available 21 to me. 22 How did you select which hardcopy 0. documents to have in front of you today? 23 24 I considered the documents that I have read over the last two weeks and 25

Page 14 1 WEINER asked counsel to print a few documents 2 which I might -- thought might be helpful 3 explainer aids if we get into questions 4 that were relevant. 5 So are there any other documents 6 0. 7 that you have physically in front of you 8 today aside from those that you have just 9 referenced for me? 10 Α. I believe I've covered everything 11 in the room. 12 Did you interview anybody in O. 13 connection with your report? There have been no interviews in 14 Α. 15 connection with my report at all. 16 You've been deposed a few times before, that's correct, Mr. Weiner? 17 That is correct. 18 Α. 19 So I don't want to spend a lot of 2.0 time talking through some rules but just 21 want to make sure we're clear on a few 22 You're very good in your cadence things. 23 so I don't anticipate this being a problem. I talk quickly. Again, I will 24 25 try to slow down if you could do the same

Page 15 1 WEINER so that we're giving Cathi a chance to get 2 everything down on the stenographic record 3 that would be great; is that fair? 4 A hundred percent fair. 5 Α. And as I'm sure Ms. Ellis has 6 0. 7 talked to you about or you've experienced 8 before, she has the opportunity to object 9 to form objections. So to allow her an 10 opportunity to interject there, if you 11 could please also pause after I ask my 12 question to provide her an opportunity so 13 that she's not inadvertently speaking over 14 Is that fair? you. 15 That is absolutely fair. Α. 16 We'll take regular breaks today, Ο. I anticipate about every hour along with a 17 little longer one at the lunchtime. 18 19 you ever need a break for any reason, be 2.0 that technology or otherwise, please let 21 me know, I'm happy to do that. I just ask 22 that we answer the pending question before 23 we break. Is that okay? 24 I appreciate that and that seems 25 very fair.

Page 16 1 WEINER And I know that it is bright and 2 Ο. early but I have to ask for purposes of 3 the record, have you had any alcoholic 4 drinks in the past eight hours? 5 I have not had any alcoholic 6 Α. 7 drinks in the past eight hours. 8 Are you on any medication today 9 that might interfere with your ability to 10 give accurate testimony? 11 I am not on any medication today Α. 12 that might interfere with my ability to 13 give accurate testimony today. 14 Is there any other reason that 15 you can think of that you are unable, 16 would be unable to give complete and accurate testimony today? 17 18 Α. I cannot think of any reason I 19 would be unable to give complete and 2.0 accurate testimony today. 21 Okay. Mr. Weiner, when we Ο. 22 started this deposition, you opened up 23 with a short disclaimer making it very 24 clear that you're here today to provide

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

your expert opinion totally independent of

25

Page 17 1 WEINER 2 the work you do for your current employer; is that right? 3 4 That is correct. That is a Α. statement I'm given by my current employer 5 6 to give me the authority and approval to 7 participate in these kinds of activities. 8 Okay. And when you say these 9 types of activities, you mean litigation consulting; is that right? 10 11 I mean litigation consulting Α. 12 that's right. 13 Ο. And you are an electrical 14 engineer and a computer scientist by 15 education and training; is that right? 16 Α. That is correct. 17 And as we just discussed, you O. 18 currently are employed at the Federal 19 Reserve Bank of New York; right? 2.0 Α. I am currently employed at the 21 Federal Reserve Bank of New York. 22 Okay. You're a full-time 0. 23 employee at the Federal Reserve; is that 24 right? That is correct. 25 Α.

Page 18 1 WEINER 2 You said that they approve of you Ο. doing litigation consulting on the side so 3 long as you provide that disclaimer 4 statement first; is that fair? 5 That is correct. 6 Α. 7 Do they set -- does the Federal Q. 8 Reserve set any rules or quidelines 9 concerning when you are to complete your 10 litigation consulting work as opposed to 11 when you are supposed to be doing your day 12 job for the Fed? 13 Α. I do not mix those two things. 14 My day job is my day job with the Fed and 15 my work in litigation consulting is 16 separate and distinct. 17 Ο. What are your hours at the Fed, 18 what's your workday? 19 My workday is sort of 9 to 5 as Α. 2.0 official schedule. Today, for example, I 21 am on a leave day and I am given guite a 22 few leave days throughout the year that I 23 sometimes take to do this litigation 24 consulting work.

Q. Understood. And when you're on a

25

Page 19 1 WEINER leave day from your day job at the Fed, 2 3 are they still paying you for that day? Today is actually a paid time off 4 Α. 5 day, so I am being paid by the Fed as paid time off. 6 7 Are you a salaried employee at Q. 8 the Fed or are you paid hourly? 9 Α. I'm a salaried employee at the Fed. 10 11 What's your annual salary? Q. 12 I don't have that at the tip of Α. 13 my fingers. It is I believe in the high 14 200,000 range. 15 So approximately you make about 16 200,000 a year with the Fed; is that 17 right? 18 Α. When I say high 200,000 range, I 19 believe it's like 260 something. 2.0 O. So 260K a year for your day job, 21 not litigation consulting for the Fed; is 22 that right? 23 Α. That is correct. 24 Has your salary been pretty Ο. constant? You've been with the Fed since 25

Page 20 1 WEINER 2012; is that right, Mr. Weiner? 2 3 My salary has increased every year since 2013, when I joined the Fed. 4 5 I'm sorry, so you joined the Fed 0. in 2013? 6 7 Α. That is correct. 8 And every year since you've 9 joined you've had incremental salary increases; is that right? 10 11 Every year since I have joined I Α. 12 have received an incremental increase to 13 my salary. 14 But as you just told me now, kind 15 of the high end, you're at approximately 16 26,000 a year; fair? 260,000. 17 Α. 18 Ο. Sorry. \$260,000 a year is your 19 current approximate salary for your day 2.0 job at the Fed? 21 Α. That is correct. 22 You just told us that today 23 you're obviously not at the bank, you are 24 here with us. The Fed is paying you 25 today; right?

Page 21 1 WEINER It is a paid time-off day. I am 2 3 authorized and given by the Federal Reserve a set of time off that I can use 4 5 for any personal reason at all. not -- I'm not being paid by the Fed to be 6 here today. 8 And Ms. Ellis and plaintiff's 9 counsel, they are also paying you today; is that right? 10 11 Α. I am paid on an hourly basis for 12 the work that I'm doing, yes. 13 Ο. And that obviously includes the 14 hours that you spend with me today in this 15 conference room; right? 16 Α. That is correct. 17 O. Okay. For your work at the Fed, 18 are you in an office, do you have 19 work-from-home days? What's the structure 2.0 of your work environment? 21 We have recently returned to full Α. time in the office. 22 23 You told me before that when you 24 do your litigation consulting work you 25 really try to keep that separate from the

Page 22 1 WEINER work that you do in your day job at the 2 3 Fed. Did I get that right? 4 Α. Absolutely. 5 Do you ever do any of this litigation consulting work while you were 6 7 sitting at your desk at the Fed? 8 Α. Absolutely not. 9 O. Okay. So if there are instances 10 where you were working for this case 11 Monday through Friday, 9 to 5, would that 12 be error in your invoice? 13 Α. No, I'm pretty familiar with my 14 invoices and I don't remember any times 15 that match those that I didn't take a paid 16 time-off day. But no, my husband's office is about one block from the Fed and if and 17 18 when I needed to do any work during 19 business hours, I would walk over to my 2.0 husband's office to take a call if there 21 was a quick one. 22 Would the Fed permit you to do 23 this litigation consulting work at the 24 bank? 25 Α. I would not be permitted to do

Page 23 1 WEINER this litigation consulting work in the 2 3 bank. Did you disclose to the Fed 4 Ο. 5 this -- let's talk specifically this case. I know you've done other litigation 6 7 consulting work. Did you disclose to the 8 Fed the work that you were specifically 9 doing today in this Uber Rideshare litigation matter? 10 11 So the way it worked with the Fed Α. prior to the last few months, I had to 12 13 disclose any entity that was paying me and then cases that were specific were not 14 15 reviewed but in the recent times, they 16 have begun reviewing the specific cases that I've gotten involved with. 17 18 Ο. Okay, so does that mean they have 19 reviewed this specific case? 2.0 Α. They have reviewed this specific 21 case. What information did you tell 22 23 them about the scope of your retention for 24 this case? 25 Α. They asked exactly three things.

Page 24 1 WEINER They asked who the parties were, they 2 3 asked that I disclose the opinions that I were giving were not related to my work at 4 the Fed so I have to confirm that the work 5 I was doing was not related to my work at 6 7 the Fed, and I had to disclose the law firms that were involved in this case. 8 9 Ο. Okay. But you didn't have to 10 tell them the particular subject matter 11 that you were speaking to; right? 12 Α. I had to confirm that it was not 13 related to my day job. They just asked 14 very specifically that I do not testify on 15 monetary policy implementation technology 16 or consumer banking technology as it relates to my day job at the Fed. 17 18 O. Okay. But this was an easy one 19 because what you're talking about today 2.0 really has nothing to do with your day job 21 at the Fed; fair? 22 That is exactly correct. Α. 23 We're not here today to talk 0. 24 about anything that has to do with 25 monetary policy or banking or any subject

Page 25 1 WEINER matter that you've been employed to deal 2 with from 2013 to present; right? 3 Α. That is correct. 4 And we're going to talk a little 5 Ο. bit about kind of I guess, what, you've 6 7 been at the Fed 12 years now 8 approximately? 9 Α. Approximately. 10 MS. CARITIS: I just want to talk 11 a little bit about the work that you 12 do and are doing at the Fed to get an understanding of your experience. 13 14 First off, if we could just for 15 the record, I understand, Mr. Weiner, 16 you have a hardcopy of your report in 17 front of you. I do, too. I think it will be easier for us to work here but 18 19 for the sake of the record I would 2.0 like to mark as Exhibit 1 Mr. Weiner's 21 expert report dated September 26, 22 2025, and Mr. Delaney, that's tab 1. 23 (Exhibit 1, expert report, marked for identification.) 24 /// 25

Page 26 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. Just for the record and so that 4 we're clear, Mr. Weiner, you see on the 5 screen here this is the cover page of the expert report that you submitted on 6 September 26, 2025 in the Uber Rideshare 7 8 litigation. Do you see that? 9 Α. That's correct. That's not incredibly clear but the one in front of 10 11 me is incredibly clear. 12 Perfect. That's why I think it Ο. 13 will be best for us to use the paper copy. I'm sure Ms. Ellis has supplied this for 14 15 you or can show you at break, but you will 16 also have the ability to download any of the documents that Mr. Delaney projects on 17 18 the screen if you want to confirm 19 completeness or if it's a document that 2.0 you don't have in front of you, you need 21 to read a little bit better because my 22 eyeballs can barely see that so feel free 23 anytime to pull it down itself. For purposes of going through your report 24 25 right now, I'll represent to you that

Page 27 1 WEINER Exhibit 1 is a full and complete set of 2 3 what was served to us on September 26, 2025, including your exhibits and some of 4 the appendices, so we'll just be referring 5 to Exhibit 1 as the complete set; is that 6 fair? 7 That is fair. 8 Α. 9 O. All right. So I want to turn in 10 your report to your CV. And that's, 11 Mr. Delaney, pdf 135. It's Exhibit A. 12 I'm there. Let me know when you're there. Sure. Give me one moment. I 13 Α. 14 have that up in front of me. 15 Great. And it is also on the 16 screen as well. 17 Mr. Weiner, the CV that you 18 included in your expert report, that's an 19 accurate and up-to-date résumé; is that 20 right? 21 That is correct. Α. 22 You had an opportunity to review 23 it before you submitted it; right? 24 That is correct. Α. 25 Q. Had an opportunity to add any

Page 28 1 WEINER relevant experience or skills before you 2 submitted it in this litigation; is that 3 4 fair? Α. That is correct. 5 You would agree that it sets out 6 Ο. 7 your relevant work experience; right? My curriculum vitae is a 8 9 chronological record of the employment that I have in the past. It is not meant 10 11 to be a comprehensive document, it is 12 meant to be a chronological record. 13 Ο. Okay. So you have laid out your 14 key experiences and skills in your 15 LinkedIn profile; fair? 16 Yes, it has experiences at my 17 LinkedIn profile that you took a look at 18 yesterday as I --19 That's hilarious. I did. Ο. You 2.0 caught me. Thanks LinkedIn. 21 MS. CARITIS: If we could just as 22 Exhibit 2 -- Mr. Delaney it's tab 2, 23 it's the LinkedIn profile. (Exhibit 2, LinkedIn profile, 24 marked for identification.) 25

Page 29 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. We might look at some of these together so I want to enter them now. So 4 5 we'll put up on the screen, Mr. Weiner, 6 for you, your LinkedIn profile. I just 7 want you to confirm that this looks to be 8 your profile and ask you a few questions 9 about it in a bit. Does this look like 10 the LinkedIn profile that you would have 11 put online? 12 Α. I've never seen this particular 13 format. If you give me just a second. 14 Sure. And I'll represent to you Ο. 15 that when you're on LinkedIn and you click 16 download it creates and generates this 17 format but this was pulled directly from the LinkedIn profile. 18 I do believe this is the most 19 Α. 2.0 current information from LinkedIn from 21 what I'm seeing here. 22 When is the last time you updated 23 your LinkedIn profile? 24 I made a small adjustment earlier 25 this week to the summary, which I'm

Page 30 1 WEINER 2 looking at and recognizing. 3 0. Okay, what changes did you make to the summary? 4 5 Α. I added the paragraph in addition to my public sector leadership, I serve as 6 7 a testifying and consulting expert witness. 8 9 O. Let's actually look at that whole 10 sentence you wrote. I serve as 11 a testifying -- sorry about that. I serve as a testifying and 12 13 consulting expert witness in matters 14 involving software engineering, AI and 15 algorithmic systems, source code analysis, 16 patent and trade secret disputes and 17 complex software project performance. 18 Do you see that? 19 Α. T do. 2.0 And you said that you just Ο. 21 updated this section recently; is that 22 right? 23 Α. Yes, this case -- just added set of cases to my background and I updated 24 this as well. 25

Page 31 1 WEINER 2 MS. CARITIS: Okay. Where is 3 another -- and we're going to get this into the record so we have it handy. 4 5 I'm going to enter as Exhibit 3, Mr. Delaney, what we have as tab 3. 6 7 (Exhibit 3, LinkedIn experience page, marked for identification.) 8 9 BY MS. CARITIS: And we'll see this in a second, 10 Ο. 11 Mr. Weiner. This will probably look more familiar. It's literally a screenshot of 12 13 your experience section as you identified 14 it on LinkedIn. It's a few pages so 15 Mr. Delaney, if we could just maybe scroll 16 so that Mr. Weiner can take a look and, of course, that will be easier. 17 Α. I'm familiar with this. This is 18 familiar to me. 19 2.0 Okay, perfect. And you created O. 21 this LinkedIn experience section; right? I created and maintain this 22 23 LinkedIn experience section, correct. 24 When is the last time you updated 25 or reviewed your experience section on

Page 32 1 WEINER 2 LinkedIn? 3 Α. There was a change to my title as 4 chief product owner of the markets transformation program is the most recent 5 6 change that was made to this profile. 7 recently spoke at a conference in Germany called Sibos 2025 and the ethics 8 9 department at the Federal Reserve asked me to use my precise title as it exists in 10 11 the system of record at the Federal 12 Reserve instead of what I had there, which 13 is more of an anecdotal type. 14 Can you see that there, along 15 with your specific titles and dates of 16 employment, there's also various sections 17 throughout your profile where you identify relevant skills. Do you see that? 18 19 Α. ob T 2.0 You selected those relevant skill Ο. 21 sets; is that fair? 22 I don't remember how those Α. 23 particular skill sets get selected in 24 particular, no. But I am definitely sure that I have reviewed those. 25

Page 33 1 WEINER No one else did it for you, I 2 3 quess would be a better word. Perhaps it was automated, but somebody else didn't go 4 5 in there and suggest that you had skills in IT strategy and e-commerce, for 6 7 example? 8 Α. I believe it pulled those with 9 the help of its own computer systems but 10 yes, I reviewed those. 11 You reviewed them and you agreed Ο. 12 that those are the relevant skill sets 13 associated with your past experiences? 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 You may answer, Mr. Weiner. Ο. 17 I agree that they are accurate 18 representations but I will also state in 19 no way, shape or form do I attempt to make 2.0 any comprehensive record on LinkedIn. 21 The point of LinkedIn, though, is 0. 22 to make it very clear to colleagues or 23 potential employers the key experiences 24 that you've had across your career; right? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

Page 34 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. You may answer. The point of LinkedIn is a tool 4 Α. in social media to share information. 5 6 Why are you sharing the Ο. information? 7 I am sharing information on 8 9 LinkedIn to keep colleagues and friends up to date on the status of my ongoing 10 11 efforts. 12 Ο. And you wouldn't want to 13 misrepresent your experience or your skills to your colleagues and friends; 14 15 fair? 16 I do not believe I have 17 misrepresented my skills on LinkedIn. 18 Ο. I'm not suggesting you are. I'm 19 just confirming you wouldn't do that. You 2.0 want to be accurate and complete in 21 describing your experience on LinkedIn? 22 I want to be accurate. I would Α. 23 not agree with the word complete. 24 is no value or benefit to trying to make 25 LinkedIn a complete record other than when

Page 35 1 WEINER attorneys such as yourself want to 2 cross-reference it in depositions to my 3 CV. So I'm careful to make those aligned. 4 We'll look at some other times 5 Ο. that you market yourself and see how you 6 7 describe yourself there, but understood on 8 how you are caveating what you have on 9 LinkedIn today. 10 Let's look back at your CV. So 11 it was in the paper copy so actually -- so 12 Mr. Delaney, we can keep this up here on 13 the screen and we'll take a look at the 14 paper copy of Mr. Weiner's CV. 15 I'd like to start with, 16 Mr. Weiner, you have a summary at the top of your CV and you describe yourself as a 17 18 technology leader at the Federal Reserve Bank; right? 19 2.0 Α. That is correct. 21 Fair to lead with the Fed, you've Ο. 22 been at the Fed since 2012; right? 23 Α. Absolutely. And you then, your first current 24 25 experience or your only current

Page 36 1 WEINER 2 experience, you outline some of the work that you've done at the Fed. Do you see 3 that there on the top kind of first big 4 chunk under current experience? 5 Α. That is correct. 6 7 Okay. We already talked about Q. 8 this but you would agree with me that the 9 work that you do and have done since 2012 at the Fed is not related to the work 10 11 we're doing here today in this litigation; 12 right? 13 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I think related to 14 THE WITNESS: 15 is not a word I can agree with. As a 16 technology leader at the New York Fed, 17 I am a student of and I use standards 18 and approaches and methodologies that 19 are not specific to my work at the 2.0 New York Fed in monetary policy 21 implementation and customer banking, 22 but more generically applied to the 23 technology and product development 24 Those insights that I industries. 25 learn, those conferences that I

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 37 1 WEINER 2 attend, that work that I do is not out of scope of my consideration of the 3 materials in this case by any means. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 You told me before that the only 6 Ο. 7 reason the Fed let you testify today was because the scope of your opinion was 8 9 not -- and I'll use a different word -not directly related to the work you do at 10 11 the Fed; fair? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 13 THE WITNESS: They are very 14 specific and I have a great working 15 relationship with the ethics 16 department and we collaborate quite 17 closely because I've been doing this 18 expert work for 13 years. 19 specific request from the New York Fed 2.0 is that I not speak on any topic of 21 monetary policy or customer banking. 22 They are not trying to manage my 23 knowledge in the industry and my 24 expertise, they are trying to ensure I do not disclose any confidential 25

	Page 38
1	WEINER
2	information or leverage my work in
3	monetary policy implementation or
4	customer banking outside of my role in
5	the New York Fed.
6	BY MS. CARITIS:
7	Q. Okay. Throughout your blurb
8	related to your work at the Fed, you would
9	agree with me that there is the word
10	"safety" is not referenced once; right?
11	MS. ELLIS: Objection. What are
12	you referencing?
13	MS. CARITIS: I'm referencing his
14	CV, the current experience.
15	MS. ELLIS: It's confusing, is
16	there something on the screen or
17	looking at the paper? So can we
18	just
19	MS. CARITIS: Yep, sure. I said
20	that before.
21	BY MS. CARITIS:
22	Q. Mr. Weiner, to be super clear
23	we're going to look at the paper copy of
24	your CV, that's what I've been discussing
25	now. We have a different document up on

Page 39

1 WEINER

2 the screen because we might compare it in

the screen because we might compare it in a little bit but for now we're going to look at the paper copy of your CV that's in front of you; is that okay?

2.0

- A. Absolutely. This is not -- none of this is intended to be a comprehensive or complete view but yes, the word safety does not appear on the page as it relates. I have elaborated in my report where there are aspects of safety considerations that I made throughout my career, and we can speak to any of those specifically as they appear in my report.
- Q. Okay. And again, just looking at the CV blurb that you included in your report, your CV, the word risk management is not included in your description of your work at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, just those words; is that right?
- A. The title is chief product coder. The word risk management does not appear but I believe if you look at any definition of chief product owner in the public available zone, you'll find that a

Page 40 1 WEINER 2 chief product owner must very actively consider risk management in the role of 3 delivering that work. 4 5 Okay. And the risks that your --Ο. to the extent that your job does involve 6 7 managing risk, you're not managing any sort of risk of bodily safety; is that 8 9 right? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 In my role at the THE WITNESS: 12 New York Fed, there's not a particular 13 risk of bodily safety involved in the 14 systems that I develop. (Inaudible) 15 financial crime compliance. Financial 16 crime compliance is a criminal aspect that is considered in the risks that I 17 18 manage but there's no bodily harm in 19 the risks that I manage. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 So similarly there's no sexual assault or sexual misconduct risk that you 22 23 particularly are tasked with managing in 24 your role at the Federal Reserve; is that 25 right?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 41	
1	WEINER	
2	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
3	THE WITNESS: I am not currently	
4	considering in the products that I	
5	develop a specific risk of sexual	
6	assault as it relates to the products	
7	that I'm developing. I manage a book	
8	of products and a set of risks and I	
9	apply industry standards to those	
10	risks as I go through the product	
11	development lifecycle.	
12	BY MS. CARITIS:	
13	Q. You would agree that different	
14	risks require different responses?	
15	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
16	THE WITNESS: The way I would	
17	state that is that every risk, there's	
18	a careful consideration,	
19	documentation, analysis and that the	
20	exact response to one risk is not the	
21	exact response to another risk.	
22	BY MS. CARITIS:	
23	Q. So in your experience at the Fed,	
24	if you're responding to financial crimes,	
25	that risk requires a different response	

Page 42 1 WEINER and analysis than a risk of sexual 2 misconduct; fair? 3 Objection, form. 4 MS. ELLIS: It is true that the 5 THE WITNESS: response to financial crime is 6 7 different than the response to sexual 8 assault. 9 BY MS. CARITIS: 10 Ο. Before you worked at the Federal 11 Reserve, you founded a technology 12 consulting company for loyalty marketing 13 programs and travel-related service 14 organizations; is that right? 15 That is correct. Α. 16 Just so we're all on the same Ο. 17 page, I'm looking now at the second page 18 of your CV. You note at the top 19 Weiner.net, LLC, you worked there from 2.0 2008 to 2012; is that right? 21 That is correct. The entity Α. 22 Weiner.net, LLC was founded before that 23 but I fully focused my efforts on 24 Weiner.net during that time period. 25 Q. You say that you were focused on

		Page	43
1	WEINER		
2	loyalty and affiliate marketing programs.		
3	When you're talking about loyalty		
4	programs, those are programs like United's		
5	Mileage Plus program, do I have that		
6	right?		
7	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.		
8	THE WITNESS: United's Mileage		
9	Plus program is an example of loyalty		
10	program. There are loyalty programs		
11	across many industries, like car		
12	rental companies, the food service		
13	companies have loyalty programs,		
14	automobile companies have loyalty		
15	programs, they are quite widespread.		
16	BY MS. CARITIS:		
17	Q. You would agree though that a lot		
18	of your career was focused on the loyalty		
19	programs for airlines and car rental		
20	companies; is that right?		
21	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.		
22	THE WITNESS: The		
23	characterization of my career that way		
24	is something I think I need to just		
25	address with a clear clarification and		

		Page 44
1	WEINER	
2	that is my career over the 37 years	
3	that I've been working has been in	
4	product development technology	
5	leadership and software engineering,	
6	and my opinions, as they have been	
7	enumerated in this report, are based	
8	on that career. Those industries,	
9	product development for technology	
10	companies, have common elements that	
11	apply universally and as you've seen	
12	are documented in certain ISO	
13	standards. What I have not done in my	
14	time at Weiner.net is the specific	
15	work in, say, rideshare that you seem	
16	to be referring to.	
17	BY MS. CARITIS:	
18	Q. Okay, we'll get to all that in a	
19	minute. I understand you want to make	
20	sure that you can explain how you're	
21	qualified. I just want to talk literally	
22	about what's in your résumé.	
23	A. Okay.	
24	Q. So I'm literally looking at the	
25	words on your résumé here. So when you're	

Page 45 1 WEINER at Weiner.net, still at the top of the 2 second page, you identify kind of two 3 bullets that seem to highlight some 4 accomplishments that you're particularly 5 proud of. One, you say the first achieved 6 7 a 30 percent reduction in infrastructure costs for a client. Do you see that? 8 9 Α. I do see that. 10 Ο. Okay. So this project, you were 11 able to save your client a whole lot of 12 money by negotiating, selecting and 13 managing a cyber security agreement; is 14 that right? 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form to 16 the extent there is some prefacing of 17 the question there that mischaracterizes it. 18 19 And again, THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.0 what you said is not correct. I can 21 read it for you if you'd like. BY MS. CARITIS: 22 23 Ο. Sure. 24 It's a 30 percent reduction in 25 infrastructure costs for a client by

Page 46 1 WEINER selecting, negotiating and managing a 2 3 hosting and cyber security agreement for a 4 global set of transactional systems. 5 Ο. Got it. So you wanted to emphasize hosting. Why is that, why was 6 7 hosting important for you to emphasize? This was a Cloud endeavor and 8 Α. 9 again shows modern technology becoming 10 part of my day-to-day work. 11 The modern technology, this was O. in 2012; right? 12 13 Α. The technology was becoming much 14 more popular in 2008 to 2012, yes. 15 Here again you've got Weiner.net 16 your experience that predated the Federal Just in this blurb, the words on 17 Reserve. 18 the page, I don't see the word safety 19 anywhere; is that right? 2.0 Α. While the word safety does not 21 appear on the page, as the chief 22 information officer for three start-ups, 23 there are times when all sorts of risks need to be considered in the product 24 development lifecycle, some of which, one 25

Page 47 1 WEINER 2 in particular, had a safety aspect to it. We'll talk about that in a minute 3 4 but in your résumé, instead of highlighting any sort of safety win, you 5 noted a 30 percent reduction in 6 infrastructure costs; is that fair? 7 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 THE WITNESS: That's exactly what 10 it says on the page in bullet one, 11 that I achieved a 30 percent reduction in infrastructure costs. 12 13 BY MS. CARITIS: 14 Okay. You here noted that you 15 served as a launch CIO. That's a chief 16 information officer; is that right, 17 Mr. Weiner? That refers specifically to a 18 Α. chief information officer. 19 2.0 Okay, and you noted for three Ο. 21 start-ups. I've seen some references to 22 those start-ups but what were the three 23 start-ups that you're referring to in that 24 second bullet there? Those were confidential client 25 Α.

		Page	48
1	WEINER		
2	relationships. I can talk in a general		
3	way about the work that I did but I cannot		
4	disclose the clients due to		
5	confidentiality agreements.		
6	Q. Okay, those confidentiality		
7	agreement are still in place despite these		
8	engagements being over a decade old?		
9	A. I believe you're familiar with		
10	ethics and consulting in management		
11	consulting. My clients' agreements did		
12	not have a particular end date to them.		
13	They were meant for me to keep		
14	confidential the relationships that I had		
15	during all of my consulting career.		
16	Q. Are those three start-ups still		
17	active today?		
18	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.		
19	THE WITNESS: None of those		
20	current start-ups are currently active		
21	start-ups.		
22	BY MS. CARITIS:		
23	Q. But it's still your position you		
24	can't tell me who they are?		
25	A. I cannot disclose the clients		

Page 49 1 WEINER that hired me for those start-ups. I can 2 3 talk in a general way about the work that I did as it's relevant to the 4 5 consideration of my expertise in this 6 case. 7 Okay. I actually don't want you Q. 8 to caveat it to why it's relevant to the 9 expertise in this case, I just want understand what you can tell me about 10 11 these three start-ups. So what was the 12 sector of the three start-ups? 13 Α. One of the start-ups was in the 14 travel and loyalty sector. One was in the 15 financial services sector. And one was a 16 recording business that was meant to 17 stream video. 18 Ο. We're going to talk about each of 19 those but for the recording business that 2.0 was meant to stream video, does that mean 21 it was able to stream video or it was trying to stream video and wasn't able to 22 23 achieve that? 24 We were successfully able to 25 achieve streaming video but as I

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 50
1	WEINER
2	mentioned, that business is not in
3	existence today.
4	Q. Where were you streaming video
5	from?
6	A. We were streaming video from
7	servers that we had in a hosting facility
8	in New York City to consumers around the
9	world.
10	Q. What sort of video were you
11	streaming?
12	A. These were recorded videos that
13	were published for the sake of being
14	streamed.
15	Q. Were they for entertainment
16	purposes?
17	A. The primary purpose can be
18	characterized as entertainment or
19	learning.
20	Q. It wasn't live streaming, those
21	were prerecorded videos that were stored
22	somewhere and then streamed to an audience
23	elsewhere?
24	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
25	THE WITNESS: These were videos

		Page 51
1	WEINER	
2	that were recorded, saved, transcoded	
3	and streamed specifically.	
4	BY MS. CARITIS:	
5	Q. How what was the volume, how	
6	many videos are we talking that were	
7	stored and streamed?	
8	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
9	THE WITNESS: I'm thinking if I	
10	know that number. If I recollect	
11	clearly there were a number of	
12	hundreds of videos that were recorded	
13	and streamed during the time of this	
14	start-up.	
15	BY MS. CARITIS:	
16	Q. How long you said this	
17	start-up that you're referring to is no	
18	longer in business. How long was it in	
19	business?	
20	A. If my recollection is clear, I	
21	would estimate three years.	
22	Q. And what specifically did you do	
23	to assist in the launch of this recording	
24	business?	
25	A. I was the chief information	

Page 52 1 WEINER officer. My responsibility was standing 2 3 up the technology. That technology ranged from office technology, like phone systems 4 and computers, to building relationships 5 6 with software developers who wrote the 7 software that captured the video and streamed the video on media servers. 8 9 hosting company that housed the servers, 10 the technology providers that provided 11 desktop support to both the users of the 12 service as well as the employees of the 13 company, and I managed and hired the 14 entire technology team that went to 15 continue on after I left my role as the 16 start-up CIO. 17 Ο. How long were you in the role as 18 the start-up CIO? 19 Α. I believe 14 months is the time I 2.0 was the start-up CIO of that particular 21 entity. 22 How many employees did that 0. 23 start-up employ? 24 In terms of direct employees, the 25 time that I was there on the technology

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 53 1 WEINER 2 side, I hired a little less than 20 employees. In terms of the customer 3 4 service team, that was considerably larger and I don't recall the exact number of 5 those employees. 6 7 You mentioned that one of the Ο. 8 things that you did as the start-up CIO 9 was you coordinated with the software 10 developers; is that right? 11 I selected, hired, wrote Α. 12 requirement for, project managed and 13 oversaw the delivery of the software 14 development team. 15 You did not write the software; 16 fair? 17 In that particular case I did not write the software, that is an accurate 18 19 representation. 2.0 O. So the other few start-ups that 21 you told me you were referencing in this 22 bullet here under Weiner.net, LLC was a 23 travel and loyalty start-up company. 24 did that company do? 25 Α. That company served as an online

Page 54 1 WEINER 2 travel agency. 3 0. So that means that I could go to 4 their website and get their help in booking my travel; is that fair? 5 6 That is one of the specific Α. 7 things that company did offer, yes. Okay. Did -- what did you do 8 9 specifically for that company, the travel 10 and loyalty company? 11 As with the other company I Α. described, as the launch CIO I was the 12 first technology leader hired by that 13 company. I had the responsibility for 14 15 setting up all of the infrastructure which 16 involved selecting phone systems and phone routing systems and mobile technology. 17 18 had the responsibility for securing, 19 educating, writing the requirements for 2.0 project managing, problem serving, the 21 software development. I had to negotiate 22 with global distribution systems, systems 23 like Sabre, Amadeus, for the sake of 24 getting access to the global distribution 25 system network for booking travel. I had

Page 55 1 WEINER to engage an outsourced company for the 2 sake of being the call center and I had to 3 make sure that all reporting and financial 4 systems had integrity and were auditable. 5 6 When you say you were reporting, Q. 7 did this travel company have any sort of safety hotline? 8 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. This travel company 10 THE WITNESS: 11 within themselves did not have a safety hotline. They did sell 12 13 insurance, specifically travel 14 insurance, which protected travelers 15 from medical risks when they were 16 abroad. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 Ο. Did you ever tell this company 19 that you were consulting that they should 2.0 put in place a reporting structure so that 21 individuals that are using their service 22 if they are sexual assaulted on a trip 23 they have some way to report that assault? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 25 THE WITNESS: The specific answer

		Page 56
1	WEINER	
2	to your question is that I did not	
3	ever tell that insurance company they	
4	should set up a sexual assault	
5	reporting system for travelers that	
6	were using our insurance policy, no, I	
7	did not.	
8	BY MS. CARITIS:	
9	Q. Just to be clear, when you said a	
10	travel loyalty program, was that an	
11	insurance company or a travel agency?	
12	A. I was at the travel agency. They	
13	hired an insurance company. The insurance	
14	company protected the travelers and in the	
15	specific answer to your question, I did	
16	not coach or guide that insurance company	
17	in setting up a sexual assault hotline or	
18	reporting scheme.	
19	Q. I was asking a different	
20	question. Did you counsel the travel	
21	agency to set up any sort of sexual	
22	assault, sexual misconduct reporting	
23	structure?	
24	A. I	
25	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	

	Page 57
1	WEINER
2	THE WITNESS: I apologize if I
3	misheard your question. Now that I
4	understand it, you're specifically
5	asking if the travel agency set up a
6	hotline for reporting sexual assault
7	and the answer to that question
8	continues to be that we did not take
9	that action during that start-up.
10	BY MS. CARITIS:
11	Q. And there was you didn't
12	counsel them to include any sort of
13	mechanism or way for an individual
14	utilizing their travel agency to report an
15	instance of sexual misconduct; fair?
16	MS. ELLIS: Objection to form.
17	THE WITNESS: I supported a quite
18	extensive feedback system that was
19	made available to the users of the
20	travel agency that had open-ended
21	feedback available to them during that
22	time that I set it up and in the years
23	that followed.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. You did not anywhere, though,

		Page 58
1	WEINER	
2	require or recommend that the travel	
3	agency explicitly include reporting	
4	mechanisms for sexual misconduct, yes or	
5	no, Mr. Weiner?	
6	MS. ELLIS: Objection to form.	
7	THE WITNESS: Sexual misconduct	
8	was not a risk that we were	
9	considering in the formation of that	
10	travel agency, is the specific answer	
11	to your question.	
12	BY MS. CARITIS:	
13	Q. Okay. So sexual assault, sexual	
14	misconduct wasn't a risk that you took	
15	into account when advising the travel	
16	agency; fair?	
17	MS. ELLIS: Object to form.	
18	THE WITNESS: It is accurate to	
19	say that we did not consider sexual	
20	assault or sexual misconduct a	
21	foreseeable risk for this particular	
22	travel agency, that is a very accurate	
23	statement.	
24	BY MS. CARITIS:	
25	Q. Did the travel agency connect	

Page 59 1 WEINER 2 people or book people on cruises? Give me one moment while I try to 3 4 remember. You're having to go back, I 5 6 understand. 7 Cruise booking was available on 8 the travel agency. 9 O. You're aware that there are reported incidents of sexual misconduct 10 11 that occur on cruises; right? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I am not personally 14 aware of reports of sexual assault on 15 cruises. I have not seen an article 16 to that effect. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 Ο. When you were consulting with the 19 travel agency, you didn't look into the 2.0 risks of sexual assault on cruises, for 21 example? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: As I've described, 24 the goal of a product development executive is to consider the risks to 25

Page 60 1 WEINER 2 their users and the use case of the product was to book the travel. We 3 applied insurance for the sake of 4 protecting travelers on their travel. 5 We did not have a product that 6 7 specifically looked to their safety on that travel. Yes, that is a fair 8 9 statement. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 You would agree that the general Q. 12 premise of a travel agency is that it connects an individual with the trip? 13 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 THE WITNESS: Our goal as travel 16 agency was to make available travel 17 options that travelers could book. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 And you -- the travel agency, in Ο. 2.0 fact, booked those options for the 21 individual utilizing the travel agency 22 services? 23 The travel agency booked those trips for the travelers, facilitated the 24 financial transaction and made the 25

Page 61 1 WEINER 2 arrangements. 3 0. So we've talked about two of the start-ups that you mentioned during your 4 time at Weiner.net from 2008 to 2012. 5 second one, the one that's left to talk 6 about is related to financial services. 7 8 What was that start-up doing? The goal of that start-up, which 9 was for a global brand, was to create an 10 11 online institution that could collect deposits and pay high yields. 12 13 Ο. I am not a finance person so can 14 you explain to me a little more like 15 practically if I was trying to utilize the 16 services offered by the financial services 17 start-up what I would do? 18 Α. Sure. I can provide a recent 19 example that might be helpful. You have 2.0 an iPhone, I would presume. On that 21 iPhone you can have an Apple card. Apple 22 card has a high yield savings account 23 associated with the Apple card where when

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

you spend that money that is reported to

you goes into this high-yield savings

24

25

Page 62 1 WEINER account or you could move money into your 2 3 high-yield savings account. That money 4 earns a high rate of interest and you are 5 paid that interest in that high-yield 6 savings account and then you can use that 7 money for any purpose that you like. Understood. Aside from those 8 9 three start-ups that we just discussed, the travel agency, the financial services 10 11 organization and the recording business, 12 were there any other clients that you 13 worked with from 2008 to 2012 through Weiner.net? 14 15 There were quite a few other 16 clients that I worked with during that 17 time period, mostly in the travel and financial services industries. 18 19 MS. ELLIS: Counsel, we're coming 2.0 up on an hour. 21 That's perfect. MS. CARITIS: 22 Why don't we go off the record. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going 24 off the record. This is the end of media unit 1. The time is 10:04. 25

	Page 63
1	WEINER
2	(Recess taken from 10:04 a.m. to
3	10:15 a.m.)
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on
5	the record. This is the beginning of
6	media unit 2. The time is 10:15.
7	BY MS. CARITIS:
8	Q. Mr. Weiner, before we took a
9	short break we were discussing the work
LO	that you did back in the 2008 to 2012 time
L1	frame during your consulting work at
L2	Weiner.net. Do you recall that?
L3	A. Absolutely.
L4	Q. And we've been looking at a
L5	variety of documents that explain your
L6	relevant experience. We were just looking
L7	at your CV and now I'm going to refer to a
L8	paragraph in your report that we've marked
L9	as Exhibit 1. I just want to quickly ask
20	you a few questions about paragraph 15 on
21	page 6 of your expert report.
22	A. Paragraph 15, one second. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. And I just want to confirm
24	a few things here. So in this paragraph,
25	you note that during your time at

Page 64 1 WEINER Weiner.net you helped develop applications 2 3 that were included when the Apple app store and the Android market launched in 4 5 Do you see where you wrote that? Absolutely. 6 Α. 7 Q. Have you developed any apps for the Fed? 8 9 Α. Give me one second. 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 11 To the extent you can talk about it. 12 THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to 13 think if there's anything that is not confidential that I can disclose. 14 15 For the New York Fed I have not 16 developed any apps. I have worked on apps over the last 13 years in my 17 18 personal capacity. BY MS. CARITIS: 19 2.0 O. Okay. You said you worked on 21 When's the last time that you 22 developed an app that was included in the 23 app store either on the Android platform or the Apple platform? 24 25 Α. There is a team in India working

Page 65 1 WEINER 2 for me now. 3 0. Okay. What do you mean by that? Are you -- do you currently have a 4 consulting business outside of your work 5 for the Fed and outside of your expert 6 consulting firm? 7 I am a board member of a 8 9 not-for-profit that is building an app. What is that? 10 Ο. 11 The app is a tool for members of Α. 12 a recovery program to hear prerecorded 13 streaming audio, to look for meetings in 14 that recovery program, and to keep a 15 calendar of meetings around the country. 16 Okay. You said you're working 17 with a team in India. What are you 18 specifically doing to develop the 19 application that you just identified for 2.0 me? 21 As the board member with the most Α. 22 experience in app development, they are 23 leveraging that experience to help define the requirements, problem manage and 24 problem solve as issues or blockers arise. 25

Page 66 1 WEINER 2 And sorry if I missed this, you Ο. said the application were for folks in a 3 recovery program; is that right? 4 Α. That is correct. 5 Are they individuals that are 6 Ο. 7 working through substance abuse, what sort 8 of recovery program? 9 Α. It's a substance abuse program, 10 yes. 11 What are some risks associated O. 12 with the application that you're developing for the folks in a substance 13 14 abuse program? 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 16 THE WITNESS: So the risks that 17 we consider in this particular app which job is to provide streaming 18 audio and a calendar of events are 19 2.0 mostly risks around operationalization 21 and continuous availability and the 22 kind of risks that you have with any 23 app to make sure it's generally 24 available and working well. /// 25

Page 67 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. So the risks that you as a board member asked the product development team 4 5 to consider were related to operational challenges with the app; is that right? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. The primary risks 8 THE WITNESS: 9 that I'm considering in that 10 particular app are operational in 11 That is a fair nature. 12 characterization of what I just said, 13 yes. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 Fair to say that many -- that 16 every app is going to have operational 17 risks and challenges? 18 Α. I would both agree with that and 19 highlight that every app is going to have 2.0 risks. It is part of product development 21 to manage risks. Many of them are 22 operational in nature. 23 When you said that the app that 24 you're working, you're overseeing as a 25 board member is related to providing

Page 68 1 WEINER access for videos for folks in the 2 3 recovery program; is that right? Sorry that was not clear; it's 4 Α. audio recordings. I could have missed that. Thank 6 Ο. 7 you for clarifying. What sort of operational 8 9 challenges has your team had to work 10 through concerning the availability of 11 audio recordings on an app? 12 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: Because we are on 14 the iPhone and Android marketplace, we 15 make sure to do broad testing with 16 simulators and physical devices where 17 available through alpha and beta 18 programs to ensure the audio streaming 19 was of quality and was useful for the 2.0 audience. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 How many individuals are 23 currently -- is the app out in the wild or is it still in development? 24 25 Α. The app is in beta testing.

Page 69 1 WEINER 2 And for those of us that aren't Ο. 3 in product development world, what is beta testing mean, is that like second phase of 4 a pilot program? It is fair to characterize it as 6 Α. 7 the second phase of a pilot program. 8 specific things that I would refer to in 9 this model are an alpha testing, a beta testing and then a full launch. 10 11 When did you all start the alpha Ο. 12 testing? 13 Α. We started the alpha testing a 14 little less than a year ago. 15 When did this idea, the first 16 idea for this app come to be? 17 This --Α. 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. Go 19 ahead. 2.0 THE WITNESS: Sure. This app 21 first came into conceptualization a number of years ago. 22 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 About how many, two, five, what's 25 your guess?

Page 70 1 WEINER 2 I'm trying to remember. Α. 3 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 4 I'm going to say THE WITNESS: between two and three. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 Q. Okay. So were you on the board at this time? 8 9 Α. I was on the board at this time. 10 Ο. So as a board member you were 11 presented with an idea to develop an 12 application that allowed for individuals 13 in a recovery program to have access to 14 audio recordings to assist in their 15 recovery; is that right? 16 Exactly correct. Α. 17 And after kind of the product was Ο. 18 thought of, the next step you went into 19 some alpha testing; is that right? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: No, I'm sorry. Let 22 me clarify. I didn't mean to give 23 that impression. Our first step as a 24 not-for-profit was to raise the funds 25 to make it possible. The second step

	Page 71
1	WEINER
2	was to find and secure a development
3	shop. The third step was to build the
4	app. And the fourth step was to begin
5	testing in an alpha mode. So there
6	were four steps in total before the
7	alpha.
8	BY MS. CARITIS:
9	Q. Got it. When did you start the
10	first testing, the alpha mode?
11	A. The first testing was roughly a
12	year ago.
13	Q. So fair to say it took about a
14	year, maybe, to go from thinking of the
15	idea to getting ready for alpha testing?
16	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
17	THE WITNESS: With the funding
18	available to this team and the
19	resources that were able to be applied
20	to it, it is accurate to say it took
21	about a year to go from real
22	requirements throughout the testing.
23	BY MS. CARITIS:
24	Q. In the alpha testing phase, what
25	did that entail; were there a certain

Page 72 1 WEINER 2 amount of individuals that were given 3 access, how did you guys develop or set up that initial testing program? 4 5 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. The alpha testing 6 THE WITNESS: 7 program leveraged the Apple App Store 8 and the Android Play Store features 9 which allowed for distribution to 10 pre-authorized individuals. So we 11 solicited volunteers, added them to 12 the Apple App Store and Android store 13 and made the app available to them 14 where they could then make screenshots 15 and videos available through the Apple 16 App Store and the Android Play Store back to the Indian development shop. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 How many individuals were Ο. 2.0 involved in that kind of pre-approved 21 group? 22 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 23 THE WITNESS: We have between 20 24 and 30 in the Apple App Store and a few less in the Android -- in the 25

	Page 73
1	WEINER
2	Google Play Store.
3	BY MS. CARITIS:
4	Q. These volunteers, were these
5	people that were actually in the substance
6	abuse program or were they volunteers that
7	were just kind of helping out?
8	A. I believe all of them were in the
9	program.
LO	Q. How did you decide which
L1	individuals got access to the program
L2	initially and how many had to wait?
L3	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
L 4	THE WITNESS: We selected let
L5	me answer it this way. I've been
L6	doing product development now for 37
L7	years. When you do an alpha it's
L8	really important to get a certain
L9	character of person, a person that's
20	going to give you detailed testing,
21	thorough analysis, and not get freaked
22	out by the obvious problems that occur
23	in alpha testing. And so I used
24	judgment along with the team to select
25	the individuals for the alpha testing.

Page 74 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. Okay. So you certainly can't release an alpha test to the entire 4 broader population, you need to be a 5 6 little more narrow; fair? 7 We made specific choices on each Α. 8 individual that joined the alpha test. 9 Okay. You said something about 10 the alpha testing. You said that the 11 folks were able to access some videos and 12 take screenshots and then give them to the 13 folks in India. Can you explain how that 14 worked, the interplay between the testers 15 and the developers in India? 16 Sure. Both the Apple App Store 17 and the Google Play Store provide a 18 feature where you can so-called report a 19 bug, and that report a bug feature allows 2.0 you to take screenshots or select videos 21 that get reported to the development 22 organization. 23 Ο. Understood. So the alpha testing was a way for folks to uncover and 24 25 identify bugs and pass on any of those

Page 75 1 WEINER bugs to the developers that could fix them 2 3 in the next iteration? 4 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 5 THE WITNESS: The specific purpose of the alpha testing was to 6 find bugs, report them to the 7 8 developers and get them fixed so that 9 the beta experience was smoother. 10 Yes, that is a very good distinction. 11 BY MS. CARITIS: 12 When did the beta experience O. 13 So we had about a year between 14 product conceptualization and alpha test. 15 How long did you alpha test before you 16 moved to the beta test? 17 The alpha test was quite short 18 because the product was in quite good 19 I believe the total alpha test was shape. 2.0 about four months. 21 And the beta test, was that 22 released to the general population or was 23 it a smaller selected group? 24 Α. The beta test has been put on the 25 App Store and is available for download if

Page 76 1 WEINER you know about it and have the link. Yes, 2 that is available for beta population. 3 How many folks have access to the 4 Q. application at this time? 5 Α. It's in the low few hundreds. 6 7 How many audio recordings are Q. 8 available on the application at this time? 9 Α. I believe it's less than 200. Do individuals, can they stream 10 Ο. 11 from the cellular LTE network, do they need Wi-Fi, how does it work? 12 13 They use all of the features of Α. iPhone and Android, which includes 14 15 streaming over cellular or streaming on 16 Wi-Fi. 17 Ο. I assume when your product 18 development team was putting this app 19 together they had to consider some local 2.0 or national laws, for instance copyright; 21 is that fair? 22 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 23 THE WITNESS: The organization 24 has a legal team that supports them with consideration of laws and 25

Page 77 1 WEINER 2 regulations such that they remain compliant in the global scene. 3 BY MS. CARITIS: 4 And one of the ways I can think 5 Ο. of is you need to make sure the audio 6 7 recordings you're putting on the app are allowed to be shared with the broader 8 9 population; right? 10 Α. Absolutely. That is an accurate 11 statement. 12 Ο. You mentioned that some of the 13 key risks that you as a board member 14 counseled this nonprofit to consider were 15 mostly operational. Were there any risks 16 that you considered concerning bodily 17 safety of the individuals that were in the substance abuse community? 18 19 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 2.0 THE WITNESS: I believe it's fair 21 to say that when you're considering a recovery community, the considerations 22 23 of harm are relevant. We considered 24 whether or not certain content might 25 be triggering or might in another way,

Page 78 1 WEINER 2 shape or form do harm to the individuals as we did the product 3 development lifecycle, yes. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Did you include a button on the 6 Ο. 7 app that if an individual is having a 8 trigger event they could immediately 9 contact 911? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think I've 12 been clear on the scope of the 13 application. The contacting of 911 14 for being triggered is not included in 15 the app. The app has a way to contact 16 the support team and we do encourage 17 the individuals to contact their 18 sponsors. 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 You said there's a way to contact Ο. 21 a support team. Would that be for in-app 22 technical support? 23 We encourage folks to reach out to us with anything from in-app technical 24 25 support, the thought that certain content

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 79 1 WEINER might be triggering, the thought that they 2 might want to add a feature, there's a 3 4 broad statement about our availability as 5 a support team to give input. Does the support team have 6 Ο. 7 resources to provide back to an individual 8 if they reach out and say this video is 9 very triggering, I'm in need of immediate assistance? 10 11 Α. I am quite aware that every 12 member of the support team, as are the 13 members of the program, if someone is saying they are in immediate need of 14 15 assistance, we'll suggest first that they 16 speak about what they are dealing with 17 with their sponsor and if not, seek 18 professional help, either by going to an 19 emergency room or reaching out over 911. 2.0 How does the app allow users to Ο. 21 contact the support team; is there a phone 22 number, a chat feature, how can people 23 contact support? 24 Α. There is a phone number and an 25 e-mail option.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 80	
1	WEINER	
2	Q. Okay. So if I view a video and	
3	it's triggering and I'm having an	
4	emergency, I'm going to have to call in	
5	from a phone number provided or e-mail; is	
6	that fair?	
7	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
8	THE WITNESS: This particular app	
9	has those two features, that is an	
LO	accurate representation.	
L1	BY MS. CARITIS:	
L2	Q. Is the phone line, is it a	
L3	hotline, a call center or is it a phone	
L4	line kind of to the nonprofit, I'm going	
L5	to call it the receptionist?	
L6	A. The phone line is a hotline	
L7	staffed by volunteers across the country	
L8	who attempt to make the phones available	
L9	24 hours a day, seven days a week by the	
20	phone number being forwarded to their	
21	individual phones.	
22	Q. I should have asked you, what's	
23	the name of the nonprofit?	
24	A. Crystal Meth Anonymous.	
25	Q. What's that app called?	

Page 81 1 WEINER 2 Α. CMA app. 3 Ο. Makes it easy. When does -- I believe you told me it's currently still 4 in beta testing? 5 6 The app developer is actually Α. 7 presenting at the national conference in a 8 couple weeks, a recommendation based on 9 the beta feedback to do an overhaul. An overhaul means to kind of fix 10 Ο. 11 some things; is that right? 12 The app developer is recommending Α. 13 to rebuild the app with some more modern 14 features, yes. 15 So does that mean it will go back 16 to alpha testing, how does that work? 17 Once the app is rebuilt it will 18 go back to alpha testing. 19 Has the team provided an estimate O. 2.0 on how long it will take to rebuild? 21 I'm actually waiting for that 22 ahead of the conference to give a written 23 proposal. The app development lead texted 24 me just two days ago that he owes me a 25 project plan.

Page 82 1 WEINER 2 What are the ones that were Ο. identified that require the total rebuild 3 4 on the app? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 5 THE WITNESS: There were some 6 7 technical features that were not 8 implemented, in my opinion, in the 9 smoothest way. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 Ο. Such as what? 12 We are talking about a global Α. 13 organization that has meetings around the 14 The implementation of time zones world. 15 is something I would like to see improved. 16 Okay, so do you have a goal for 17 when the app will actually be publicly released more broadly? 18 19 I have a goal that the app is Α. 2.0 good and stable and ready for a public 21 release. As a product development 22 executive working for a not-for-profit, 23 our goals are not timeline related, they are creating the best product for the 24 25 group.

Page 83 1 WEINER 2 And you would agree that every 0. 3 product development timeline acknowledges the importance of iterating? 4 Absolutely, iterating is very 5 Α. important in the product development 6 7 lifecycle. 8 This is a silly question but 9 someone told me about it before, it's 10 called a product development lifecycle 11 because it's a circle, right, it's 12 continuous improvement; is that fair? 13 Α. The best product development 14 lifecycles iterate constantly. They 15 begin, they develop, they get things in 16 users' hands, they get feedback, they 17 adjust and they continue through that cycle over and over to make the best 18 19 possible products. 2.0 O. In your work you said you're on 21 the board of -- I'm sorry, can you give me 22 the name again of the organization that 23 you're on the board of that you're helping

A. Of course, it's Crystal Meth

with this application?

24

25

Page 84 1 WEINER 2 Anonymous. 3 0. And during your time on the board of Crystal Meth Anonymous, are you aware 4 that -- did you become aware that 5 6 substance abuser -- people that have 7 substance abuse challenges may also experience unfortunately sexual misconduct 8 9 or sexual violence? 10 Α. It is known to me that people in recovery programs have a foreseeable risk 11 12 during their using phase of sexual 13 violence, yes, I am aware of that foreseeable risk. 14 15 Have you at any time recommended 16 to the organization, the nonprofit Crystal Meth Anonymous, that it make any sort of 17 rule -- make any sort of recommendation 18 19 that individuals in the recovery program 2.0 not utilize the Uber platform? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 22 THE WITNESS: At no time have I 23 discussed with anyone in the 24 organization a recommendation that 25 they do not use the Uber platform.

Page 85 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. Okay. So we were talking about apps and you gave me a lot of helpful 4 information about an app that you've been 5 working with a nonprofit on for a few 6 7 years now that's going back to alpha 8 testing. Are there any other apps that 9 you've worked on developing since 2008? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 10 11 the extent you can disclose. 12 THE WITNESS: I'm thinking about 13 confidential relationships, if you 14 could give me one second, Counselor. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 I don't need to even know the Ο. 17 name, right, it can be a yes or no at first. So first off, without disclosing 18 19 details about the particular application, 2.0 aside from the Crystal Meth Anonymous 21 application that we just discussed, yes or 22 no, have you ever developed another 23 application since 2008? 24 I have helped develop other 25 applications since 2008 in a unpaid

Page 86 1 WEINER 2 capacity. I have not been engaged or 3 employed during that time period to build 4 another app. 5 I want to make sure I heard you correctly. You said that since 2008 6 7 you've assisted developing apps in an 8 unpaid capacity but you have not -- is 9 that what you said, but you have not developed an app in a paid capacity; is 10 11 that what you just testified to? I just 12 couldn't hear you. 13 I'm sorry, I didn't hear you say 14 2008. Let me be more specific. 15 Since working at the New York 16 Fed, which governs my outside activities, 17 I have not been paid or entered into any 18 sort of contract to work on an app in a 19 paid capacity. 2.0 You have, though, worked in O. 21 unpaid capacities to assist with app 22 development since 2008; is that what 23 you're saying? 24 I do have friends in the app 25 development business who have consulted me

Page 87 1 WEINER 2 from time to time on development of apps, 3 absolutely. I'm very current in my understanding of the app development 4 lifecycle. 5 6 Have you personally developed an 7 application since 2008 aside from the work 8 that you did on the Crystal Meth Anonymous 9 application? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 THE WITNESS: You keep jumping 12 between 2008 and 2013, Counselor. 13 2013 is when I started with the Fed, 14 2008 we were talking about apps I 15 worked on in a paid capacity at 16 Weiner.net. So what I'm trying to 17 answer is in my time at the New York 18 Fed I have not had any outside 19 engagements other than my board 2.0 position, which like my work today, is 21 approved by the New York Fed that was 22 done in a paid capacity. The board 23 position is a position approved by the 24 New York Fed as an unpaid board 25 member.

Page 88 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. Got it. I was confusing myself so thank you for clarifying for me. 4 let me be clear about my time frame. So 5 6 from -- let's see if I can get it this 7 From 2008 to 2012, what apps did time. 8 you develop in a paid capacity? 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So we've talked 10 11 about a few. I don't believe we've 12 talked about work that I did in 13 financial services supporting a ATM provider and I don't believe we've 14 15 talked about work that I did related 16 to payment methods over apps. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: Okay. Well, let's talk about 18 O. 19 Were those in the 2008 to 2012 2.0 time period or earlier? I know in your 21 report you talk about some work you did 22 with ATMs earlier in your career. 23 I did work with ATMs much earlier 24 in my career and I did work with ATMs in the 2008 to 2012 time frame that I was 25

Page 89 1 WEINER 2 engaged with Weiner.net. I have 3 colleagues who I've been working with for many, many years in the ATM business. 4 5 Okay. And was that an app you Ο. developed? 6 7 They had an app that communicated with their ATM. It was a whole suite of 8 9 products and services but there was an app 10 that was part of that suite. 11 And what was your role in that Ο. 12 engagement? 13 I was a management consulting and 14 advisor. I helped advise on requirements. 15 I helped in stand-up meetings where 16 blockers were identified. I did what I've 17 been doing for my whole career, which is 18 make sure the process moves along in an effective manner. 19 2.0 Okay. And what exactly did the Ο. 21 app that you're referring to for the 22 financial services company do? 23 This financial servicing company 24 was experimenting with their banking app, 25 being able to communicate with an ATM for

Page 90 1 WEINER the sake of doing a withdrawal. 2 3 Ο. Did the app do anything else? The app supported basic banking 4 Α. features like checking your checking 5 account, transferring money, it was a 6 7 pretty comprehensive app as it related to 8 the banking space. 9 O. Any other apps between 2008 to 2012? 10 11 I believe we've now disclosed and Α. 12 discussed all the apps I worked on during 13 that time frame. Okay. None of the apps that you 14 15 personally worked on, so I'm putting aside 16 those where you have friends that might 17 have asked you some questions in an unpaid 18 capacity, none of those apps considered 19 personal safety; is that right? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: Are we continuing 22 to talk about sexual assault or 23 personal safety in a broader context? 24 BY MS. CARITIS: 25 Q. Let's say broad first and then

Page 91 1 WEINER 2 we'll go more narrow. So the apps that we just discussed, those apps did not relate 3 to personal safety in the broadest 4 definition of that term? 5 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 6 7 I personally would THE WITNESS: 8 consider a person in recovery being 9 triggered in the realm of personal safety in its broadest description. 10 11 So I would have to answer that 12 question with a no. 13 BY MS. CARITIS: 14 So the one app experience that Ο. 15 you identified that relates to personal 16 safety is the one we discussed in detail 17 for Crystal Meth Anonymous where you're a 18 board member and you're consulting them on 19 a product that is still in testing phases 2.0 to provide some audio recordings to 21 patients in a recovery program; right? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: That is 24 specifically the app that I am referring to that did have to consider 25

Page 92 1 WEINER what I would call a personal safety 2 risk in the broad set of risks that 3 are considered in the product 4 development lifecycle. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 Okay. And we've already talked Ο. 8 about the safety features that that app 9 did and did not incorporate; right? It's fair to say we talked about 10 Α. 11 those in detail. Okay. Aside from your board work 12 Ο. 13 for Crystal Meth Anonymous, no other apps that you've been paid to develop or 14 15 consult for related to personal safety; is 16 that right? 17 Α. As it relates to mobile apps, that is a fair statement. 18 19 You qualified by saying mobile Ο. 2.0 apps. Are you referring to software 21 applications that might appear on 22 computers, is that why you're caveating 23 with mobile? 24 I am caveating on mobile because 25 as we discussed in my role at the Federal

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 93 1 WEINER Reserve, we have talked about financial 2 crime risk and in the broadest sense, 3 financial crime risk in my opinion does 4 5 include some aspects of safety. 6 Does the Federal Reserve have, in Ο. 7 the technological system that you've 8 utilized have any sort of reporting 9 mechanism that allows somebody to report that they've been physically assaulted or 10 11 in any way attacked? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 13 THE WITNESS: The banking and 14 monetary policy implementation systems 15 that I manage, which are the only ones 16 I can speak to, do not have a method to report being attacked. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Do they have a method for Ο. 2.0 reporting anything related to bodily 21 safety? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. To 23 the extent you can speak to any of this, you can answer but I don't want 24 25 to delve into anything that violates

	Page 94
1	WEINER
2	your agreement with your employer.
3	THE WITNESS: Of course. I think
4	it's fair to say if we caveat the term
5	bodily safety, I can competently say
6	none of the systems I manage at the
7	Federal Reserve consider a risk of
8	bodily safety.
9	BY MS. CARITIS:
10	Q. The only risk that you've
11	identified would be being a victim of a
12	financial crime; right?
13	A. I have identified a safety risk
14	of being a victim of a financial crime.
15	Q. That would be somebody, like,
16	stealing money; right?
17	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
18	THE WITNESS: Financial crimes
19	are quite a bit broader than that.
20	They involve terrorist financing, anti
21	money laundering, stealing money,
22	there are quite a number of aspects to
23	financial crime complaints.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. But they are financial related;

Page 95 1 WEINER 2 right? They are all financial related 3 Α. which is why if we are now using the term 4 bodily safety, I can competently say that 5 6 none of the systems I work in have 7 reporting for bodily safety. All right. You have a website 8 9 that advertises or markets your litigation consulting business; is that right? 10 I have a website that makes 11 Α. 12 available the true facts of my litigation consulting business, yes. 13 14 And you also years back had a 15 website that discussed your Weiner.net 16 consulting business; is that right? 17 I'm going to ask to pause a Α. 18 second, Counsel, because we're talking 13 19 years ago, so --2.0 MS. CARITIS: Sure. Why don't I 21 just show you. I can make it easier. 22 Mr. Delaney, if we could just 23 please put up tab 8, and I believe 24 that should be Exhibit 4. 25 (Exhibit 4, Weiner.net home page,

Page 96 1 WEINER marked for identification 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do remember 3 4 The Wayback Machine. Yes, very good. BY MS. CARITIS: 5 So let me set the scene a little 6 7 bit. So Mr. Weiner, I'll represent to you 8 that we went back to The Wayback Machine, 9 a way to identify websites from years 10 past, and this is what appeared from 2010 11 when we put in your website. Does this look generally familiar to you? 12 13 I remember that picture. I was 14 so young then. 15 Fair to say that to the best of 16 your recollection, this is what your website back in approximately 2010 looked 17 like? 18 19 I cannot -- let me just read it 2.0 for one second. 21 Yes, this is the representation I 22 can generally remember from 2010. 23 Okay. And we talked a little bit about the purpose of LinkedIn. This is 24 25 another online way that you can market

Page 97 1 WEINER yourself and make clear the consulting 2 3 experience -- the consulting services that you can provide; right? 4 5 Α. It is a tool to speak to a particular audience about consulting 6 7 services where a client asked if they 8 could verify on the Internet. 9 Sorry, I want to make sure I 10 understand that. Are you saying that a 11 client asked you to create this, or it was 12 helpful for a client to confirm that they were okay hiring you? I didn't understand 13 14 what you just said there. 15 I was speaking to a client who 16 asked if they could see anything on the Internet about the work I was proposing to 17 18 do for them and I built this particular 19 page for that purpose. 2.0 O. Understood. So it's your 21 testimony this was created for a 22 particular client? 23 This particular page as I am 24 recollecting it, and please, Counselor, 25 we're talking about 2010 so please accept

Page 98 1 WEINER I'm doing the best I can recall 2010 that 2 I can, was created for a particular client 3 that asked to verify my business on the 4 5 Internet. Understood. And of course I 6 Ο. 7 understand it was a long time ago. So not 8 a memory test. What client asked you to 9 make this? As I said earlier, I don't 10 Α. 11 disclose any of my clients in my consulting career due to the nature of the 12 13 relationships that I have with them. 14 Did you end up doing work for 15 this client? 16 The client that I recall in this 17 particular case did engage me. 18 Ο. Okay. I don't know if you had an 19 opportunity to look at the whole document 2.0 but based on my read, I don't see any 21 references to safety or risk management. 22 You agree with that? 23 It is a fair characterization on 24 the words of the page that they do not use 25 the word safety or the word risk

Page 99 1 WEINER 2 management. 3 0. Okay. We've been spending a lot 4 of talking about your time at Weiner.net. We can speed this up because we have 5 6 limited time. Prior to your work at 7 Weiner.net, you were at LogicSourcing which was a subsidiary of Novantas; is 8 9 that right? 10 Α. That is correct. That company is 11 and was founded by the partners that I 12 worked for at Booz Allen and Hamilton. 13 worked for those same partners at First 14 Manhattan Consulting Group and I worked 15 with those same partners and became a 16 partner when I joined Novantas. 17 Okay, and I'm now -- we've been Ο. 18 all over the place, but I'm looking now at 19 your résumé in your report. 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 And there, you identify 22 LogicSourcing as a leading provider of 23 consulting solutions and research services 24 for financial industries. Do you see 25 that?

Page 100 1 WEINER 2 If I can clarify, that tag line Α. 3 there is specifically referring to Novantas. LogicSourcing is separated by a 4 dash in that headline. 5 6 Understood. Are you saying that Q. 7 LogicSourcing was not a leading provider of consulting solutions and research 8 9 services? The leading provider of 10 Α. 11 consulting services and research services 12 for financial services that I'm referring to in that particular point is Novantas, 13 LLC, which was the owner of the business I 14 15 worked for, which was LogicSourcing, LLC. 16 Okay. And for LogicSourcing 17 specifically, fair to say that that was focused on technology consulting for 18 travel industry clients? 19 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: I've listed quite a few, four to be specific, of the 22 23 engagement types that I did underneath 24 the bullets there. That was a decent 25 chunk of time, 2005 to 2008, there

Page 101 1 WEINER 2 were other clients during that time. They were all technology related in 3 some way, shape or form. They covered 4 various industries. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 And in the first bullets for 7 Ο. 8 putting a kind of blurb about one of your 9 engagements there, you wrote managed the Sabre due diligence efforts on sale to 10 11 private investors, which included leading a team of consultants consisting of three 12 partners and 50 professionals in effort to 13 14 evaluate the plan for revenue growth, cost 15 reduction and technology management. 16 Do you see that there? 17 I wrote those words. Α. 18 O. So fair to say that in your 19 experience as a consultant, important to 2.0 figure out ways to allow companies to 21 achieve revenue growth, one; right? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: Revenue growth is 24 an important consideration in the 25 corporate world, that's an absolutely

Page 102 1 WEINER 2 fair statement. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 Again, in this Novantas Ο. LogicSourcing section of your résumé, I 5 6 don't see the word safety anywhere. That's fair? 7 8 It is accurate to represent that 9 the physical word safety does not appear 10 in the plain language on the page. 11 Absolutely right. I made it very clear in 12 my report to disclose for the court's 13 consideration the specific work that I 14 have done that has been related to safety. 15 That is found in my report and of course 16 when you get to that, we can go over that. 17 And we'll talk about it. Ο. 18 I found two examples that you identified 19 in your report but we'll talk through 2.0 that. There wasn't anything though during 21 your time at Novantas, LogicSourcing that 22 you would identify as a safety-related 23 engagement; correct? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 25 THE WITNESS: May I refer to my

Page 103 1 WEINER 2 report for a second? I wanted to 3 check something quickly. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Ο. Sure thing. Forgive me, Counsel, we're 6 Α. 7 talking now almost 20 years ago so I'm just trying to be precise by finding out 8 9 which ATM proceeding I referred to in my 10 report. 11 That was at First Manhattan Consulting Group, but yes, it is fair to 12 13 say I did not disclose in my report any 14 work on ATMs while at LogicSourcing. 15 And to be clear, you didn't do 16 any work related to ATMs or safety more 17 broadly during your time at LogicSourcing? 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: At LogicSourcing 2.0 that client I referred to on ATMs did 21 engage me again in a different role to 22 help them with the contract 23 negotiation of a merchant agreement 24 but I've worked with that client since the ATM time over and over again over 25

Page 104 1 WEINER 2 the years. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 Ο. I'm not sure I understand your 5 answer. I asked you that while you were working at -- is it okay if I call it 6 7 Novantas? Novantas or LogicSourcing? 8 If you really want to be 9 confused, its current name is Curinos. All right. Can I do Novantas and 10 Ο. 11 we'll agree we're talking about your work 12 history from 2005 to 2008? 13 I would be pleased to simplify it by calling it Novantas. 14 15 All right. So my question is 16 during your work at Novantas, did you work 17 on any safety-related initiatives? 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: Counselor, you may 2.0 have noticed by this point I'm trying 21 to be very, very specific with the 22 word safety so let me just take a 23 second and make sure you and I are 24 being very clear. Are you referring 25 to physical human safety, as you have

Page 105 1 WEINER 2 in other sections, or are you talking about the broad risk of safety which 3 as you know I have described to 4 include things like financial risk? 5 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 I'm talking specifically about Q. 8 personal bodily safety. So have you had 9 any experience during your time in Novantas related to personal bodily 10 11 safety? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 13 In the time at THE WITNESS: 14 Novantas and the consulting 15 engagements at Novantas, I did not 16 have the opportunity to speak 17 specifically to any client that was deemed with a foreseeable risk like 18 19 personal bodily safety. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 You mentioned that you did some 0. work on ATMs for a client during your time 22 23 at Novantas and then you were engaged at another point by that same client. Did 24 25 you work on any initiatives for that

Page 106 1 WEINER 2 client outside of the Novantas time frame concerning individual personal safety? 3 4 Most of the work that I did on Α. personal bodily safety related to ATMs was 5 between 1994 and 1998 when I was at First 6 7 Manhattan Consulting Group. As I've enumerated, I worked for that client on a 8 9 number of other occasions and we did from time to time have discussions about that 10 11 work from the '94 to '98 time, but it's 12 fair to say I was not engaged in a 13 consulting relationship for the sake of 14 working on risks related to bodily safety 15 while at Novantas. 16 Okay. After Novantas -- excuse Ο. 17 me, I did this backwards so it's my fault. 18 Before Novantas you were at 19 United Airlines; is that right? 2.0 Α. That is absolutely correct. 21 And you were at United Airlines Ο. 22 from 2002 to 2005 in two different roles; 23 correct? 24 That is exactly correct. Α. 25 United's role changed at the time of

Page 107 1 WEINER 2 United's bankruptcy. But in the first role you were 3 Ο. the vice president chief technology 4 officer for UAL Loyalty Services; is that 5 right? 6 That is correct. 7 Α. 8 Ο. Okay. And you explained in your 9 résumé that that was a spinoff of the Mileage Plus program and United.com; fair? 10 11 Just to be clear, it never got Α. 12 spinoff. It was an entity created in the intent to spin out the Mileage Plus 13 program and the United.com and loyalty 14 15 businesses. You might remember that time 16 period to unlock the additional value 17 those businesses created, considered to 18 the multiple that you get in value from 19 airline which was considerably low. 2.0 O. At some point you then 21 transitioned to managing director of 22 strategic sourcing; is that right? That is a precise representation 23 24 of what's on my résumé, yes. 25 Q. Okay. And when did that happen?

Page 108 1 WEINER 2 At the time of United's Α. 3 bankruptcy, as I mentioned a few moments It's public record but if I'm being 4 ago. completely honest with you, my memory does 5 not remember the particular date that that 6 7 transition happened. I'm pretty positive this 8 9 litigation will proceed without us knowing the particular date of that transition, so 10 11 we're okay, thank you. So in your CV, and I understand 12 that you are telling me you include some 13 14 additional details in your report but I'm 15 focused solely on your CV. In the United 16 Airline section of your CV, you do not reference any safety-related products; is 17 18 that right? 19 Α. Give me one --MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 2.0 21 THE WITNESS: -- second, if you 22 don't mind. I have to turn back to 23 that. 24 You'll note in the first 25 paragraph I refer to a central

Page 109 1 WEINER 2 That central reservation system. 3 reservation system had features which managed getting pilots and flight 4 attendants to hotels and 5 transportation. And I do in my report 6 7 refer to the safety aspects that we considered in that central reservation 8 9 system so your representation would 10 need some augmentation. 11 BY MS. CARITIS: 12 Well, my question -- let me ask a O. 13 better question, thank you. 14 You certainly don't mention the 15 word safety in describing your experience 16 at United on your CV; right? 17 I have not written the word 18 safety on my CV as it relates to my United 19 experience, but as I mentioned provided 2.0 additional details in my report. 21 So you, and we'll talk about it Ο. 22 in a second, but you just pointed me to 23 the reference in your CV to a central reservation system, right, and we'll talk 24 25 a little bit about that later, but that's

Page 110 1 WEINER the reference that you're pulling out in 2 3 your CV related to safety? The work on the central 4 Α. reservation system and its features that 5 supported flight attendants and pilots 6 7 getting to hotel rooms after their shifts 8 in my opinion did have certain 9 safety-related aspects that we considered 10 in the product development lifecycle for 11 that product. We'll talk about that in a 12 Ο. 13 second. If we could go -- this is going 14 to challenge my memory. Go to Exhibit 2, 15 which was your LinkedIn profile. 16 want to take a quick look to confirm that in your LinkedIn profile when you're 17 18 discussing your United Airline experience, 19 you also don't mention the word safety 2.0 anywhere in that description, and it's on 21 the page 3 of 5, Mr. Delaney. 22 I can read the page and tell you 23 that I do not see the word safety on the We would have to talk about the 24 page. 25 additional details I provided to have a

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 111 1 WEINER 2 fulsome conversation on safety. Great. If we could now go to 3 0. Exhibit 3. That was the experience 4 section of your LinkedIn profile. 5 MS. CARITIS: Mr. Delaney, it's 6 7 page 2 of the United Airlines section. BY MS. CARITIS: 8 9 And there actually, we briefly 10 talked about, there are section bullets 11 concerning skills and you would agree with 12 me here that the skills you identified under United Airlines include e-commerce, 13 Web software, travel technology, technical 14 15 leadership and loyalty programs; right? 16 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 17 I can absolutely THE WITNESS: 18 read the page and you are accurate. 19 You only left off the duplication of 2.0 e-commerce and the words Web software 21 in the skills. 22 BY MS. CARITIS: 23 Nowhere in the skills section do 24 you identify safety or risk management; 25 right?

Page 112 1 WEINER 2 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: It is clear on the 3 4 plain language on the page that the word safety and risk management are 5 not written. As I pointed out before 6 7 though, we must look at the overall 8 process of managing a project 9 development lifecycle to understand the experience that I have enumerated 10 11 in my report related to safety. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Going back, prior to United -- we 14 can take that down, Mr. Delaney, thanks so 15 much. 16 Prior to United, you from 2000 to 2002 were at Synetro Group; is that right? 17 18 Α. I was at Synetro Group from 2000 19 as 2002 as enumerated on the CV. 2.0 Ο. And you would agree that during 21 your two years at Synetro Group, you 22 weren't involved in assisting with the 23 development of any safety-related products 24 or systems; fair? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

Page 113 1 WEINER THE WITNESS: It is both fair to 2 3 say that I did not use the word safety in that description, nor did I provide 4 any elaboration in my report of safety 5 work that I did during that time 6 7 period, absolutely. BY MS. CARITIS: 8 9 My question was did you do any? Ο. So it is, Mr. Weiner, during your time at 10 11 Synetro, you did not do any safety-related 12 product or systems work; is that correct? 13 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 14 THE WITNESS: So Counselor, I'm 15 trying to be as clear as I can so 16 forgive me for one second but I can 17 answer this very simply if I can use 18 the word personal safety, would that 19 be acceptable? BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 Thank you for that clarification. Ο. 22 So yes, I can confirm that Α. 23 between 2000 and 2002, none of the work that I did in product development 24 25 considered the specific risk of personal

Page 114 1 WEINER 2 safety or harm to individuals. 3 And is the reason that you're providing the helpful caveat there is 4 5 because since you were working in the financial space, you often did have to 6 take into account financial crime; is that 7 8 right? 9 Α. You and I are learning a language 10 between ourselves. That is a very 11 accurate assessment. 12 Prior to -- we're getting there. O. 13 Prior to Synetro Group, you were at 14 Brierley+Partners, a direct marketing ad 15 agency focused on loyalty programs; is 16 that right? 17 Or how Brierley is sitting in 18 Dallas wondering about his name, but yes, 19 it is Brierley+Partners. 2.0 Sorry about that, Mr. Brierley. Ο. 21 And fair to say that during your 22 approximately a year at Brierley+Partners, 23 you did not work on any programs or systems related to safety outside of the 24 financial crime context we've been 25

	Page 115
1	WEINER
2	discussing?
3	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
4	THE WITNESS: During 1998 and
5	1999, the products that I worked on
6	did not consider the risk of personal
7	safety as a foreseeable risk in those
8	businesses.
9	BY MS. CARITIS:
10	Q. From '94 to '98 you were at First
11	Manhattan Consulting Group; is that right?
12	A. Yes I was at First Manhattan
13	Consulting Group from 1994 to 1998.
14	Q. And in your CV, your résumé
15	that's included in your report, you
16	describe your work at First Manhattan
17	Consulting Group. You say that you were a
18	management consultant serving financial
19	services customers on issues like loyalty
20	program development, technology
21	development, infrastructure, credit card
22	and banking technology and customer
23	contact center technology. Do you see
24	that?
25	A. Yes, those are the words that I

Page 116 1 WEINER wrote to describe that time period 30 2 3 years ago. 4 Ο. And as it's represented on your CV, you did not include any specifics or 5 6 reference to work during that time period 7 related to personal safety; is that right? 8 As is written on the CV, the only 9 thing related to personal safety that I 10 called out in my report is covered there 11 by the words technology development. 12 O. Okay. So sitting here today, 13 it's your position that when you wrote technology development in your résumé, 14 15 there you were referring to safety-related 16 features? 17 It is my representation that I 18 have enumerated in my report a piece of 19 work that I did on a safety-related 2.0 feature during my work on technology 21 development at the First Manhattan 22 Consulting Group. 23 MS. CARITIS: Mr. Delaney, if we 24 could pop back up Exhibit 3, please, 25 this is the LinkedIn experience page.

Page 117 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: And Mr. Weiner, again just take a 3 Ο. quick look at the skills you identified 4 outside of this litigation related to your 5 work at First Manhattan Consulting Group. 6 7 You said you have skills in e-commerce, technical leadership, Web software and 8 9 loyalty programs; right? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 Can you please show THE WITNESS: me that on the screen. I don't 12 13 remember. MS. CARITIS: Sorry. 14 15 Mr. Delaney, it's on the third page at 16 the top. 17 THE WITNESS: And program 18 recommended these specific skills and 19 I accepted them to describe my time at 2.0 First Manhattan Consulting Group. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 And while we have this up, 23 Mr. Weiner, if you could take a quick look at the skills that are associated with 24 25 your 37 years of experience on your

Page 118 1 WEINER 2 LinkedIn profile and to confirm that nowhere do those skills reference safety 3 4 or risk management? Objection, form. 5 MS. ELLIS: THE WITNESS: I believe I need to 6 7 clarify, as I have done in my report, 8 that everywhere where it says 9 technical leadership on the skills on 10 my LinkedIn profile as I've enumerated 11 in my report, I am specifically referring to both safety and risk 12 13 management and the management of 14 foreseeable risks as part of the 15 product development lifecycle that I 16 have used throughout my entire career. 17 So to be very specific to your 18 question, the word safety does not 19 appear in this skills section on 2.0 LinkedIn. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 And to be very clear though, in 23 your report, which we'll get to in a second, you only identified two instances 24 25 where you did any work related to physical

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 119
1	WEINER
2	safety; right?
3	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
4	THE WITNESS: In my report, I
5	enumerated two specific instances
6	where I have had the personal
7	experience of working on what you and
8	I now agree to call physical safety.
9	BY MS. CARITIS:
10	Q. Okay. So in the American Express
11	example on the screen right now, I think
12	you said technical leadership involved
13	safety. You never did anything related to
14	physical safety when you were working at
15	American Express; right?
16	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
17	THE WITNESS: Again, American
18	Express I did technical leadership.
19	Technical leadership involves risk
20	management. The risks that I was
21	managing at American Express were
22	financial and travel related risks,
23	they did not include personal safety
24	in the product development lifecycle.
25	We also provided an insurance product

Page 120 1 WEINER 2 as a travel agency to protect people that had injury as it related to 3 So yes, there was some 4 travel. consideration of personal safety in 5 the product development lifecycle at 6 7 American Express as it relates to illness and other physical harms that 8 9 can happen while traveling. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 It's certainly not your testimony O. 12 that a company can simply purchase insurance to cover any risk of bodily 13 14 injury that occurs through use of its 15 product; right? 16 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 17 I am not testifying THE WITNESS: 18 today that purchasing insurance 19 protects against foreseeable risks of 2.0 bodily harm at all, that is a correct 21 observation. BY MS. CARITIS: 22 23 Okay. So you've told us a few times that in your opinion as you're 24 25 giving it today, that you have additional

	Page 121
1	WEINER
2	safety-related experience that you believe
3	falls under technical leadership category.
4	But just to be very clear, in your
5	LinkedIn profile, in your experience
6	section and in your CV you've never
7	explicitly called out experience with
8	safety; is that right?
9	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
10	THE WITNESS: To answer your
11	question very specifically in my
12	LinkedIn profile, in my CV, I have not
13	used the word safety even once.
14	MS. CARITIS: Let's look at
15	another
16	MS. ELLIS: We've been going
17	about another hour.
18	MS. CARITIS: This is a good time
19	to break, thanks for the reminder. We
20	can go off the record.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going
22	off the record. This is the end of
23	media unit 2. The time is 11:19.
24	(Recess taken from 11:19 a.m. to
25	11:29 a.m.)

Page 122 1 WEINER 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. This is the beginning of 3 media unit 3. The time is 11:30. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Mr. Weiner, we've just spent a 6 Ο. 7 long time going through your experience and your career. We talked a little bit 8 9 about First Manhattan Consulting Group. 10 Just to round it out, you also spent three 11 years at American Express and you spent 12 two years after graduating as an analyst 13 at Booz Allen; is that right? 14 Α. That is exactly right. 15 And those two experiences at 16 American Express and Booz Allen, those 17 were focused on financial services, again 18 loyalty and travel clients; is that right? 19 Α. That is an accurate 2.0 representation of my time at Booz Allen 21 and American Express. 22 We just talked about what I'll 23 call your day jobs but along with your day job, just like we're doing today, you also 24 25 have a expert consulting business; is that

Page 123 1 WEINER 2 right? 3 Α. I have served as a consulting or testifying expert on 25 cases over the 4 last 13 years. 5 And one way that you market your 6 Ο. 7 expert services is through the SEAK expert 8 directory; is that right? 9 Α. I have a profile on the SEAK 10 expert directory. 11 MS. CARITIS: And Mr. Delaney, if we could please pull up tab 9 that I 12 13 will mark as Exhibit 5. 14 (Exhibit 5, SEAK expert directory 15 page, marked for identification.) 16 BY MS. CARITIS: 17 And Mr. Weiner, if you could just Ο. 18 take a moment again, you have the ability to download this if it would be easier for 19 2.0 you, but this is what we pulled directly 21 from the SEAK expert directory related to 22 you but if you can just take a moment, you 23 would agree that this entry is talking about you; right? 24 25 Α. I am familiar with this entry and

Page 124 1 WEINER 2 it is talking about me. 3 Ο. Okay. You created it? 4 I worked on this entry myself. Α. And there's a section that says 5 Ο. 6 specialties and experience of this expert witness. Do you see that at the top? 7 8 Α. Yes, I do. 9 Ο. And in the general specialty 10 section you identify yourself as a 11 specialist in information technology and 12 software engineering; is that right? That is the best categorization 13 Α. 14 that I was able to find using their 15 capabilities, yes. 16 And then there's also something Ο. 17 called keywords and search terms and 18 that's my understanding another kind of 19 filtering mechanism on the SEAK website to 2.0 allow folks to identify your expert 21 profile. Is that consistent with your 22 understanding? 23 Α. Yes, those are additional terms 24 which people can search by. 25 Q. And you selected the terms to

Page 125 1 WEINER associate with your profile; right? 2 I did select those terms. 3 Α. There's then a section on 4 Ο. additional information. You see that? 5 Right now it is blocked --6 Α. 7 Sorry, Mr. Weiner, my fault. Q. There's another section called additional 8 9 information. You're able to view that section? 10 11 Α. I can view that section now as 12 well. 13 Ο. There's -- did you draft these 14 three paragraphs that we're looking at on 15 the screen? 16 Α. Those are words that I wrote, 17 yes. 18 O. You also identify your litigation 19 consulting services; is that right? 2.0 Α. That is correct, it says 21 litigation consulting services, and lists 22 some experience. 23 Okay. Why don't you just -- if 24 we could go to the second page, 25 Mr. Delaney, please.

Page 126 1 WEINER 2 And you here identify your 3 industry experience. Do you see that 4 section? By industry experience I am 5 referring to certain verticals that I have 6 7 worked in, yes. I again am bad at some of these 8 9 So verticals, what do you mean 10 when you say verticals? 11 Α. Sure. In a general sense, 12 industries are categorized in two ways. 13 There are horizontal industries, like 14 software development, like technology leadership, like product development, and 15 16 there are vertical industries like travel 17 and loyalty and financial services that 18 combine to make the overall map of 19 industries in the American society, for 2.0 lack of a better description. 21 Q. Got it, okay. So when you identify your industry experiences you 22 23 select three buckets, travel industry; 24 right? 25 Α. Yep.

Page 127 1 WEINER 2 The loyalty industry? 0. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Second. And then the third, Ο. financial services; right? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. You wouldn't classify --Q. 8 well you don't classify yourself as an 9 expert in the transportation industry more broadly; right? 10 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: I'm afraid we might 13 struggle a bit with transportation 14 industry as it relates to airlines. 15 Are you defining a transportation 16 industry that doesn't include 17 airlines? BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 I guess more broadly it looks Ο. 2.0 here you write travel industry and you are 21 talking about American Express Travel and 22 United.com, which in my mind relate more 23 to the booking side of travel as opposed to does the plane fly in the air. But if 24 25 you want to explain the distinction, I was

	Page 128
1	WEINER
2	talking about the experiences as you
3	articulated them on your expert profile.
4	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
5	THE WITNESS: I appreciate that
6	and I do appreciate the opportunity to
7	clarify with you. I would just say
8	that by travel industry I did mean to
9	include airlines and by airlines, I do
10	believe they are part of
11	transportation broadly.
12	BY MS. CARITIS:
13	Q. You're not an expert in
14	rideshare; correct?
15	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
16	THE WITNESS: By rideshare,
17	you're specifically referring to
18	companies that match people and move
19	them in cars?
20	BY MS. CARITIS:
21	Q. Would you define rideshare, are
22	you an expert in rideshare? That's a
23	defining term, that's an industry.
24	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
25	THE WITNESS: I'm actually not

	Page 129
1	WEINER
2	familiar with an industry designation
3	of rideshare but I have in certain
4	Uber documents seen descriptions of
5	rideshare as companies that match and
6	move people in automotive vehicles.
7	If we're talking about the Uber
8	definition of rideshare, then yes, I
9	do not have here listed rideshare. I
10	have not worked on an Uber or Lyft
11	case before.
12	BY MS. CARITIS:
13	Q. Outside of the Uber definition,
14	is there any other definition of rideshare
15	that you would use?
16	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
17	THE WITNESS: I would not use a
18	different definition of rideshare. I
19	struggle a bit with the notion that
20	rideshare as an industry in the
21	broadest sense.
22	BY MS. CARITIS:
23	Q. Are you aware that rideshares are
24	specifically regulated?
25	A. I am aware of regulations that

Page 130 1 WEINER 2 relate to rideshare. I believe you might 3 have noticed in my report when I was talking about the definition of rideshare 4 under the section on cameras, I enumerated 5 6 a number of rideshare companies that are regulated as it relates to cameras. 7 8 What is the gig economy? You 9 don't identify that as an industry in which you have experience; right? 10 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: As it relates to 12 13 horizontal industries, software 14 development, app development, 15 technology development, encompass all 16 aspects of the software development 17 lifecycle and product development 18 lifecycle and yes, I would consider my 19 expertise applies to the gig economy. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 I'm not asking you if your 22 expertise applies, I'm asking if you have 23 ever worked in the gig economy. 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I think I would 25 THE WITNESS:

Page 131 1 WEINER 2 need you to be more specific in defining the gig economy for me to 3 make sure I'm answering that 4 5 correctly. BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 This is going to sound sassy and Q. 8 I don't mean it to but if you don't know 9 what I mean by gig economy, fair to say 10 you're not an expert? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: I would agree that 13 sounded sassy. However, I'm trying to 14 be incredibly clear and honest because 15 my job here is to explain things to 16 the court and so I want to be really 17 precise for the sake of the people and 18 judges that are going to read this 19 work so when you say gig economy, what 2.0 jumps to mind are some travel 21 companies that I worked for that do 22 not have broad employees but they 23 allow contractors to use their 24 services for the sake of booking 25 travel and I'm wondering if you would

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 132 1 WEINER 2 include that in your definition of a 3 gig economy because it does seem very similar. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Okay. So I just want to know how 6 Q. 7 you view yourself so it sound like you're 8 saying that you have experience in travel 9 related context that you view as similar to gig economy; is that right? 10 11 That is what I'm trying to say, Α. 12 absolutely. 13 Ο. Okay. In the SEAK profile that 14 we were just looking at, your expert 15 directory profile, you would agree it 16 doesn't include the word safety anywhere; 17 right? 18 Α. I can agree that the physical 19 word safety does not appear in this 2.0 profile as written. 21 You also don't identify any risk 22 management expertise in your expert 23 profile; right? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: As we discussed a 25

Page 133 1 WEINER 2 few times, technology development inherently involves risk management 3 and therefore I do believe the words 4 5 technology development include the concept of risk management. 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 8 Are you aware that the SEAK 9 expert directory explicitly included keywords for risk management safety that 10 11 you could have selected if you wanted to? 12 Α. I am aware of all of the SEAK They limit me to 20 and I did 13 14 not pick those in the top descriptors of 15 myself. 16 So as a top descriptor of your Ο. 17 experience for people looking to hire you 18 as an expert, you chose to not include the 19 keyword safety; right? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: The keyword safety 22 does not appear in the list of 23 descriptors for me, absolutely. 24 BY MS. CARITIS: 25 Q. And you made the choice to not

Page 134 1 WEINER 2 include safety as a key word associated with your profile; right? 3 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 4 I prioritized other 5 THE WITNESS: keywords above the word safety. 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 Another keyword that you could 8 9 have included in your profile was risk management. You understand that? 10 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: The words risk 13 management on the SEAK profile are 14 available. I believe by talking about 15 software development and technology of 16 the leadership, people who are looking 17 for my particular skill set would know 18 that I am experienced in risk 19 management as it relates to technology 2.0 development and software development. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 But you chose again not to 23 explicitly include the keyword risk 24 management in your SEAK expert witness 25 profile; right?

Page 135 1 WEINER MS. ELLIS: Objection, asked and 2 3 answered like three times already. MS. CARITIS: He has not answered 4 5 this question. BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 Q. Go ahead. I have not chosen the term risk 8 Α. 9 management in the top 20 terms I was 10 allowed to choose on the SEAK profile 11 system. 12 Mr. Weiner, you are testifying Ο. 13 for plaintiffs about both safety and risk 14 management; right? 15 My opinions are very well 16 enumerated in this report and the basis for those opinions. What I have described 17 18 as my expertise in this report is 19 technology, product development and 2.0 software engineering. I have not in any 21 way, shape or form tried to put myself up as experienced outside those areas. 22 23 I'm asking a different question. The substance of your opinions relate to 24 25 Uber's prioritization of safety

Page 136 1 WEINER 2 priorities; right? 3 Α. Cover the product development lifecycle and the prioritization choices 4 that Uber made as demonstrated in their 5 artifacts on prioritizing risk management 6 7 as it relates to safety issues. 8 As you just articulated, it's the 9 two core components of your expert report in this litigation concerns safety and 10 11 risk management; right? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm trying so hard to be helpful here but 14 15 the two, three primary expertises I'm 16 bringing to bear are technology, 17 product development and software 18 engineering. I worked extremely hard 19 on this report not to stray from my 2.0 core experience into things like 21 criminology or motivation or crime 22 that I am not presented myself as an 23 expert for. In my report I've spoken 24 to the practices and industry 25 standards on technology, product

Page 137 1 WEINER 2 development and software engineering. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Okay. I'm not talking about your 4 Ο. expertise, I'm talking about what your 5 6 opinions are. The opinions you are 7 providing in this litigation are that Uber did not prioritize safety and it did not 8 9 have appropriate risk management; is that 10 correct? 11 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 12 THE WITNESS: I am struggling 13 with your characterization of my 14 opinions. If you'd like, we can go 15 through my opinions in my report and 16 try to highlight how I believe they 17 specifically speak to technology, product development and software 18 19 engineering. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 I'm literally just asking you 0. 22 high-level questions. Let me break it 23 I think I'm conflating things. 24 You would agree that one of the 25 opinions that you provide in your report

	Page 138
1	WEINER
2	is that Uber's product did not
3	appropriately prioritize safety; is that
4	fair?
5	A. Which opinion are you referring
6	to?
7	Q. I am just when I'm reading your
8	whole report, that's what I take away. Do
9	you disagree that you're providing an
10	opinion concerning whether or not Uber
11	properly prioritized safety initiatives in
12	its product?
13	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
14	THE WITNESS: Give me one second,
15	I think I can be helpful here.
16	My opinion 2 reads Uber failed to
17	incorporate industry standard
18	risk-based practices into its product
19	development lifecycle and instead
20	prioritized growth, cost reduction and
21	competition over the timely
22	implementation of safety-related
23	features.
24	(Computer froze).
25	(Discussion off the record.)

Page 139 1 WEINER 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on The time is 11:54. 3 the record. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Ο. Mr. Weiner, we took a short 6 We had some technical challenges and I believe the last thing that we got 7 8 on the record, you were reading for me 9 your opinion 2 on page 44 of your report, and I'm not trying to be tricky, I just 10 11 want to make sure I understand kind of a 12 concise statement of Exhibit -- excuse me, 13 opinion 2. And based on my read, you're 14 saying that Uber failed to incorporate 15 industry standard risk-based practices 16 when it developed its product and instead 17 prioritized growth, cost reduction and 18 competition over the timely implementation 19 of safety features; right? That's a fair 2.0 read of the first chunk of your opinion 2? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, 22 misstates his report. I think there 23 was a word into versus when that was 24 substituted. /// 25

Page 140 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. That was inadvertent. I really 4 just want to try and get an understanding of your opinion. It's my understanding of 5 6 your opinion that you're saying Uber 7 didn't take into account some industry standard risk-based practices that we'll 8 9 discuss in a minute and instead prioritized growth, profits, other things 10 11 instead of prioritizing implementing 12 additional safety features? 13 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 14 BY MS. CARITIS: 15 Is that your opinion in opinion Ο. 16 2.? 17 Do you remember my job here is to 18 make my opinions and my bases as clear as 19 possible to you so that you can be 2.0 informed on what I've written. What I 21 wrote was that Uber failed to incorporate 22 industry standard risk-based practices 23 into its product development lifecycle and instead prioritized growth, cost reduction 24 25 and competition over the timely

Page 141 1 WEINER 2 implementation of safety-related features that its own internal studies indicated 3 4 could mitigate risks of sexual assault and sexual misconduct. 5 6 What I am doing is applying 7 industry standard practices to review 8 Uber's documents and form an opinion based 9 on what I've laid out here as the basis for my opinion which cites and enumerates 10 11 the Uber documents that I'm talking about. 12 So what I've done is used my experience and industry standards to write for the 13 14 court my opinion of what materials I 15 consumed and research I did in the form of 16 this opinion. 17 O. Okay. I think we're talking over 18 each other. Is it your opinion that Uber 19 should have implemented additional safety 2.0 features from the time of its inception to 21 present day, yes or no? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, asked and 23 answered. He literally read his 24 opinion. 25 MS. CARITIS: The opinion doesn't

Page 142 1 WEINER 2 answer it. That's why I'm asking him a very specific question. 3 BY MS. CARITIS: 4 Mr. Weiner, is it your opinion 5 0. that from Uber's inception to present day, 6 7 it should have implemented additional safety features? 8 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, asked and 10 answered. 11 THE WITNESS: Alex, I'm 12 struggling with the word should. 13 You're asking me to use a word I 14 didn't use. I do not in any of my 15 opinions use the word should. 16 BY MS. CARITIS: 17 Okay. So you are not providing Ο. 18 an opinion that from the time of this 19 inception to today Uber should have done 2.0 anything? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Alex. 23 What I am opining specifically is that 24 Uber failed to incorporate industry 25 standard risk-based practices into its

	Page 143	
1	WEINER	
2	product development lifecycle and	
3	instead prioritized growth, cost	
4	reduction and competition over the	
5	timely implementation of	
6	safety-related features that its own	
7	internal studies indicate could	
8	mitigate risks of sexual assault and	
9	misconduct. I am looking at a	
10	preponderance of evidence, which is	
11	thousands of documents, tens of	
12	thousands of pages and almost 500	
13	hours of deposition testimony to allow	
14	the court to see that in my opinion	
15	they did not, and I'll quote it again,	
16	failed to incorporate industry	
17	standard risk-based practices. I	
18	don't have an opinion of what they	
19	should or shouldn't do.	
20	BY MS. CARITIS:	
21	Q. So you have no opinion that Uber	
22	should have implemented any additional	
23	safety features, you're not providing an	
24	opinion on that?	
25	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	

	Page 144	
1	WEINER	
2	THE WITNESS: So let's look at	
3	the word should. What are you trying	
4	to mean with the word should?	
5	BY MS. CARITIS:	
6	Q. Should they have done it,	
7	Mr. Weiner? Is it your opinion that Uber	
8	should have implemented any additional	
9	safety features from the time of inception	
10	to today?	
11	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
12	THE WITNESS: Perhaps this will	
13	it is my opinion that if they had	
14	not failed to incorporate industry	
15	standard risk-based practices, there	
16	would have been more safety features.	
17	BY MS. CARITIS:	
18	Q. Okay. So you think Uber should	
19	have adopted additional safety features?	
20	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
21	THE WITNESS: I'm really	
22	struggling with the word should.	
23	Should has a meaning for me. It's got	
24	context to it. I've been really	
25	careful with the words because I don't	

Page 145 1 WEINER 2 want to confuse the court or the jury as it relates to my opinions. Should 3 is not a word that right now in this 4 5 moment you and I are agreeing what you're asking me to imply with it. 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 8 So you're not willing today to 9 say one way or the other whether Uber 10 should have done anything; is that right? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: If you could be a 13 bit patient with me to clarify with 14 you the word should, I might be able 15 to give you a more clear answer but on 16 the surface I am struggling with that 17 word. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Mr. Weiner, you told me earlier Ο. 2.0 that you make \$260,000 annually for your 21 day job at the Fed right? 22 That is accurate, yes, I make --Α. 23 You started billings plaintiffs for your work in this case in May of 2025; 24 25 is that right?

	Dago 146		
	Page 146		
1	WEINER		
2	A. That is correct.		
3	Q. You sent plaintiff an invoice in		
4	May; right?		
5	A. Yes.		
6	Q. Sent them one in June?		
7	A. Correct.		
8	Q. Sent them one in July?		
9	A. Correct.		
10	Q. Sent them one in August?		
11	A. Correct.		
12	Q. Sent them one in September?		
13	A. I have worked on this case		
14	continuously in addition to my job at the		
15	New York Fed over those months.		
16	MS. CARITIS: Okay. So you if		
17	we could, Mr. Delaney, please mark tab		
18	50 as Exhibit 6.		
19	(Exhibit 6, invoices, marked for		
20	identification.)		
21	BY MS. CARITIS:		
22	Q. And Mr. Weiner, Exhibit 6 is a		
23	compilation of all of the invoices that		
24	plaintiff's counsel produced to us last		
25	night and represented were invoices		

Page 147 1 WEINER submitted in connection with work done in 2 3 this case. If you want to just take a 4 quick moment to confirm these are, in fact, the invoices you submitted. 5 6 might be easiest for you to just download 7 them, otherwise Mr. Delaney can click 8 through but they are quite a few pages. 9 Α. I am most familiar with this If Mr. Delaney will just click 10 format. 11 through I will be able to help. 12 looks accurate. Next one? Next page. 13 Next page, next page, perfect, next page. 14 Next page. And the last set. Next page. 15 I can confirm these resemble what I 16 submitted, yes. 17 O. Okay. Let's look at the May 18 invoice. It's page 1 of the compilation, 19 Mr. Delaney, and this document reflects 2.0 time billed in the month of May. If we 21 look on the back, the second page, you 22 were paid \$83,750; right? 23 I have been paid for this 24 invoice, that is correct. 25 Q. Okay. And that was for one month

Page 148 1 WEINER 2 of work; right? 3 Α. That is correct. And then you submitted another 4 0. invoice for June for the work you've done 5 in this case. Mr. Delaney, if you could 6 7 please go to the third page, there's our 8 June invoice and if we go to the second 9 page of the June invoice, we see that in 10 June you billed an additional \$92,375; 11 right? 12 Α. That is correct. 13 Okav. Two months. Now let's Ο. 14 look at our third month. You submitted a 15 July invoice. Scroll through the next few 16 pages, Mr. Delaney, July, okay. And in July you were paid \$110,250 for your work 17 18 as an expert in this case; right? 19 Α. That is correct. 2.0 So far three months of work you Ο. 21 billed a little more than 83,000, a little 22 more than 92,000, and a little more than 23 110,000. 24 Fair to say in those three months 25 you're nearing your annual salary at the

Page 149 1 WEINER 2 Fed already? 3 Α. It is true that the sum of those 4 three amounts nears my annual salary at the Fed. 5 6 Okay. You submitted another Ο. 7 invoice in August. This one is even 8 bigger than the July invoice. It's three 9 pages long and it totaled \$124,750 for one 10 month of work on this case; right? 11 This was the month that we worked Α. 12 on the report primarily and as you've 13 seen, my report is extremely detailed and 14 thorough so yes, that is correct. 15 You were paid \$124,750 for that 16 work? 17 Α. Um-hum. 18 O. Okay. Now let's look at the last 19 invoice we have so far so the September 2.0 invoice. There you were paid -- now, your 21 report -- let's see. I see. This is 22 through -- I can tell by the date, this is 23 through the drafting of the report so I 24 see that your last entry is September 26, 25 2025. That's the date that the report was

Page 150 1 WEINER 2 served; right? 3 Α. That is correct. 4 So you were paid \$55,400 in the 5 month of September; right? You might recall that the report 6 Α. date shifted a number of times. We had it 7 materially drafted by the prior report 8 9 draft date. 10 Ο. I did some math and based on my 11 calculation just adding up the final totals in those five invoices for five 12 13 months, you were paid by plaintiffs 14 approximately \$465,000; is that right? 15 It is accurate to say I have 16 billed plaintiffs for \$465,000. I have 17 not been paid \$465,000. 18 O. Do you anticipate to be paid the 19 \$465,000? 2.0 I do anticipate to be paid the Α. 21 \$465,000. 22 Again for five months of expert 23 work in this litigation, you made \$200,000 24 more than what you get paid at your day 25 job in a year; right?

Page 151 1 WEINER 2 It is truthful that I have been Α. 3 paid more than my base salary at the Fed a total of \$200,000 when these invoices are 4 fully paid. 5 6 These invoices end September 26 Ο. 7 as we just said. We're sitting here 8 today, October 28. Fair to say that 9 you'll be submitting a sixth invoice to plaintiffs for any work done in connection 10 11 with this deposition? I have billed on an hourly basis 12 Α. for the work that I do and I have done 13 14 hourly work in this month. 15 How did you prepare for today's 16 deposition, did you meet with counsel? 17 My preparation for this Α. deposition involved a set of work that I 18 19 did to review my work and review the ISO 2.0 standards and a set of documents. I also 21 met yesterday with the counsel for plaintiff here in this office in 22 23 New York City. 24 Approximately how many hours have Ο.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

you billed, excluding today's deposition,

25

Page 152 1 WEINER so literally the time you're spending 2 today in preparing for your deposition in 3 the month of October? 4 I have not calculated that amount 5 Α. but I could certainly do so if that's 6 7 something you would like to know. 8 An approximation would be great. 9 Can you give me an approximation of the amount of time you've billed in October on 10 11 this case? 12 Α. You've now asked two questions. You've asked what I've done in October and 13 14 you asked what I've done to prepare for 15 this deposition. There are two things 16 that have happened in the month of 17 There has been significant October. de-designation of certain materials that 18 I've been asked to review and there was 19 2.0 the deposition I was asked to review. 21 That was not directly preparing for this 22 deposition. And then there was the time 23 dedicated and spent preparing for this

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

deposition. Your first question was how

much time I have spent preparing for the

24

25

Page 153 1 WEINER 2 deposition. My quesstimate is in the order of 15 to 20 hours. 3 What about the first bucket, 4 Ο. additional work done on further documents 5 or depositions in the month of October? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. there a question there? 8 9 BY MS. CARITIS: 10 Yes, how much time have you spent Ο. 11 in that first bucket reviewing any additional documents or deposition 12 testimony in October? 13 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 I can calculate THE WITNESS: 16 that on a break. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 Ο. Do you have an approximate? 19 I spent two weeks at a retreat so Α. 2.0 I was offline for a chunk of it. I just 21 really wouldn't want to guess and give an impression that isn't accurate. 22 It seems 23 like a very important number to have right and so with your consideration, I would 24 25 prefer to get a real number over the lunch

Page 154 1 WEINER 2 break, if that would be acceptable. 3 Thank you. Appreciate that. 4 We'll take a look at any additional time 5 spent reviewing materials that came in 6 after your report was submitted but you've 7 said that you are guessing about 15 to 20 8 hours prepping. Do you charge the same 9 \$500 an hour for deposition prep and 10 deposition time? 11 I charge the same sitting on an Α. 12 hourly basis for all the work that I do in 13 my litigation consulting. Okay. So let's -- I'll give the 14 15 lower end. So if you worked about 15 16 hours to prep for the deposition, 15 times 17 500, about 7,500 additional dollars that 18 you'll be paid in October for prep work; 19 is that right? 2.0 Α. That is a fair calculation of the 21 15 hours, yes. 22 And then we have seven hours 23 today, we'll all cross our fingers we don't use it, but you will also bill 24 25 plaintiff's counsel and be paid \$500 for

Page 155

WEINER

every hour we spend in our deposition today; is that right?

- A. At the risk of now me being snarky, I find it highly unlikely you won't spend seven hours.
 - Q. Fair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Α. That said, absolutely I will bill on an hourly basis for the work that I do on this case. Being completely accurate, detailed and effective in my role trying to explain complex technology issues to a judge and jury are very important to me and as you probably looked at some of my earlier reports, it is something that I take very seriously and spend the time needed to ensure I am portraying both sides of the picture, both the plaintiff and the defendant side so that I am impartial and thorough. And so I have done an incredible amount of work on this case because over two million documents were produced. I would read over 10,000 pages and almost 400 or 500 hours of depositions, so yes, I have billed for the

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 156 1 WEINER 2 work that I have done. 3 (Inaudible) report, you identify 4 that you've testified I think you said two dozen times before as an expert witness. 5 Let me be precise and find it. 6 7 I think I used that number on Α. 8 this conversation, that is an accurate representation. I have been engaged in 9 two dozen cases, 25 to be exact. 10 11 I'm on paragraph 18 of your Ο. 12 report. 13 Α. Okay. You note that as a testifying and 14 Ο. 15 consulting expert since 2011, you've 16 served in almost two dozen matters. 17 Α. Yes. 18 O. Okay. In those two dozen matters 19 to the extent that's not looping in 2.0 everything, in all of your expert work 21 experience, have you ever before been 22 tasked with analyzing whether or not a 23 company complied with industry standards 24 related to their implementation of safety features? 25

	Page 157	
1	WEINER	
2	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
3	THE WITNESS: I have been	
4	employed and recognized by the court	
5	as an expert in applying the practices	
6	of the product development lifecycle	
7	and assessing the risks that occur	
8	that are inherent to the particular	
9	clients I've evaluated.	
10	BY MS. CARITIS:	
11	Q. Okay.	
12	A. Yes, of course I've been doing	
13	that most of this career.	
14	Q. Which cases specifically did	
15	you were you tasked with analyzing a	
16	party's product development and	
17	determining whether or not they	
18	appropriately included risk management,	
19	what specific cases are you talking about	
20	there?	
21	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
22	THE WITNESS: So on this	
23	document, I have only listed a small	
24	set of cases. The product development	
25	lifecycle was evaluated in	

Page 158 1 WEINER 2 considerable depth in a case not enumerated on this list but is in the 3 list of cases which is known as Bank 4 5 versus Bank Software Company where they were being sued for gross 6 7 negligence in the implementation of their software and I was asked to look 8 9 at all of the practices of the 10 software development lifecycle, 11 including specifically the risk 12 management practices that they 13 employed in their process. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 Who were you employed by there --16 excuse me, retained by there, the 17 plaintiff or the defense? 18 Α. In that particular case, the 19 attorneys for the defense engaged my services. 2.0 21 And what were the allegations? You said that the defendant was being sued 22 23 for negligence. What was the specific 24 allegation? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

	Page 159	
1	WEINER	
2	THE WITNESS: In the furtherance	
3	of their product development	
4	lifecycle, they were accused of gross	
5	negligence in their execution of that	
6	product development lifecycle for the	
7	sake of implementing a product for the	
8	plaintiff.	
9	BY MS. CARITIS:	
10	Q. What product did they implement	
11	and what did the plaintiffs say was	
12	grossly negligent about their	
13	implementation?	
14	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
15	THE WITNESS: This was a	
16	confidential arbitration. I'm not	
17	sure those details are something I	
18	should be going into. I can talk in a	
19	general way about the case but that	
20	was a confidential arbitration under	
21	the American Arbitration Association	
22	guidelines.	
23	BY MS. CARITIS:	
24	Q. Were the gross negligence	
25	allocations related to claims of physical	

	Page 160	
1	WEINER	
2	harm?	
3	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.	
4	THE WITNESS: I feel comfortable	
5	saying that the gross negligence	
6	claims had nothing to do with physical	
7	harm. They were the management of	
8	risks in the software development	
9	lifecycle for a product in the banking	
10	space.	
11	BY MS. CARITIS:	
12	Q. Can you provide me any more	
13	details about the product in the banking	
14	space or is the rest of that confidential?	
15	A. I feel uncomfortable going much	
16	further but again, if you have more	
17	specific questions I would consider them.	
18	If they would be helpful in understanding	
19	the work that I have done, absolutely.	
20	Q. I want to understand the product	
21	that you analyzed as through that	
22	engagement. What was the banking product	
23	that you were analyzing in that	
24	litigation?	
25	A. It's safe to say it was a product	

Page 161 1 WEINER used by a bank to implement banking 2 services. 3 Okay. And you said that that's 4 Ο. an example you can give me of a time when 5 your expert experience involved the 6 product lifecycle and risk management. 7 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. The risk management there had 10 nothing to do with personal safety; right? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: We specifically 12 13 referred to ISO 30100 quite a number 14 of times, the ISO standard relating to 15 risk management. We did not have any 16 considerations related to personal 17 safety. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Okay, so that's helpful. Thank Ο. 2.0 you for bringing in the standard. 21 You were providing me there an 22 instance previously where you've relied on 23 -- is it ISO or I-S-O? 24 ISO. Α. 25 Q. That's an instance where you

Page 162 1 WEINER 2 relied on the ISO standards that you 3 discuss in this case in a prior expert 4 report; correct? That is, absolutely. I'll also 5 Α. refer to the ISO standards and the Newport 6 7 Hotel Group versus InfoFusion case that I 8 was just deposed on earlier this year and 9 I believe we provided you that deposition as well as the ISO standards enumerated in 10 11 that deposition that I relied on. They are all spelled out. 12 MS. CARITIS: I don't believe 13 14 we've been provided any deposition 15 transcripts so Tiffany, those were 16 requested so if you have them in your 17 possession, we request --18 MS. ELLIS: Counsel, we 19 determined based on your deposition 2.0 notice that it did not qualify for 21 production and is not appropriate for 22 production in the case. 23 MS. CARITIS: He just referenced 24 it and is relying on it to support his 25 expertise. I'm happy to talk to you

Page 163 1 WEINER 2 off the record but for the record, consistent with our notice of 3 deposition, we would request any 4 transcripts within Mr. Weiner's 5 possession concerning his prior 6 7 testimony that he just referenced himself. 8 9 MS. ELLIS: I'm happy to have this discussion off the record but I 10 11 will represent to you that it's my understanding this was not within the 12 13 bounds of what was requested in the 14 deposition notice. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 Mr. Weiner, are you relying --17 you mentioned it was like the hotel -- is 18 it the Newport Hotel Group versus InfoFusion case? 19 2.0 You were asking me about my prior Α. 21 discusses on risk management and I'm not 22 going to disagree with Tiffany on the 23 legal matter but I did refer to ISO 24 standards in that case and I did provide 25 counsel with deposition in that case.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 164 1 WEINER 2 So you provided counsel with a Ο. transcript of your deposition from the 3 4 Newport Hotel Group LLC for InfoFusion and you're relying on that prior experience 5 6 regarding ISO standards; is that fair? 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. think that misstates the evidence. He 8 9 simply said that that's a place where he discussed the ISO standards. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I didn't use the word rely. I said as an example 12 13 where you asked a specific question about where I had used risk 14 15 management, so I did not use the word 16 rely for the sake of this case, no. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: Plaintiff's counsel has that 18 Ο. 19 transcript? 2.0 Α. It is honest to represent that 21 plaintiff's counsel has that transcript. 22 Why did you provide that specific 23 transcript to plaintiff's counsel? 24 Plaintiff's counsel had asked if 25 they could see a transcript of a recent

Page 165 1 WEINER 2 I checked with the attorneys deposition. 3 in that case and they gave me that 4 permission. So that case, you said you relied 5 on the ISO standards there. Were you --6 7 let's see if you told me, were you retained by the Hotel Group or InfoFusion? 8 9 Α. In that case, I was retained by 10 attorneys representing the Hotel Group. 11 I've never actually been retained by a 12 defendant or a plaintiff. I've been 13 retained by attorneys to provide expert 14 opinions in cases. 15 What was the -- what were the 16 allegations in the Newport Hotel Group 17 case? 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: In the Newport 2.0 Hotel Group case, InfoFusion was 21 accused of not following standard 22 practices. 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 Regarding what? Ο. 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

Page 166 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: InfoFusion provided 3 technology services to Newport Hotel Group. Again this was a case where it 4 is available to see the -- it's in the 5 Rhode Island public forum for you to 6 7 see certain details, but I'm happy to 8 answer all those questions, but the 9 Newport Hotel Group was suing 10 InfoFusion over their industry 11 standard practices as a technology service provider. 12 13 BY MS. CARITIS: 14 Was InfoFusion providing Ο. 15 technology services concerning safety? 16 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 17 I'm going to help THE WITNESS: 18 both of us by saying InfoFusion was 19 not providing services regarding 2.0 physical safety. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 Okay, so I think my question 23 initially was whether in your prior litigation service you've ever utilized 24 25 the ISO standards to analyze a company's

Page 167 1 WEINER 2 prioritization of safety. 3 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: And if I didn't answer that, 5 Ο. let's just ask it now. 6 7 I don't believe that was your 8 question. 9 O. My fault, my brain. 10 Have you ever in your prior 11 litigation experience utilized ISO 12 standards to evaluate a company's prioritization of safety features? 13 14 If you will allow me to do this, 15 I will reword that answer. I have never 16 provided expert testimony on the use of 17 ISO standards as it relates to physical 18 safety features. 19 Thank you for the clarification. Ο. 2.0 So far we've talked about two 21 cases, prior litigation experience cases, 22 where you have utilized ISO standards. 23 Are there any other of your -- I think you 24 told me 25, about two dozen cases where 25 you've analyzed the ISO standards in

Page 168 1 WEINER 2 connection with your expert report or 3 testimony? 4 Α. If you'll bear with me just a 5 second, I'm thinking through 25 things to give you a precise answer. 6 7 The Newport Hotel Group and the 8 Bank versus Bank Software Company were the 9 two cases where I relied on ISO standards 10 to help augment my professional experience 11 and to explain them so those are the only 12 two. 13 MS. CARITIS: I will say given we went on and off the record a few 14 15 times, I have no idea how long we're 16 going so when we're at an hour, 17 Tiffany or other folks, let me know. 18 I completely lost track of time. 19 MS. ELLIS: We're very close to 2.0 it now. 21 MS. CARITIS: I'm happy to take 22 a break if we want to go off the 23 record. 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record. This is the end of 25

		Page 169
1	WEINER	
2	media unit 3. The time is 12:26.	
3	(Lunch recess taken at	
4	12:26 p.m.)	
5	_	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 170 1 WEINER 2 AFTERNOON SESSION 3 (Time noted: 1:12 p.m.) BRUCE WEINER, resumed and 4 testified as follows: 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 6 7 the record. This is the beginning of media unit 4. The time is 1:12. 8 9 CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Weiner, we're Ο. 12 back from our lunch break. And I want to 13 start by diving in a little bit on some of 14 the specifics in your report so I'm going 15 to be referring to it again. It's my 16 understanding you have that paper copy in front of you. 17 18 A. (Indicating). 19 Great. If you could please take 20 a look at paragraph 29. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Except I'm realizing I got ahead 23 of myself. Can we please go to 9, please, I'm sorry, I'm going to get it, 24 25 paragraph 5 on page 2.

Page 171 1 WEINER 2 Α. Yes. Okay. Mr. Weiner, this morning 3 Ο. we talked a lot about your experience, a 4 lot about the litigation work that you've 5 I want to now talk about some of done. 6 7 the specifics that you flagged for me a 8 few times. It starts at the very bottom 9 of paragraph 5 on the page 2 and then it 10 goes to page 3. 11 Curtis, if we could see the top of page 3. Perfect. 12 13 All right. You include the 14 sentence at the end of your paragraph 5, 15 "This included products that incorporated 16 multiple safety-related features, including physical safety (safety from 17 18 assault) as applicable to this case." 19 So first off, Mr. Weiner, thank 2.0 you there for kind of giving us that 21 clarity that we're working with 22 throughout. When we have this discussion, 23 I'm going to be talking about physical safety that I view and understand as 24 distinct from a financial crime or 25

Page 172 1 WEINER something else that could be offensive to 2 an individual that is not physical safety. 3 Is that fair? 4 5 Α. Yes, I use the term physical safety myself, absolutely. Happy to do 6 7 so. 8 Ο. Okay, great. So I want to now 9 talk about the specific products that you 10 are referring to at the end of paragraph 5 11 and throughout your report that 12 incorporated, quote, multiple safety-related features, including 13 physical safety (safety from an assault). 14 15 And based on my review of your 16 report, the first time I see you flag one of these physical safety-related features 17 18 is in paragraph 9. 19 Α. Correct. 2.0 In paragraph 9 you're discussing Ο. 21 experience from 1994 to 1998 when you 22 worked at the First Manhattan Consulting 23 Group. Do you see where I am? 24 Α. I do. And it was -- FMCG was a 25 Q.

Page 173 1 WEINER management consulting firm contracted by 2 financial services firms; right? 3 That is right. 4 Α. And you identify in the next 5 0. sentence in this role, "I worked for a few 6 7 banks on software products on features focused on physical safety of customers 8 9 engaging with ATMs especially late at night and in high-risk areas." 10 11 Do you see where you wrote that? I do. I see that right here on 12 Α. 13 the page. 14 What banks did you work for Ο. 15 concerning software products with features 16 focused on physical safety? 17 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. Тο 18 the extent that you can answer and it's not confidential, feel free. 19 2.0 THE WITNESS: As I mentioned it 21 has been a pattern of behavior in all 22 the cases I have worked on that I do 23 not disclose the names of clients and 24 consulting engagements that are under 25 prior confidentiality agreements.

Page 174 1 WEINER 2 can say that the three banks that I'm 3 specifically referring to here are large money center banks in the 4 New York area that have large ATM 5 networks around the world. 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 8 All right. I understand you're 9 unable to provide specifics but first you defined few as three banks; is that right? 10 11 This particular term when I said Α. 12 few, I am specifically referring to three. 13 Ο. Okay. And to the extent they all 14 utilize different software product 15 features, please let me know but I'm going 16 to ask a broader question. 17 Can you please describe the 18 software products with features that 19 focused on physical safety of customers? 2.0 Α. Sure. They were different for 21 each one. As I'm sure you can imagine, 22 the physical safety of customers in an ATM 23 setting is an important inherent risk, foreseeable risk that builders of software 24 25 for people interacting with ATMs and

Page 175

WEINER

2.0

scheduling resource around ATMs need to consider. We're talking about cash, we're often talking about late at night, we're talking about sometimes being exposed on streets in high crime areas. This is an area of consideration that is extremely important for the makers and those who manage ATM networks.

So in one case, we were working on a piece of software whose job it was to schedule security guards and cash operators to pull and feed cash into ATMs and we very much considered safety risks in the development of that software.

And another we were working on one of the early cellular-based models for streaming video from an ATM because these were ATMs in locations that did not have hardwired connections for the ATM to stream and communicate information.

And then the third case we were dealing with considerations of lighting systems and physical office capabilities and security doors for getting people in

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 176

WEINER

2.0

and out of ATM locations, the kind of things that were recorded in the vicinity of the ATM and the lighting systems that were used to help illuminate the area.

- Q. I want to break that down. You say software products with features. That would refer to the first product you identified and that was software that assisted with scheduling of security guards and other individuals that would be physically handling the cash in the ATMs?
- A. In that particular case, we were talking about that software, yes.
- Q. Okay. When you were engaged with this client, I understand you can't give me the name, this bank, they already had ATMs in place I assume; is that right?
- A. They did have ATMs in place in some locations. This particular project was about expanding that ATM network.
- Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that you were -- you and your team were tasked with consulting related to the expansion of ATMs beyond kind of the initial ATMs

Page 177 1 WEINER that were already available; is that 2 3 right? 4 Α. We were looking at the expansion of ATM network, our particular engagement 5 had to do with making sure we took into 6 7 consideration, and again the term foreseeable risks extending back to that 8 9 time, foreseeable risks and consumer 10 protections as it related to those ATMs. 11 I want to make sure I understand Ο. 12 the timing. So were you engaged by the 13 bank? The bank said hi, we want to put a 14 few more ATMs in the market, what do we 15 need to do? That's option one. Or two, 16 did the bank say hi, we're putting some ATMs in, figure out ways to make them 17 18 safer? Was one of those the engagement? 19 Α. We need to build software to 2.0 support expanding our ATM network, we need 21 you to consider everything and help us 22 build the requirements for that software 23 product that will allow us to expand that 24 network. 25 Q. And along with -- was there ever

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 178 1 WEINER a suggestion that the bank just not expand 2 their ATMs; if there's a risk of physical 3 injury, just don't expand? 4 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 5 That's '94 and '98. THE WITNESS: 6 7 I'm not sure I can recall that 8 particular discussion ever happening. 9 What we found in that engagement to the best of my recollection was there 10 11 were various types of risks and we came up with various types of 12 13 mitigants. And looking holistically 14 at the features, the benefits, the 15 risks and the mitigants, I can tell 16 you they did choose to roll out the network but I cannot remember a 17 18 specific discussion that was related 19 to maybe we just shouldn't do this. 2.0 BY MS. CARITIS: 21 After the software product was 22 implemented, were there still incidents of 23 personal safety concerns? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 25 the extent you know.

Page 179 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: Sure. I'm trying 3 to think. I can recall an incident at that 4 client after we rolled out the 5 software. 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 Okav. You said that there was 8 9 another safety product involved in this 10 engagement with banks concerning lighting 11 systems and other -- I wrote down doors, 12 other security features. There, can you 13 explain how that's software related? The company engaged us and 14 Α. Sure. 15 a real estate consultancy, there were two 16 of us working on this together, the real 17 estate consultancy was worried about the 18 physical location and we were worried 19 about evaluating a piece of software that 2.0 they could buy to control the lights and 21 the doors. And in the evaluation of that 22 software, we took physical safety risks 23 into consideration in evaluating the 24 products that had been built. 25 Q. It sounds like the product you

Page 180 1 WEINER just referenced to lights and safety 2 doors, that's a safety product, those are 3 safety products in of themselves; fair? 4 5 Α. Lights impact safety, door usage impacts safety, and the software product 6 7 that we were buying impacts safety. They 8 are all -- I have worked on products as 9 they relate to all sorts of risks for my 10 whole career. Safety is something often 11 considered. I have highlighted those 12 particular examples for the judge in this 13 case where my experience was most like the 14 safety risk that we were looking at in 15 this particular case. 16 I'm trying to understand, so with Uber, you're looking at a broader product, 17 18 right, that is the Uber platform, and 19 you're analyzing what they did and didn't 2.0 do in terms of safety within the broader 21 platform; right? 22 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 23 THE WITNESS: At Uber I'm looking 24 at the product development lifecycle 25 and the prioritization choices they

Page 181 1 WEINER made and how that impacted the entire 2 set of features, including features 3 specifically designed to prevent 4 against sexual assault. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 Right. So it sounds to me like Q. 8 the experience that you're referring to 9 for First Manhattan Consulting Group and 10 the ATMs, there you were tasked with 11 putting into the market a particular 12 product that in and of itself was a safety product. So you had a scheduling software 13 14 that would get security guards scheduled 15 at the appropriate time, and then you have 16 something about lights and safety doors. 17 Were you ever involved in 18 developing a broader product like the ATMs 19 on the whole and having to prioritize 2.0 features that would be rolled out across 21 the broader product? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: I think you're 24 asking if I ever was involved in the 25 design of an ATM system. Is that what

Page 182 1 WEINER 2 you're asking? BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 Ο. Yes. 5 Yes, I helped two clients during Α. that time period with the implementation 6 7 and design of an ATM system where they did not have one before. I did not list those 8 9 here because I was listing here those specific to the implementation of the 10 11 physical locations of the ATMs. The ATM network that I worked on was looking at 12 13 the cash management and internal communications to build an ATM network. 14 15 We did not actually look into the physical 16 locations of those networks. 17 Ο. Okay. And when you were involved 18 with an ATM, the development of an ATM 19 network, you did not in those situations 2.0 incorporate any features focused on 21 physical safety of customers? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: In the development 24 of the ATM network, we considered reporting features in order to have a 25

Page 183 1 WEINER 2 record of what happened in front of That type of video has been 3 the ATM. used for many years by law enforcement 4 officers in the event of safety issues 5 that occurred in front of ATMs so I 6 7 don't know if I could completely agree 8 with your characterization but we did 9 not look at locations or high-risk 10 areas. We were just looking at making 11 sure the ATMs recorded what happened 12 in front of them. 13 BY MS. CARITIS: I'll talk a little bit -- I want 14 Ο. 15 to talk a little bit about the recording 16 in a second but when you were analyzing 17 the development of an ATM network, you 18 didn't consider whether it was a high-risk 19 area; right? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: The work I did on 22 those two particular examples about an 23 ATM network did not consider risks of 24 the locations of the ATMs at all. /// 25

Page 184 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. Did you take into account and 4 consider that individual's use of ATMs late at night when it is dark outside? 5 6 In the three specific examples Α. 7 that I highlighted earlier that we talked 8 about two of them in some detail, we very 9 much had reports on safety issues that happen late at night, absolutely. 10 11 I'm talking about the times when Ο. 12 you actually developed the ATM network more broadly, not where you were working 13 14 on the specific safety product features 15 that we discussed. 16 MS. ELLIS: Is there a question, 17 Counsel? 18 MS. CARITIS: That's my question. 19 I'm asking him about those instances 2.0 if he ever took into account that 21 individuals often utilize ATMs late at 22 night when it is dark. 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 When discussing THE WITNESS: with the hardware manufacturers the 25

	Page 185
1	WEINER
2	camera capabilities that they were
3	going to include in the ATM network,
4	we did have discussions about low
5	lighting times. Is that what you're
6	asking?
7	BY MS. CARITIS:
8	Q. I'm asking in the product
9	development lifecycle, the whole thing
10	we're here to talk about today, when you
11	were developing the ATM networks, did you
12	take into account that individuals often
13	could use the ATMs at night when it's
14	dark?
15	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
16	THE WITNESS: When building the
17	software to implement ATM network, we
18	did not have on our radar a
19	foreseeable risk of using the ATMs at
20	night because we were not looking into
21	locations, we were looking at the
22	technology to talk to a physical
23	device.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. Okay, you said you worked with

Page 186 1 WEINER three banks and talked about the software 2 for security, scheduling security, we 3 talked about light systems and doors, and 4 I'm sorry, I know you articulated the third but what was the third product 6 7 safety -- excuse me, software product with 8 features focused on physical safety of 9 customers engaging with ATMs? Why don't I write them down -- we 10 Α. 11 spent a lot of detail on this a long time 12 ago. 13 Can we go back to what I said Give me a second. 14 before? 15 The cellular-based streaming 16 video from an ATM was the third. 17 And what did that product entail, 18 what were you streaming? 19 We were streaming the video from Α. 2.0 the physical device on the ATM to 21 recording servers at the bank location. 22 So in layman's terms, there was a 23 camera connected to an ATM that would stream, that would capture footage from 24 the ATM and then stream it to another 25

Page 187 1 WEINER 2 device; did I get that right? 3 Α. To be a little more specific, 4 there was a camera that would capture footage and when the cellular signal 5 allowed, would stream the recorded video 6 7 to a capture device at the bank. 8 What was the purpose of that streaming, cellular-based streaming 9 device? 10 11 Α. This particular bank had had a 12 couple of incidents where the device had 13 actually been destroyed and they had lost 14 access to the footage. They have built 15 for physical wired devices the capability 16 and were looking at building a capability 17 for devices that could not be physically 18 wired but hope to leverage the cellular 19 networks. 2.0 Ο. So this was the placement camera, 21 for lack of a better term? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: We didn't replace 24 the camera. This was a new way to get 25 the data back to their headquarters.

Page 188 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: How -- it wasn't live stream 3 Ο. 4 though; right? You said it would stream it up when the cellular signal allowed? 5 6 It was not live streamed most of Α. 7 the time. The most common occurrence at 8 that time was the recording would happen 9 and when the cellular signal was capable, 10 it would stream immediately after. 11 What would the banks do, why did O. 12 they want this footage? 13 Α. This particular bank was reacting to two incidents where the actual ATM 14 15 device had gotten destroyed and the 16 footage about what happened had got 17 destroyed and they wanted a way to capture 18 the footage. 19 So for this cellular-based Ο. 2.0 streaming device, that was installed to 21 ensure that the ATM itself was not 22 destroyed or impacted in any way? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 The two incidents THE WITNESS: 25 that triggered this client starting

Page 189 1 WEINER 2 this engagement was someone physically taking and destroying the ATM. 3 BY MS. CARITIS: 4 So the best of your knowledge, 5 0. 6 this cellular-based streaming product was 7 not put in place as a result of concerns 8 regarding physical safety for ATM patrons? 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 10 THE WITNESS: In the product 11 development lifecycle we considered 12 many risks, but one of the risks we specifically considered was the risk 13 14 of physical safety, particularly from 15 theft of the patrons and trying to 16 design this product in a way where 17 there was high-risk areas we had better streaming and better cameras. 18 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 Ο. Mr. Weiner, you just told me that 21 the two incidents that led the bank to ask you all to make this cellular-based 22 23 streaming camera were when individuals 24 attempted to destroy the ATM itself; 25 right?

Page 190 1 WEINER 2 Successfully destroyed the ATM. Α. The two incidents that you're 3 0. aware of that led the bank to ask you all 4 to create them this cellular-based camera 5 had nothing to do with physical safety; 6 7 right? 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 THE WITNESS: I don't think we know because the devices were 10 11 destroyed and there was no footage. 12 What I can tell you is as we 13 considered the new product, we took 14 into consideration the safety of the 15 patrons and the overall crime 16 statistics and risks in different 17 areas in designing this software and 18 where to deploy it. BY MS. CARITIS: 19 2.0 O. I'm a little confused about what 21 you mean by product development lifecycle 22 So Mr. Weiner, you just explained 23 to me that you were asked by a bank to create a product because their current 24 25 cameras were getting destroyed; right?

Page 191 1 WEINER 2 I was asked by a bank to create a 3 product, and the triggering event that caused them to make that request were two 4 5 cameras being destroyed. Okay. Did the bank ever tell you 6 Ο. 7 that they were asking you to make their 8 cellular streaming camera because they 9 were worried about patron safety? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 THE WITNESS: I do not recall the 12 specific conversation where the bank 13 mentioned patron safety. I'm speaking 14 to my experience in product 15 development and in that case, I 16 personally chose to consider patron 17 safety as a foreseeable risk in the 18 development of the features that led 19 to the product. 2.0 BY MS. CARITIS: 21 We talked about the three times Ο. 22 that you say that you worked on software 23 products with features focused on physical safety in connection with banks. 24 25 Α. Yes.

Page 192 1 WEINER 2 There's a second reference a Ο. 3 little bit later in your report concerning 4 additional products that you say focus on 5 personal safety and that was through some 6 work at United Airlines. You identify it 7 on paragraph 13. 8 Α. Yes. Here you say -- well, I guess 9 Ο. 10 just to clarify, do you know within your 11 work at First Manhattan Consulting Group when these three banking engagements were? 12 We know they were between 1994 and 1998. 13 14 Do you have any more specific recollection 15 of when the last engagement of these three 16 would have been? 17 Α. I honestly cannot recall that 18 specific. I apologize. 19 But the latest it could have been Ο. was 1998; is that fair? 2.0 21 It was during that time. Α. 22 So then you note in paragraph 13 0. 23 of your report that during your time at United Airlines, you "worked on pilot and 24

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

flight attendant-focused products that

25

Page 193 1 WEINER required balancing feature development 2 with operational requirements, including 3 4 personal safety requirements. One of those products matched pilots and flight 5 6 attendants with safe and appropriate 7 transportation and lodging, taking into 8 account gender matching and locations in 9 late-night, high-crime areas." 10 Do you see that? 11 Α. I see that. I wrote that myself. 12 Okay, I want to talk a little bit 13 about what this product was. 14 First off, I know you were at 15 United in 2002. Do you recall 16 approximately when you would have worked on this product? 17 18 Α. I worked on this product when I 19 was managing director of strategic 2.0 sourcing so that would be in my later time 21 at United. So it would have been -- when did 22 you leave United? 2005. So at the 23 latest, it would have been 2005; is that 24 fair? 25

Page 194 1 WEINER It was 2004, 2003 at United. 2 Α. Both in that product, as I mentioned, 3 4 later at Novantas I continued to work in the launch of the common software platform 5 6 for the Star Alliance so my engagement 7 with that product lasted probably five 8 years. 9 Ο. So let's talk a little bit about this product. You said earlier when we 10 11 were looking at your résumé that this was 12 in connection with a central reservation 13 system; is that right? 14 Yes, the provider of the central 15 reservation system was the provider of the 16 hoteling and transportation system that 17 was provided to flight attendants and 18 pilots. 19 So just high level, when you have Ο. 2.0 a flight attendant or a pilot that either 21 has a layover or just time before their next trip, the airline provides them 22 23 accommodations during their layover; is 24 that right?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

That is.

Α.

25

Page 195 1 WEINER 2 Okay. And what you were helping Ο. 3 design was a central reservation system where these flight attendants and pilots 4 could go in order to book accommodations? 5 Α. Correct. 6 7 Q. Is that right? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 And you note -- you write here Ο. 10 that that product took into account 11 operational requirements. What are you referring to there? 12 13 We considered quite a number of Α. factors and used rules to do some of the 14 15 matching, not quite as sophisticated as 16 Uber did but we were using some pretty basic rules to say, one, let's -- when we 17 18 had to put two people on a hotel room, 19 let's match on the same genders; and two, 2.0 we collected data throughout the year on 21 crime statistics and looked at hotels that were deemed safer. 22 23 Okay. So maybe I don't understand the complexities of the 24 25 reservation system so I want to understand

Page 196 1 WEINER a little more. 2 3 You talked about matching, so am 4 I understanding you correctly that one aspect of this pilot and flight attendant 5 reservation system is that it might pair 6 7 two pilots, two flight attendants together to be in the same hotel room; is that 8 9 fair? 10 Depending on the location and the Α. 11 availability, there were times when we 12 would pair flight attendants in hotel 13 rooms. 14 So when you talk about taking Ο. 15 into account gender matching, are you 16 referring to a program that would ensure that two flight attendants or two pilots 17 that were sharing a hotel room were of the 18 19 same gender? 2.0 I am specifically recalling an Α. 21 incident where we matched a pilot -- two flight attendants of different genders and 22 23 we had to build a feature enhancement to 24 ensure that didn't happen again. 25 Q. Okay. So again, okay. So other

Page 197 1 WEINER 2 than building a feature in your 3 reservation system to ensure two pilots or flight attendants of different gender 4 5 aren't put in the same hotel room, were there any other instances where you took 6 7 into account gender matching as you 8 identified in your report? 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm going to have 11 to say that I don't remember the 2002 12 specifics at that level of detail. 13 do personally remember the two flight attendant situation because that one 14 15 sort of stuck with me. I cannot 16 specifically recall if we had any other rules related to gender. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Were you involved in the initial Ο. 2.0 product development of the central 21 reservation system? 22 No, I came in later in the life 23 of the central reservation system. I took over the role, as mentioned, director of 24 25 strategic sourcing with responsibility for

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 198 1 WEINER 2 that relationship. 3 0. So fair to say that the first person wasn't you. The first person 4 charged with the product development 5 6 lifecycle of the central reservation 7 system forgot to take into account that 8 you probably shouldn't match two people of 9 different genders to sleep in the same 10 hotel room; right? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: I do not believe I 13 can assign the responsibility of that 14 mistake that happened to a choice of a 15 I can definitely say that 16 mistake happened. We learned from it 17 and we improved the product. That's 18 part of risk management in the product 19 development lifecycle. You learn new 2.0 things, you improve, you get better 21 products. 22 BY MS. CARITIS: 23 Were there any other time instances where you took into account 24 25 gender matching in your product

Page 199 1 WEINER 2 development work? 3 Α. In my personal product 4 development work, that is the one time that I can recall at this stage. 5 6 Why did you decide to emphasize Ο. 7 gender matching here? I was considering my past work 8 9 that might be relevant to someone in the 10 role of a judge considering my expertise 11 and I wanted to highlight a time in my 12 past that I had a particular experience that would potentially resonate. 13 14 Okay. So it's your position that Ο. 15 because you realized that airlines 16 shouldn't assign two flight attendants of 17 different genders to the same hotel room, 18 you're qualified to opine on whether or 19 not Uber should or shouldn't utilize a 2.0 women rider preferred program? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm 23 really struggling with that question. 24 Do you want to try and break it down a 25 bit? What I can tell you and I

	Page 200
1	WEINER
2	believe is responsive is I believe
3	that the whole of my experience speaks
4	to 37 years of product development
5	experience across all sorts of risks
6	and mitigants, features, development,
7	prioritization approaches in
8	industries, in government, in large
9	corporations, in transportation and
10	financial services, and travel and in
11	loyalty, and in going back through my
12	background, I thought it relevant to
13	highlight a few examples where I had
14	particular relevance in personal
15	safety feature development. I don't
16	think if I had any it would speak to
17	my ability to opine on personal safety
18	feature development because it's just
19	another risk that happens in the
20	product development lifecycle. What
21	I'm telling you is that I highlighted
22	these examples because I felt they
23	were particularly relevant.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. That's what I'm asking. So you

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 201 1 WEINER 2 think it's particularly relevant to this 3 case that in designing a reservation system for flight attendants, you 4 determined that you shouldn't match two 5 6 flight attendants of different genders to room in the same hotel room? 7 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 THE WITNESS: I think it's 10 relevant that in this time period we 11 were building rules-based systems that 12 took personal safety into 13 consideration. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 Is it your position that Uber 16 doesn't take personal safety into 17 consideration into the development of its 18 product? 19 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 2.0 THE WITNESS: We can go back to 21 my opinions if you like but the specific question you just asked is do 22 23 I believe Uber does not take into 24 consideration personal safety and my 25 answer to that question as evidenced

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 202 1 WEINER 2 by the personal safety products they developed is they do take into 3 consideration personal safety. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 You also go on to talk about how 6 Ο. 7 you also took into account locations in 8 late-night, high-crime areas when 9 developing the central reservation system. 10 How did you take into account these 11 late-night, high-crime areas? 12 Α. We collected data and used a 13 rules based approach to prioritize or 14 de-prioritize hotels based on the crime 15 statistics that we gathered. 16 So based on the likelihood of --Ο. well, based on the prevalence of crime at 17 18 or near a particular hotel, you would 19 remove it from the central reservation 2.0 system; is that right? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 22 We would use a THE WITNESS: 23 rules based approach to prioritize or 24 de-prioritize it. We did not always remove it. Sometimes we left it as a 25

Page 203 1 WEINER 2 last resort. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Got it, okay. So even if a hotel 4 Ο. was found to be riskier than another 5 hotel, you would leave it as an option but 6 it would be a lower choice, a lower 7 8 option? 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, 10 misstates the testimony. 11 What I'm saying is THE WITNESS: 12 we used a rules-based approach to 13 prioritize and de-prioritize hotels based on data that we collected. We 14 15 did not have anything as sophisticated 16 as a machine-learning model. We used 17 very simple rules to prioritize or de-prioritize based on data that we 18 collected. 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 You could have built a Ο. 22 machine-learning model; right? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 THE WITNESS: At the time with the resources that I had, we did not 25

	Page 204
1	WEINER
2	have machine-learning capabilities in
3	the central reservation system. We
4	had rules-based capabilities.
5	BY MS. CARITIS:
6	Q. You write in your footnote 2 that
7	United Airlines carried 67 million
8	passengers in 2005 for a total of 114
9	billion mainline revenue passenger miles.
10	Do you see that?
11	A. I wrote that, yes.
12	Q. Do you know what United Airlines'
13	profits were back in 2002 to 2005?
14	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
15	THE WITNESS: I do not have that
16	data point immediately available, no.
17	BY MS. CARITIS:
18	Q. Do you know how many pilots and
19	flight attendants United Airlines employed
20	at that time?
21	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
22	THE WITNESS: I cannot recall
23	that number at this time.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. Do you know how many pilots or

Page 205 1 WEINER 2 flight attendants alleged personal safety incidents in connection with their 3 4 accommodations during layovers? Α. I can recall that that number was 5 6 smaller than other things that we were 7 looking to, it was a smaller number. Smaller number than what other 8 things you were looking into? 9 We prioritized all sorts of 10 11 features in the product development lifecycle. We prioritized features that 12 13 got them faster. We prioritized features 14 that made sure they had a choice of hotel 15 brands. We prioritized features that 16 focused on getting them to and from the 17 airlines' location quickly. 18 When I say smaller, I can 19 basically say that the personal safety 2.0 reports that we were dealing with were not 21 as large as those other three issues that 22 I just mentioned. 23 I understand. You're saying 24 again your product development lifecycle, 25 you had personal safety issues but then

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 206 1 WEINER 2 you had other higher priority risks that you all had to consider? 3 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 4 THE WITNESS: We considered all 5 of the risks and all of the rewards to 6 7 build the best prioritization that we 8 could considering the product risks 9 and the timeline, and we attempted to 10 always use industry standard 11 approaches. BY MS. CARITIS: 12 13 You also note that you worked --0. one of these products worked to identify 14 15 appropriate transportation. What's that 16 referring to? 17 Α. The transportation between the 18 airport and the hotel. 19 What were some forms of Ο. 2.0 transportation that United relied on to 21 ensure that its pilots and flight 22 attendants got from the airline to the 23 hotel? 24 We had buses and shuttles as the Α. 25 primary vehicles, and in some smaller

Page 207 1 WEINER 2 airports we occasionally refunded taxi 3 fares. 4 Did you consider incident rates Ο. of physical violence that occur on taxis 5 6 when considering whether to utilize taxis 7 for the central reservation system? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I can recall that we considered taxis riskier than buses 10 11 and shuttles and had rules to try and minimize their use because we were 12 13 aware taxis had a higher risk profile than the bus or the shuttle. 14 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 So we just now talked about your Ο. 17 experience working with three banks on software products in connection with ATMs 18 19 and about your work while you were at United and a little bit with Novantas 2.0 21 regarding the central reservations 22 network. 23 Aside from those two buckets of experiences, are there any other 24 25 experiences that you would identify as

Page 208 1 WEINER falling into the products that include 2 3 personal safety? Objection, form. 4 MS. ELLIS: 5 THE WITNESS: As I wrote the words products including physical 6 7 safety (safety from an assault), those 8 are the specific products that I was 9 calling attention to. The question 10 was are there any others? 11 BY MS. CARITIS: 12 Ο. Yes. 13 What I'm thinking about is the Α. 14 multitude of products, the hundreds of 15 things that I have worked in over 37 16 years, and while none of them strike me specifically on safety from assaults, the 17 18 risks that we considered were widespread, 19 had all sorts of different flavors, had 2.0 all sorts of different priorities and we 21 used industry standard approaches to build 22 those products. 23 I applied the whole of that 24 experience in evaluating the documents 25 that were provided to me in this case and

Page 209 1 WEINER in forming my opinions, and I stand on the 2 basis for those opinions that I wrote in 3 this report. 4 Paragraph 29 you talk to and 5 Ο. refer to industry standards. You have 6 7 used that term a few times today as well. 8 But industry standards, you're talking 9 about product development industry standards; is that right? 10 11 I am talking about primarily Α. 12 industry standards related to technology 13 product development and product 14 development lifecycle. I have referred to 15 a few standards in my report, specifically 16 HAZOP studies industry standard looks at risk-based evaluation and FMEA, Failure 17 18 Mode and Effects Analysis industry 19 standards, which also look at evaluating 2.0 critical risks in a product development 21 lifecycle. 22 So yes, I've enumerated all the 23 industry standards I rely on but what I made very clear is that the sum of my 24 25 experience has built my knowledge on

Page 210 1 WEINER 2 industry standards and I'm relying on ISO, 3 IEC and IEEE documents to help the trier 4 of facts understand that it's not just my experience but that standards bodies have 5 6 written these down and documented them for 7 So I'm basically saying that we have 8 my experience and we have the standards 9 and collectively I have looked at all of 10 them in evaluating the discovery presented 11 to me. 12 And Mr. Weiner, you're not Ο. 13 talking about the rideshare industry or 14 any standard specific to the rideshare industry; right? 15 16 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 17 You and I are THE WITNESS: 18 struggling to accept the existence of 19 a rideshare industry but to try and be 2.0 responsive to your question I'll 21 rephrase it, as I am not providing you 22 information on any experience with the 23 standards at the rideshare companies, Uber, Lyft or any other rideshare 24 25 company.

Page 211 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. The standards that you write you are -- the primary document and 4 international standard you reference is 5 the ISO 31000; is that right? 6 ISO 3100 is the ISO standard 7 Α. 8 entitled Risk Management Guidelines and 9 covers risk management in product 10 development. 11 Okay, and I want to talk just Ο. 12 very briefly about ISO international 13 standards generally. First off, ISO is 14 not based in the U.S.; right? 15 ISO is not based in the U.S. as 16 its corporate headquarters but many of the 17 experts that opine on their standards are based in the U.S. 18 19 Okay. But just to answer my Ο. 2.0 basic question, ISO is not based in the 21 U.S., it's based in Switzerland; right, 22 Mr. Weiner? 23 Α. The ISO headquarters is in 24 Switzerland. ISO international standards 25 Q.

Page 212 1 WEINER 2 generally are voluntary; right? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 3 THE WITNESS: ISO standards 4 document standards in a comprehensive 5 I think what you're asking me 6 way. 7 are companies required to follow them, 8 and so from my standpoint that is a 9 legal matter, not a standards matter. So from the standards perspective, 10 11 they are recommended industry 12 standards. BY MS. CARITIS: 13 14 Would it surprise you to learn 15 that ISO itself says that they are 16 voluntary? 17 It would not surprise me for ISO Α. 18 to say it's voluntary. I can tell you in 19 my personal experience in 37 years, there 2.0 are companies that require ISO standards 21 to be followed in order to be willing to 22 engage in a contract with a particular 23 entity. So the fact that ISO considers themselves a body creating voluntary 24 25 standards isn't particularly applied to

	Page 213
1	WEINER
2	companies' choices and how and when to use
3	them.
4	MS. CARITIS: Okay. Mr. Delaney,
5	if we could please put up tab 11, I
6	have totally lost track. I think am I
7	on 7, Exhibit 7?
8	MR. DELANEY: Yes, 7.
9	MS. CARITIS: Thank you so much,
10	Curtis.
11	(Exhibit 7, document entitled
12	Foreward - Supplementary information,
13	marked for identification.)
14	BY MS. CARITIS:
15	Q. Exhibit 7 is the Foreward to the
16	ISO International Standards and national
17	law. I understand you want to give an
18	explanation but I just really want to
19	focus on the top section.
20	It says ISO International
21	Standards and national law. The very
22	first sentence reads, "ISO international
23	standards and other ISO deliverables are
24	voluntary."
25	Do you see where those words

Page 214 1 WEINER 2 appear on the ISO document? 3 Α. May I ask what document this is, 4 please? 5 Ο. Sure, you can pull it down. I'm sure Ms. Ellis will assist you in doing 6 7 that so we don't waste time but you can download it and then take a quick look but 8 9 this is the foreward from ISO itself. Feel free to take a second to give 10 11 yourself -- get acclimated with it. 12 MS. ELLIS: It just appeared in 13 the Box so give us one moment. 14 MS. CARITIS: Sure. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not clear what document this is. This might be a Web 16 17 page. Is that an accurate 18 representation of where you pulled this from? 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 Yeah, it was pulled from the 22 Internet, that's right. 23 Okay, so you're telling me that this comes from the ISO website? 24 25 Q. Yes.

Page 215 1 WEINER 2 Α. Okay. 3 0. Have you reviewed -- let me know when you're done reviewing and I'll ask 4 5 you some questions so we're not talking over each other. 6 7 Do you have the URL where this Α. was pulled from? 8 9 I can find it at the next break 10 but I'll represent to you that this comes 11 directly from the ISO website. Have you ever seen this document before? 12 13 Α. I do not recall ever seeing this 14 specific page before. 15 Okay. Do you disagree with the 16 statement that appears on the ISO document 17 that ISO international standards or other 18 ISO deliverables are voluntary? Α. 19 I have no reason to believe that 2.0 ISO is not being truthful when they say on 21 this Web page that their standards are 22 voluntary. 23 So we've already referenced this 24 but you would agree then that Uber is not required to take into account ISO in its 25

Page 216 1 WEINER 2 product development? 3 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 4 THE WITNESS: As written in my opinions, I have not stated a 5 requirement to follow ISO standards. 6 7 That is your representation. BY MS. CARITIS: 8 9 Ο. The next line here in that top 10 portion again pulled directly from the ISO 11 website, "They do not include contractual, 12 legal or statutory requirements. 13 Voluntary standards do not replace national laws, with which standards users 14 15 are understood to comply and which take 16 precedence." Do you see that? 17 18 Α. I do see that and that aligns 19 with my understanding. 2.0 O. Okay. So fair to say voluntary 21 standards, they don't replace or supplant 22 any national laws that Uber or any company 23 would actually comply with; right? 24 An ISO standard as both stated Α. 25 here and in my experience does not replace

Page 217 1 WEINER 2 or supersede any laws in any jurisdiction 3 or country. Did you review federal 4 Ο. discrimination laws that may be relevant 5 to Uber's product development in the 6 context of your report? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I did not review any discrimination laws that are 10 11 relevant to Uber's business as part of 12 my considerations for this report. BY MS. CARITIS: 13 14 Did you review or consider 15 Arizona rideshare regulations in 16 connection with your report? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 17 I did not review 18 THE WITNESS: 19 Arizona rideshare regulations in 2.0 consideration for my report. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 Did you review or consider 0. 23 Arizona discrimination laws in connection 24 with your report? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

Page 218 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: I am not a lawyer and I can maybe make this easier for 3 you by saying I did not review any 4 laws in consideration of my report. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 Okay. So you also didn't review 0. 8 or consider Arizona privacy laws; is that 9 right? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 THE WITNESS: I did not review Arizona privacy laws as in a factor in 12 13 my report. 14 BY MS. CARITIS: 15 Do you know why I'm asking you 16 about Arizona? 17 I'm assuming that you're asking me about Arizona due to its relevance in 18 19 this case. 2.0 What do you mean by relevance in O. 21 this case? 22 I have reviewed in the last 24 Α. 23 hours the complaints from Wave 1 cases and I have reviewed the depositions in the 24 Wave 1 cases and I'm familiar that one of 25

Page 219 1 WEINER 2 them is in Arizona. So 24 hours ago you learned that 3 one of the five bellwether plaintiffs is 4 alleging an incident that occurred in 5 Arizona, do I understand that correctly? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form, misstates his testimony. 8 9 THE WITNESS: That's not what I said. What I said is in the last 24 10 11 hours I reviewed the complaints and 12 deposition testimony. I was aware 13 that one of the cases was in Arizona before that. 14 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 When did you learn one of the 17 cases was in Arizona? 18 I cannot recall the specific date that I learned that one of the cases was 19 in Arizona. 2.0 21 Would it have been before or 0. 22 after you issued your report? 23 I am confident it was before I 24 issued my report. 25 Q. Okay. Where are the other

Page 220 1 WEINER bellwether plaintiffs located, where are 2 they alleging their incidents occurred? 3 If you need me to be specific, I 4 Α. have the document here but I do not off 5 the top of my head, other than 6 7 Philadelphia, recall the other cities 8 specifically. 9 Ο. Okay. Philadelphia, you might be 10 doing a report for another Uber case. 11 Α. Okay. But in this one, we're talking 12 13 about Arizona and we just discussed that. 14 We're talking about North Carolina. Did 15 you -- you already told me you didn't 16 review any law so fair to say that you didn't look into any rideshare 17 discrimination privacy laws in North 18 Carolina? 19 Objection, form. 2.0 MS. ELLIS: 21 THE WITNESS: Ms. Caritis, I am 22 not a lawyer and I am hopefully making 23 it clear, I did not review any legal laws as it relates to my assessment of 24 25 the product development lifecycle.

Page 221 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. And I know you said this but I need to make my record and get clear 4 testimony so that's why I'm asking these 5 6 questions although I understand you're 7 saying you didn't look at anything. You similarly did not review 8 9 rideshare regulations, discrimination laws or privacy laws in California; correct? 10 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: My report does 13 highlight a number of rideshare regulations that I did review. I did 14 15 not review rideshare regulations in 16 California. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 Ο. So sitting here today, you don't 19 know whether there are any laws or 2.0 regulations that impacted Uber's product 21 development lifecycle? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: I have seen 24 extensive documentation from Uber on 25 what it prioritized and how it

Page 222 1 WEINER 2 prioritized. I've also seen 3 significant redactions when talking about legal issues so my awareness of 4 the legal issues due to the redactions 5 is limited compared to my awareness of 6 other issues but I have seen a 7 holistic set of documents that talk to 8 9 the prioritization process that Uber follows. 10 11 BY MS. CARITIS: 12 Ο. You just told me that you didn't review any laws in connection with this 13 14 report; right? 15 I did not review any laws in 16 connection with this report. 17 So you can't tell us one way or Ο. 18 the other whether there are rideshare, 19 privacy, discrimination or other laws that 2.0 impacted or would govern Uber's product 21 development. You don't know if those laws 22 exist? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 THE WITNESS: Here's what I can 25 tell you clearly. I'm not a lawyer

	Page 224
1	WEINER
2	not likely proceed to recommend that
3	product without further discussion and
4	further consideration because as
5	stated, I'm not a lawyer and I have
6	long learned to trust and rely upon
7	legal interpretations that come from
8	lawyers.
9	MS. CARITIS: Thank you for the
10	reminder. Can we please go off the
11	record, please?
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going
13	off the record. This is the end of
14	media unit 4. The time is 2:13.
15	(Recess taken from 2:13 p.m. to
16	2:25 p.m.)
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
18	the record. This is the beginning of
19	media unit 5. The time is 2:25.
20	BY MS. CARITIS:
21	Q. Mr. Weiner, we just took a short
22	break. We were talking a little bit about
23	ISO standards.
24	You would agree with me that
25	there's no ISO standards specific to

Page 225 1 WEINER preventing or reducing a sexual assault; 2 3 correct? Objection to form. 4 MS. ELLIS: I'm thinking about 5 THE WITNESS: that for a second. 6 7 I think the most direct answer to 8 your question is I am not aware of an 9 ISO standard entirely focused on reducing the risk of sexual assault. 10 11 BY MS. CARITIS: Okay. ISO 3100 is a broad risk 12 Ο. management standard; correct? 13 14 Absolutely. It covers many kinds 15 of risks. It is a risk management 16 standard, and as I mentioned, it supports 17 my personal experience in helping provide a language for describing standards. 18 is not intended to be a definitive 19 2.0 document. 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Weiner, 22 your audio just is a little bit low. 23 I'm not sure if there's anything 24 sitting on top of your microphone. 25 THE WITNESS: I put a sticky with

Page 226 1 WEINER 2 our colleague's name on it and I think 3 I put that over the microphone. I am sorry, Ms. Caritis. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Do you know if any other 6 Ο. 7 rideshare company follows ISO 3100 or other industry standards as you define 8 9 them in your report? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 THE WITNESS: I have not 12 personally had the opportunity to see 13 internal documents from another 14 rideshare company. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 And you've not come across any 17 public materials that have suggested to 18 you that another rideshare company follows 19 ISO 31000 or any of the other standards 2.0 you reference in this report? 21 I do believe in my research I did 22 see a rideshare company following an ISO 23 standard that I do not refer to in my I just remember generally looking 24 report. 25 in terms of research on rideshare and ISO,

Page 227 1 WEINER I did see that Lyft does put itself out 2 there as following a particular data 3 security standard. 4 That's different than the 5 Ο. standard that you told us that you were 6 7 primarily focused on and that's ISO 3100; 8 right? 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. Correct. I did see 10 THE WITNESS: 11 some fantastic documents within Uber 12 which I enumerate in my report that 13 shows that Uber was both aware of ISO 14 3100 and attempted to consider it in 15 their risk management practices. 16 BY MS. CARITIS: 17 So you read my mind. So in your 18 report, you actually identify some 19 documents that you found internally at 2.0 Uber that reference the ISO standards; is 21 that right? 22 That is correct. Α. 23 If we could just -- I'll just 24 direct your attention to paragraph 96 of 25 your report. It's on page 45.

Page 228 1 WEINER Based on your work for this case, 2 you identify Uber documents that discussed 3 creating an ISO standard for gig economy; 4 right? 5 I did identify a document where 6 Α. 7 Uber executives were talking about a desire to create an ISO standard for the 8 9 gig economy, as they called it. 10 Have you -- are you aware of any Ο. 11 other rideshare company that has attempted 12 or considered creating an ISO standard for 13 the gig economy? 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 THE WITNESS: I have no personal 16 knowledge or access to internal 17 documents that other rideshare 18 companies that would need to have an 19 awareness of their work or lack of 2.0 work on a gig economy ISO standard. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 When you were consulting for 0. 23 various organizations or when you were working directly at United, did you 24 25 personally ever reach out to ISO to

Page 229 1 WEINER 2 approach them about creating a new standard? 3 Let me think for a second. 4 Α. There's only one time I participated in a 5 panel on creating a new standard and we're 6 7 going quite a ways back here. It was 8 during my time at American Express and 9 it's related to a technical standard 10 related to merchant processing. 11 You also note in your report that Ο. 12 "Uber joined the U.S. Technical Advisory 13 Group for the development of an ISO standard on privacy by design." 14 15 You see where you wrote that in 16 paragraph 96 of your report? 17 I both wrote that in paragraph 96 18 in my report and provided the citation to the document where I saw that. 19 2.0 So Uber proactively joined a Ο. 21 technical advisory group to assist in 22 developing an ISO standard; is that right? 23 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 24 That is a fact that THE WITNESS: 25 I have documented in my report,

Page 230 1 WEINER 2 absolutely. 3 MS. CARITIS: Okay. I'm going to take a look now, it looks like you 4 have a hard copy in front of you which 5 is great, at ISO 3100. And for the 6 7 record, Mr. Delaney, if we could 8 please mark tab 14 as Exhibit 8. 9 (Exhibit 8, document entitled Risk management -- Guidelines ISO 10 11 31000, marked for identification.) BY MS. CARITIS: 12 13 Since you have a paper copy in front of you, hopefully we can refer to 14 15 that but feel free if it would be helpful, 16 Mr. Delaney can kind of scroll through or at least maybe go to the back page to 17 ensure that the exhibit that we've entered 18 19 as Exhibit 8 is, in fact, the Risk management -- Guidelines ISO 31000. 2.0 21 310 2018(E) is the particular one 22 I have in front of me. I cannot see who 23 that one you're having there is licensed to but I do believe it's not the one 24 25 licensed to me.

Page 231 1 WEINER 2 You didn't give us those so we got them ourselves so this is licensed to 3 someone else. 4 Α. Got it. 5 Okay. So we see from the cover 6 Ο. 7 page ISO 31000 is a risk management quidelines; right? 8 9 Α. Absolutely. That is the ISO 31000. 10 11 Ο. Okay. And it's about a 15-page document. Does that sound right to you? 12 13 I see I've got 16 pages, a bibliography, 14 and I would like to first take a look at 15 the introduction page. 16 A few pages in, Curtis, it's 17 intro v. 18 And it says that the -- at the 19 very top, "This document is for use by 2.0 people who create and protect value in 21 organizations by managing risks, making decisions, setting and achieving 22 23 objectives and improving performance." 24 You see where the standard 25 articulates that objective?

Page 232 1 WEINER Absolutely. I am well aware of 2 Α. the introduction. 3 4 Ο. Okay. And you would agree that this standard, it involves all types of different risks companies can face; right? 6 7 I would agree that this is the 8 risk management standard covering all 9 types of risks relevant in the product 10 development lifecycle. 11 In fact, I think of risk I think Ο. 12 bad, but ISO 31000 all makes clear that 13 risks can be positive or negative; is that 14 right? 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 16 THE WITNESS: The management of 17 risks can lead to positive and 18 negative outcomes, yes. 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 If we can take a quick look at Ο. 21 page 1, Mr. Delaney, of the body of the document, we see a definition of risk. 22 23 And there it says, risk, effect of uncertainty on objectives, Note 1 to 24 entry: An event [sic] is a deviation from 25

Page 233 1 WEINER 2 the expected. It can be positive, 3 negative or both. Do you see that? 4 Α. Yes. So when you're developing a 5 product, you need to consider all of the 6 7 inputs coming in, the good and the bad; is that fair? 8 9 Α. As a product development 10 professional with 37 years of experience, 11 I can absolutely agree with you that 12 managing risk takes into account all sorts 13 of positive and negative unexpected 14 events. 15 We talked a little bit about your Ο. 16 time at United. You've explained to me 17 that during your time at United, you 18 utilized a product development lifecycle; is that fair? 19 2.0 Α. We had a product development 21 lifecycle that was specific to the UAL 22 loyalty organization, and then when we joined the main airline, we worked to 23 24 adapt that product development lifecycle 25 into the airline space more broadly.

Page 234 1 WEINER 2 Okay. I'm going to talk at a Ο. high level at United. Fair to say that 3 4 one of the most extreme negative risks that United could face would be a tragic 5 6 safety event. That would be one example 7 of a negative risk; fair? It is absolutely fair to say that 8 9 a tragic safety event like a plane crash is one of the most negative events United 10 11 has. 12 O. Some other events that United 13 could experience would be reputational 14 harm, that's another example. 15 United could consider 16 reputational harm something that it 17 desires to avoid, absolutely. Another thing that it could take 18 O. into account and consider as a risk would 19 2.0 be fuel prices. 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 22 THE WITNESS: I can tell you that 23 the variability of fuel prices was a 24 risk that we considered at United in 25 our risk management process.

Page 235 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: And the ISO 31000 is intended to 3 Ο. 4 arm companies with a plan to map out all types of these risks, the good and the 5 bad; is that right? 6 ISO 3100 sets a standard for 7 Α. 8 managing the risks broadly, absolutely. 9 And it then allows for strategic 10 decision-making to be made? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. The goal of risk 12 THE WITNESS: 13 management is enumerated here and it 14 covers in paragraph 6 the following 15 steps: risk identification, risk 16 analysis, risk evaluation, risk 17 treatment, monitoring and review and 18 recording and reporting. BY MS. CARITIS: 19 2.0 O. Got it. Okay, I think -- you 21 were talking about section 6? 22 Α. Yes. But okay. We'll get back to that 23 0. in a minute. You would agree that this 24 standard is a framework that allows 25

Page 236 1 WEINER 2 companies to best achieve their objectives. 3 Objection, form. 4 MS. ELLIS: ISO refers to this 5 THE WITNESS: as a guideline, not a framework. 6 7 is a guideline that can be used to 8 make risk management practices come up 9 to industry standard levels. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 Let's look at what the standard Ο. 12 actually notes. 13 Principles on page 2, 14 Mr. Delaney, we see at the very bottom it 15 says here the purpose of risk management 16 is the creation and protection of value. It improves performance, encourages 17 18 innovation and supports the achievement of 19 objectives. Do you see that? 2.0 Α. Absolutely. 21 So you would agree with me the Ο. 22 principles of risk management includes supporting the achievement of objectives? 23 24 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 25 THE WITNESS: I can plainly see

Page 237 1 WEINER 2 the language here that the principle 3 enumerated by ISO encourages innovation and supports the 4 achievement of objectives. 5 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 Okay. The standard does not Ο. 8 define what a company's objective should 9 be? 10 Α. ISO standard on risk management 11 does not attempt to define the company's 12 objectives, absolutely. Some companies' objective might 13 Ο. 14 be to improve profit; fair? 15 I think most public companies 16 have an objective of improving profit. 17 And the ISO 3100, it provides a Ο. framework but it doesn't dictate the 18 19 decision that a company should take; is that fair? 2.0 21 ISO 3100 outlines a process or Α. 22 framework I quess is a fair word or a 23 quideline upon which proper risk management processes can be modeled. 24 25 Q. If we look at page 3 of the

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 238 1 WEINER 2 standard, it lays out some elements that should according to the standard be 3 4 integrated and included in an effective 5 risk management program. We see on the 6 bottom of the page it looks like there are 7 eight elements. Do you see where I am, Mr. Weiner? 8 I've got it in front of me. 9 Α. 10 Okay. The second element, excuse Ο. 11 me, the third element notes that risk 12 management, effective risk management 13 strategies must be customizable. Do you see that? 14 15 I agree that effective risk 16 management requires that the risk 17 management strategies be customized, 18 specifically customized and proportionate 19 to the organization's external and 2.0 internal context related to its 21 objectives. 22 So again, fair to say the ISO 23 3100 it provides guidelines but it leads with the idea that a company will have to 24 25 customize its own personal use of the

	Page 239
1	WEINER
2	guidelines based on its own business and
3	objectives?
4	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
5	THE WITNESS: I agree absolutely
6	that effective risk management
7	requires these particular elements as
8	documented in ISO 31000 which includes
9	customization and proportionality to
LO	the internal and external context.
L1	BY MS. CARITIS:
L2	Q. Another of the elements that ISO
L3	31000 identifies is that the effective
L4	risk management should be inclusive, which
L5	it describes as "appropriate and timely
L6	involvement of stakeholders, enables their
L7	knowledge, views and perceptions to be
L8	considered. This results in improved
L9	awareness and informed risk management."
20	Do you see that?
21	A. I am looking at the screen
22	reading along with you as you read part d)
23	of the section on effective risk
24	management.
25	Q. Are you aware that Uber engaged a

Page 240 1 WEINER 2 safety advisory board to assist in its product development? 3 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 4 THE WITNESS: I have seen 5 documents that relate to the safety 6 7 advisory board gathered by Uber, both at the board level and within 8 9 management of the safety division. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 Are you aware of the expertise Ο. and experience of the individuals that 12 13 served on Uber's safety advisory board? 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 I have seen bios of THE WITNESS: 16 some of the people on safety advisory 17 board and I have seen bios of the 18 safety engineers at Uber that manage 19 safety practices. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 Are you also aware that Uber 22 partnered with various experts in sexual 23 assault prevention or victim advocacy? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 25 THE WITNESS: I have seen

Page 241 1 WEINER 2 documents related to these two organizations that Uber engaged for 3 the purpose of documenting advocacy 4 and standards they spoke to one 5 organization that they work with on 6 their taxonomy for sexual assault and 7 sexual misconduct. 8 9 BY MS. CARITIS: Another element that the ISO 10 Ο. 11 standard we're discussing emphasizes is 12 the need to be dynamic. "Risks can 13 emerge, change or disappear as an 14 organization's external and internal 15 context changes." 16 Do you see that? Absolutely. The dynamic nature 17 Α. 18 of risk management is well known in my 19 experience in the industry. 2.0 O. Okay. And that's kind of one 21 perfect example of we were talking about 22 for your reservation system. You had to 23 be dynamic and learn from a risk that 24 later was identified after a product was 25 already released; fair?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 242 1 WEINER 2 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I think I would 3 THE WITNESS: highlight that as both dynamic and 4 best available information. As better 5 information became available, you have 6 7 to adjust your risk management 8 practices to meet the needs of your 9 customer base at the moment in time when the information becomes 10 11 available. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Is it your opinion that Uber's 0. 14 product development lifecycle did not --15 and priorities did not change over time? 16 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 17 You're asking me if THE WITNESS: 18 Uber's priorities changed over time. 19 And if you'd like, I can read to you a 2.0 paragraph where I describe quite 21 literally Uber's priorities changing over time and their allocation of 22 23 resources changing with them. So I 24 believe my report clearly states that 25 Uber's priorities changed over time.

	Page 243
1	WEINER
2	BY MS. CARITIS:
3	Q. You can direct me to that
4	paragraph. I'll take a look.
5	A. Sure.
6	(Witness perusing document.)
7	Here we go. Paragraph 122. "We
8	see Uber shifting its investment of its
9	total technology resources in the
10	
11	between a two-year
12	period from of its total
13	technology resources to of its
14	total technology resources as evidenced by
15	priorities changing."
16	Q. Okay. So it's your testimony
17	that Uber invested less in safety in 2020
18	than it did in 2017?
19	A. My testimony
20	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
21	THE WITNESS: has nothing to
22	do with safety in this particular
23	case. What I am speaking to in this
24	particular case is their investment in
25	these core

	Page 244
1	WEINER
2	I go on to talk
3	about safety investments in paragraph
4	123.
5	BY MS. CARITIS:
6	Q. Okay. Mr. Weiner, we're focused
7	today on Uber's safety initiatives so is
8	it your opinion that in 2020, Uber
9	prioritized safety less than it did in
10	2017?
11	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
12	THE WITNESS: What I can read you
13	is the two sentences here. "My
14	analysis of the data from three
15	resource allocation presentations I
16	discuss in paragraph 22 is that the
17	allocation of resources across Uber to
18	the safety and insurance area was
19	
20	
21	This shows
22	that safety remained a marginal
23	investment relative to other business
24	drivers."
25	///

Page 245 1 WEINER BY MS. CARITIS: 2 3 Okay. So I understand you're saying that Uber allocation of resources 4 5 as a -- I guess rate decreased. Do you think their prioritization of safety 6 decreased from 2017 to 2020? 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 BY MS. CARITIS: 10 And if you say that's not the 0. 11 focus of my report, then that's an answer, too. I just want to understand the scope 12 13 of your opinion. 14 As you can tell, I'm trying to be 15 very thorough and precise in my answer to 16 you. Give me just a second. 17 The allocation to the safety and 18 insurance area stayed within a relatively 19 narrow band of 2.0 So you're asking me 21 if I have stated in my report that they 22 decreased their investment in safety and 23 what I'm saying is the allocations that I was able to gather from their documents 24 to 25 show that it went from

Page 246 1 WEINER 2 for the safety and 3 insurance area. I'm asking a different question. 4 Q. So I'm not focused on how much money they 5 spent, okay? I'm not worried about money 6 7 spent. I'm asking if you think the way in 8 their product development lifecycle they 9 prioritized safety features decreased, they prioritized it less in 2020 than they 10 11 did in 2017. Do you have an opinion as to 12 that question? 13 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 14 I'm checking. THE WITNESS: 15 Having just reviewed my seven opinions 16 documented in my report, there is no 17 evidence of an opinion stating that Uber changed its priority between 2017 18 19 and 2020 on safety. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 Do you believe that Uber released 22 more safety features after 2017 than it 23 did before 2017? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 25 THE WITNESS: As you have seen in

Page 247 1 WEINER my report, Uber released safety 2 3 features primarily for two reasons. One, to improve its safety perception 4 of its riders and drivers which it 5 deemed critical to its growth; and 6 two, as it relates to actually 7 reducing incidents of sexual assault 8 9 and sexual misconduct. Most of the features that I have documented here 10 11 were introduced after 2017. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Okay. The first part of the 0. 14 answer had nothing to do with my question. 15 My question is not why you think they 16 released safety features, you said perception versus actual incident 17 18 reduction. I'm asking just the number of 19 safety features that Uber released. You 2.0 would agree with me that they released 21 more features after 2017 than they did 22 from their inception to 2017, you agree 23 with me there? 24 If we look at my Appendix C or 25 Exhibit C, I enumerate the introduction of

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 248 1 WEINER 2 safety features and I can confirm your statement that the majority of the safety 3 4 features were introduced after 2017. 5 You just gave another opinion 0. concerning perception. You said that 6 7 based on your review of the materials, you 8 think that a majority of the safety 9 features that Uber released were released 10 in order to impact consumer perception of 11 safety. What's your basis for that 12 opinion? 13 Α. Let's read the opinion and then the basis, of course, was documented well 14 15 in my report. So the opinion specifically 16 states I reviewed --17 O. Can you let me know where you 18 are? 19 Α. I apologize. 2.0 Page 119, opinion 7. It states, 21 "I reviewed Uber's portfolio of safety 22 features, defined as those that were 23 designed to influence rider or driver's perception of safety, which was critical 24 to Uber's growth, or (2) were intended to 25

Page 249 1 WEINER provide direct protection against sexual 2 3 violence. Based on Uber's records, only three features - safety, risk assessed 4 5 dispatch, audio and video recording, and 6 W2W, which I've defined earlier as Women-to-Women, were supported by internal 7 analysis for Uber documented reductions in 8 9 sexual violence incident rates. 10 contrast, other safety features 11 (summarized in Exhibit C) were primarily 12 evaluated against perception-based metrics (such as safety sentiment or feature 13 awareness) rather than measurable 14 15 reductions in incidents. It is my opinion 16 that this governance approach reflects a prioritization of perception over 17 18 prevention, inconsistent with industry 19 standard for product development where 2.0 foreseeable risks to physical safety 21 exist." 22 Mr. Weiner -- I'm sorry, I'm 23 sorry, please let me ask a follow-up. That was a long one. Let me ask some 24 25 follow-ups and then you can keep talking.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 250 1 WEINER 2 Prior to this litigation, when 3 have you ever measured consumer sentiment associated with a safety product feature? 4 Objection, form. 5 MS. ELLIS: THE WITNESS: As we reviewed in 6 7 my history, I've worked for the 8 Brierley+Partners organization which 9 was an ad agency focused on loyalty, and we reviewed the customer sentiment 10 11 across many products, one of which was 12 the safety people felt in hotel 13 chains. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 Is it fair to say, Mr. Weiner, 16 that to come to your opinion 7 that Uber's 17 prioritization of perception over 18 prevention was inconsistent with industry 19 standards for product development where 2.0 foreseeable risk to physical safety exist, 21 the basis of that opinion was on Uber 22 documents you read; is that right? 23 Α. The basis of that opinion was on 24 Uber documents that I read and other 25 documents which I footnoted in the opinion

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 251 1 WEINER 2 which are, for example, the deposition of Hanna Nilles, ISO 94, 9241-11, the 3 4 deposition of Gus Fuldner and Uber documents plus those additional documents 5 6 that I mentioned. 7 Mr. Weiner, can you name for me Ο. 8 one safety measure that could serve as 9 direct protection against sexual violence, other than those measures that Uber has 10 11 implemented or piloted on its platform? 12 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: You're asking me to 14 come up with a new safety feature? 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 No, I'm asking you -- you're Ο. 17 opining that whether or not Uber's 18 features were intended to provide direct 19 protection against sexual violence. I'm 2.0 asking you to tell me, do you have any 21 experience in identifying any way to 22 reliably prevent sexual violence? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 I have spent 37 THE WITNESS: 25 years in product development building

Page 252 1 WEINER 2 products that achieve all sorts of I have reviewed extensive 3 outcomes. Uber documentation where they focus on 4 the prevention of sexual violence. I 5 have experience in reading and 6 7 reviewing reports, looking at internal studies and coming to opinions based 8 9 on prioritization processes. I have documented those opinions and bases 10 11 here in my report. BY MS. CARITIS: 12 13 Ο. We've already talked about this 14 but Mr. Weiner, you've never worked on a 15 product related to safety against sexual 16 misconduct or sexual violence; right? 17 MS. ELLIS: Objection. We have discussed a 18 THE WITNESS: 19 series of products that regard 2.0 physical safety. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 That wasn't my question. Please 0. 23 listen to my question. Have you ever 24 worked on a product --25 MS. ELLIS: Counsel, if you could

Page 253 1 WEINER 2 refrain from cutting off the witness, 3 he was answering the question, but we're getting to a point in the day, I 4 understand it's after lunch, let's 5 just not cut off the witness, please. 6 7 MS. CARITIS: I totally agree and 8 I apologize, but Mr. Weiner if you 9 could please listen to my question and listen to what I'm asking because I 10 11 understand you want to give a complete 12 and full answer. I'm asking a little 13 more narrow question so please answer that one. I'm sure Ms. Ellis will 14 15 give you an opportunity to talk more 16 if you want later, but please listen 17 to my question and I will respectfully 18 ensure that you have time to answer my 19 question. Let me ask it again. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 Mr. Weiner, you have no Ο. 22 experience building products related to 23 the prevention sexual violence 24 specifically? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

	Page 254
1	WEINER
2	THE WITNESS: As I have defined
3	sexual violence in my report and
4	described to you the situations where
5	I worked on products that included
6	physical safety, I would not agree
7	with your statement that I have never
8	worked on them.
9	BY MS. CARITIS:
10	Q. Okay. I'm not sure if you heard
11	me. I didn't say physical safety, I said
12	sexual violence.
13	A. I started with responding to
14	sexual violence. The first thing I wanted
15	to ground on is the definition of the term
16	sexual violence which I enumerated in my
17	report. The sexual violence aspects that
18	occurred at United were considerations.
19	Q. You just changed your testimony,
20	but that's fine. We'll have those and we
21	can deal with it later.
22	MS. ELLIS: I move to strike the
23	commentary of counsel on the record.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. You also talk in this opinion

Page 255 1 WEINER 2 setting about feature awareness. Are you aware of Uber testimony about the 3 importance of feature awareness in 4 5 preventing sexual assault? 6 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 7 If you have a THE WITNESS: particular document that you're 8 9 referring to, or Uber executives have talked about future awareness in 10 11 preventing sexual assault, I would 12 appreciate the opportunity to see that 13 document. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 That's exactly what I'm talking 16 about but I'm asking you if you are aware 17 of it. Are you aware that Uber has 18 discussed in their product development the 19 importance of product awareness in 2.0 preventing incidents of sexual misconduct, 21 yes or no? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: I have seen 24 documents where Uber executives were 25 discussing awareness as having an

Page 256
WEINER
impact on sexual assault. I have not
seen any documented study that
demonstrates those results in an
internal study from Uber.
BY MS. CARITIS:
Q. Okay. You would agree that any
safety feature, it can only work if people
know to use it; right?
MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I can disagree with
that statement because I know one of
the most effective safety features
that Uber has created to prevent
sexual violence is S-RAD which they
worked very hard to keep out of public
awareness for many years. So I do not
agree the only way that it can be
effective is people know about it.
BY MS. CARITIS:
Q. Do you agree that the 911 button
is a good step that Uber invented in order
to keep passengers safe on rides?
MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I am not, as you

Page 257 1 WEINER 2 have gone in great detail to discuss with me, able to opine on the 3 psychology of people or how they make 4 choices about safety and crime. 5 I can tell you is what I've reviewed 6 7 in Uber's documents, which is the 911 8 button had an impact on the perception 9 of both riders and drivers, that it 10 was a feature that made people feel 11 safer. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Ο. Another way that it could be 14 helpful to deter crime by marketing safety 15 features is because then a driver knows 16 that a rider has the ability to utilize the safety feature on a trip; right? 17 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I have seen studies 19 THE WITNESS: 2.0 and reports in Uber that state that 21 driver awareness of safety features 22 has an impact on the perception of 23 safety, absolutely. BY MS. CARITIS: 24 25 Q. I'm asking a different question.

Page 258 1 WEINER 2 So I'm saying that say I'm a driver, I know Uber's marketed to me that my 3 passengers have the ability to push a 4 button and text 911 and send my info. My 5 6 driver awareness of that safety feature 7 could deter me from committing a crime? 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 THE WITNESS: If you have an Uber document that shows evidence of that 10 11 effect, I would like to see it and 12 would find it helpful in my 13 understanding of Uber's safety features. 14 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 Mr. Weiner, is it your opinion 17 that the only safety features Uber should 18 implement are those where they actually 19 have a study confirming its effectiveness? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: I have to read you 22 the opinion again because the answer 23 to your question implies we have not 24 communicated clearly. What I said was 25 "Uber failed to incorporate industry

	Page 259
1	WEINER
2	standard risk-based practices into its
3	product development lifecycle and
4	instead prioritized growth, cost
5	reduction and competition over the
6	timely implementation of safety
7	features when its own internal studies
8	indicated it could mitigate risks of
9	sexual assault and misconduct."
10	Nowhere in this opinion do I say
11	that safety features that have an
12	impact on perception have no value.
13	That is not what my opinion says.
14	BY MS. CARITIS:
15	Q. Okay. So opinion 7 though, you
16	make a big stink about how Uber safety
17	features in your opinion were put in place
18	for perception over prevention. So is
19	that a bad thing in your opinion or are
20	you not willing to say it's good or bad,
21	you're just saying they implemented
22	features for perception over prevention?
23	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form,
24	compound, misstates the testimony and
25	improper commentary.

Page 260 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: What I can say is what's written in black and white in 3 my opinion. It is my opinion that 4 5 "this governance approach reflects a prioritization of perception over 6 7 prevention inconsistent with industry standards for product development 8 9 foreseeable risk to physical safety exists." 10 11 I did not assign a good or bad characterization. I simply stated my 12 13 opinion as is factually understood to 14 me. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 So you're not saying that every 17 time you find that something is inconsistent with industry standard that 18 19 it's negative? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: We seem to be 22 talking past each other a bit. You 23 are asking me if I find -- I'll 24 restate your question, if I believe 25 that prioritizing perception over

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 261 1 WEINER 2 protection is good or bad. What I need to refer to at this time is ISO 3 quide 51 which my colleagues provided 4 5 to you last night which enumerates quite literally quidance on page 7 6 7 that I have called the hierarchy of 8 risk. And in this document on page 7, 9 it enumerates that inherently safe 10 design is the priority. Guards and 11 protective devices are the second 12 step, and information for use is the 13 third step. So again relying heavily 14 on industry standards, I am suggesting 15 that coming up with inherently safe 16 design has a higher priority than 17 increasing perception of safety. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Okay, so you're saying that it is Ο. 2.0 bad that Uber put perception over 21 prevention, yes or no? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer the 24 question bad with yes or no because 25 I'm not talking about bad at all. I'm

Page 262 1 WEINER 2 not talking about good or bad, I'm talking about -- I'm talking about 3 standards and my experience. I'm not 4 trying to assign good or bad to those 5 standards and experience. I'm being 6 7 very literal and have written in great 8 detail in my report my opinions. 9 BY MS. CARITIS: 10 Ο. Let's look back at -- so getting 11 back to ISO 31000, nowhere does the 12 standard say that a company must implement 13 a specific response to a risk; right? 14 I am not familiar with any 15 statement in ISO 31000 that states that a 16 specific response to a specific risk must 17 be implemented. ISO 3100 includes risk criteria; 18 Ο. 19 right? I'm on page 10. 2.0 Α. ISO 3100 covers 6.3 which covers 21 scope, context and criteria of risk. 22 Okay. And I'm talking 23 specifically about the defining risk --24 maybe not. One sec. 25 I'm talking about 634 defining

Page 263 1 WEINER risk criteria. You would agree with me, 2 we have talked about this before, but this 3 sets out the principle that companies 4 can't always eliminate a hundred percent 5 of risk; fair? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. I am not aware of 8 THE WITNESS: 9 any company that has ever eliminated 100 percent of risk, to use your 10 11 words. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Ο. So when we're defining a risk criteria, the first thing that the ISO 14 15 standard says is we have to specify the 16 amount and type of risk that an organization can take; right? 17 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 18 19 THE WITNESS: This ISO standard 2.0 enumerates that the organization 21 should specify the amount and type of 22 risk that it may or may not take 23 relative to objectives. BY MS. CARITIS: 24 25 Q. Again, ISO standard doesn't tell

Page 264 1 WEINER a company what its objective should or 2 shouldn't be; right? 3 There's no ISO standard in my 4 Α. awareness that tells a company how to set 5 its objectives. 6 7 When you were analyzing whether 8 or not Uber complied with industry 9 standards such as ISO 3100, what objective 10 were you using to conduct your analysis? 11 I was looking at the objectives Α. and OKRs enumerated in Uber's documents, 12 13 specifically heavily relying on the OKRs 14 of the safety and insurance division in a 15 series of communications between Sachin 16 Kansal and one of his deputies on a weekly basis over a four-year period. 17 18 Ο. I'm looking for a simple answer. 19 What in your mind was Uber's objective? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: Uber had almost 50 22 OKRs at any given time. They did not 23 (inaudible) objective. 24 BY MS. CARITIS: 25 Q. Do you disagree with any of

Page 265 1 WEINER 2 Uber's objectives? Do you think their 3 objectives were wrong or do you think just the way they prioritized risk was wrong? 4 5 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, compound. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I think, and I'll 8 read it again, it is my opinion that 9 "this governance approach reflected a prioritization of perception over 10 11 prevention inconsistent with industry 12 standards for a product development 13 where foreseeable risks to the 14 physical safety exists." 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 So the guidelines that we've been talking about, they set a bunch of factors 17 that should be considered to set a risk 18 19 criteria. Do you see that in 6.3.4 of the 2.0 standard, the bullets? 21 I'm very familiar with the Α. criteria in 6.3.4. 22 23 Ο. Some of the factors that it recommends that organizations take into 24 25 account are that nature and type of

Page 266 1 WEINER uncertainty, the likelihood, time-related 2 and time-related factors. You agree with 3 taking all those into account when 4 defining risk? 5 I believe I already stated that 6 Α. 7 ISO 31000 is a great description of 8 industry practices in risk management. 9 And yes, that means I agree with the 10 specific elements that it highlights. 11 That ISO acknowledges that if a Ο. 12 risk is rare, a company should take that into account when determining its risk 13 14 management strategy; right? 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 16 THE WITNESS: Are you pointing to 17 a specific sentence in this document? 18 MS. CARITIS: I am talking about 19 the risk criteria, likelihood. 2.0 MS. ELLIS: I'm sorry, Counsel, 21 was that a question? Are you 22 directing him to --23 THE WITNESS: Consequences, both 24 positive and negative and likelihood will be defined and measured. 25 The

WEINER consequence of sexual assault is an
consequence of sexual assault is an
-
extremely negative consequence and I
agree that both the consequences and
the likelihood have to be considered
in the review of the risk criteria.
BY MS. CARITIS:
Q. Okay. So let's talk broadly, not
sexual assault, just a risk, a more broad
risk.
In your opinion how frequent must
a risk occur for it to be included in a
risk treatment?
MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I cannot give you a
prescribed answer to that as we have
talked today. I gave you an example
where a single risk led to a risk
treatment so it basically doesn't even
have to happen, it just has to be a
risk. I also believe you must
consider the consequences and the
likelihood in the relative priority on
that risk.
///

Page 268 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. Are you aware that from 2017 to 2022 0.006 percent of trips in the United 4 States had a report of sexual assault or 5 sexual misconduct? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 8 THE WITNESS: I am aware that 9 when considering sexual assault risk at Uber, it is important to consider 10 11 both the numerator and denominator 12 that you use. There are many safe 13 trips on Uber but Uber was well aware of certain conditions where risk was 14 15 higher. So I might ask you if you 16 have this data, do you know how many 17 of the sexual assaults occurred in 18 nighttime areas near bars where the 19 sex of the driver and the rider were 2.0 different, because that number might 21 have a different statistical measure 22 than the one that you just quoted. 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 You're the expert, Mr. Weiner, so 0. 25 you tell me. What were the statistics

Page 269 1 WEINER 2 related to that instance that you just provided, how often is it likely for a 3 sexual misconduct or sexual assault report 4 to occur, even in that isolated scenario 5 you just said, what's the number? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. It calls for speculation and outside 8 9 the terms of his report. 10 MS. CARITIS: If he wants to say 11 I don't know that's great. He just 12 asked me. I'm just asking him if he knows. 13 14 THE WITNESS: I asked you if you 15 knew because you quoted a number that 16 seems wildly out of a context using a 17 denominator of all rides. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 So let's take a step back. Well, O. 2.0 first off, you don't know the statistic 21 you just asked me. You don't know actual 22 incident -- you're not aware sitting here 23 today of the number of let's just say reported sexual assaults or sexual 24 25 misconduct that occur late at night at a

	Page 270
1	WEINER
2	bar; is that right?
3	MS. ELLIS: Objection to form.
4	THE WITNESS: What I am aware of
5	and is documented in my report is that
6	sexual assaults and sexual misconduct
7	are underreported on the Uber
8	platform. And I am also aware that
9	sexual assault and sexual misconduct
10	that occurs late at night in the
11	location of a bar where a male and
12	female are in a car together is higher
13	than the statistic you just provided.
14	MS. ELLIS: Counsel, we've been
15	going over an hour. I don't know if
16	you're getting to a point where you
17	want to take a break.
18	MS. CARITIS: I'll spell you
19	soon. Give me like five.
20	BY MS. CARITIS:
21	Q. I lost my train of thought.
22	Okay. Uber has implemented in
23	their product safety features; correct?
24	A. My Exhibit C enumerates a number
25	of safety features implemented by Uber

Page 271 1 WEINER 2 that I was able to identify in their documents and in their --3 So --4 Ο. MS. ELLIS: Counsel, I would just 5 ask you to stop cutting off the 6 7 witness's answer. 8 MS. CARITIS: I thought he was 9 I honestly thought he was done. 10 I apologize. 11 BY MS. CARITIS: 12 O. Let's talk about that 13 circumstance you just identified. I'm a 14 female, I'm at a bar late at night. 15 want to talk a little bit about some of 16 the features that Uber did implement in 17 their product based on a understanding 18 that that ride might be more risky than a 19 ride in the middle of the day. 2.0 If I get in a vehicle late at 21 night at a bar, I have an option to Share 22 My Ride. You're aware of that, 23 Mr. Weiner? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. /// 25

Page 272 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. And if you're not aware you can 4 say not aware. I am aware of that and used that 5 Α. feature on my ride this morning to share 6 7 with my husband on the way to this deposition. 8 9 O. I do it every time with mine, 10 too. Not only would I, a female late at 11 night at a bar have the option to share my 12 ride, I would also have an option to make 13 that happen automatically. You're aware 14 of that product feature that Uber put in 15 place in its product; right? 16 I can tell you that I'm not only 17 aware of that feature but I'm sure your 18 colleagues at Uber can detect that my husband has that feature activated on his 19 2.0 Uber account. 21 Another feature that Uber 22 implemented into the app that I could 23 utilize if I'm out at night late at night at a bar is that I could also request a 24 25 pin and make sure that I'm getting in the

Page 273 1 WEINER 2 right vehicle late at night; right? You're aware of the pin verification 3 4 feature? I can tell you the specific 5 Α. paragraph that I can more generally say I 6 7 have enumerated the pin feature in my Exhibit C. Would you like to know the 8 9 paragraph or is that okay? 10 Ο. No, that's okay. I'm just 11 talking through some of the safety features that were implemented in the 12 product that I just want to make sure 13 14 you're aware of. 15 Another feature that Uber did 16 implement in its product developments was 17 phone number anonymization, you're aware of that; right? 18 19 Phone number anonymization and Α. 2.0 address anonymization are enumerated as 21 number 6 in my Exhibit C. 22 That's one example of a product 0. 23 feature that Uber did include in its product development lifecycle; right? 24 25 Α. Uber absolutely included phone

Page 274 1 WEINER number and address anonymization as 2 3 enumerated in paragraph 6 of Exhibit C. So a few other features that Uber 4 Ο. did implement in its product development 5 6 lifecycle would be the emergency button. 7 You're aware of that one; right? 8 Α. I'm both aware of the emergency 9 button and I believe as you have seen in 10 my Exhibit D I have screenshots of the 11 emergency button. I can give you a page 12 number. 13 Ο. That's okay. There also was --14 Uber also implemented in the product 15 development lifecycle a rapid SOS 16 integration; right? You're aware of that? 17 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 18 THE WITNESS: You're referring to 19 the ADT integration? I am aware of 2.0 the ADT integration sometimes referred 21 to as the rapid SOS integration. BY MS. CARITIS: 22 23 There was actually a feature a Ο. little earlier in 2018 that was included, 24 25 but you're right that there was also a

Page 275 1 WEINER 2 live help from ADT later. Are you aware of the 2018 rapid SOS integration feature 3 4 that Uber implemented in its product development lifecycle? 5 6 Give me one second. I can refer Α. 7 beyond my report to Ms. Esteves's 8 deposition aid covering all safety 9 features and reflects memory. Yes, the 10 2018 feature is enumerated on page 1 of 11 Ms. Esteves's deposition aid. 12 O. And another feature that 13 hopefully is on there or else you can tell 14 me if you're aware of is 2018 Uber also 15 implemented a feature called RideCheck in 16 its product development lifecycle. You're 17 aware of that one? I am familiar with and have 18 Α. 19 looked at the RideCheck feature that Uber 2.0 implemented in 2018. 21 We talked a little bit earlier 22 about how some features require driver initiation -- excuse me, rider initiation 23 24 and others don't. You would agree that RideCheck is a feature that Uber invented 25

Page 276 1 WEINER 2 and implemented into its product that 3 happens without the rider doing anything; 4 fair? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 5 THE WITNESS: The RideCheck 6 7 feature operates on the network and detects patterns of behavior for which 8 9 it then intervenes with text messages 10 or pop-ups on the rider or driver 11 phone. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Okay. And you're aware is that Ο. 14 the RideCheck feature was integrated into 15 the platform in 2018 after Uber did become 16 aware that there were certain instances 17 where there were deviations en route and 18 they wanted to have a solution to detect 19 those deviations, you're aware of that? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: Paragraph 15 and 16 22 on page 13 of my Exhibit C enumerate 23 just that. The feature uses GPS and 24 sensors from the driver's smartphone 25 to identify rare events like

Page 277 1 WEINER 2 unexpected long stops or possible 3 crashes, yes. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: Another feature that Uber 5 Ο. implemented in their product development 6 7 lifecycle and ultimately rolled out was a 8 text to 911 feature. Are you aware of 9 that one? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 11 THE WITNESS: The 911 button is 12 covered on page 1 of Ms. Esteves's 13 depo aid and states that it was began 14 as a texting feature in 2019 as a 15 pilot and 2022 as a rolled-out 16 feature. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 O. Are you aware of any other 19 transportation -- let me ask a better 2.0 question. 21 Are you aware of any taxi apps 22 that have created or utilized a text 911 23 button? 24 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 25 THE WITNESS: I have recently

Page 278 1 WEINER been in a Lyft and I'm trying to 2 recall the specific 911 feature that 3 they had. I'm afraid I cannot recall 4 5 if it allowed texting, so I'm not certain if the Lyft 911 feature 6 7 included texting ability. BY MS. CARITIS: 8 9 O. That was Lyft. I was asking about taxis. 10 11 What about in taxi fleets, are 12 you aware of any taxi fleets that utilize 13 or integrated in their product development 14 lifecycle a text to 911 button? 15 I can only speak from my personal 16 experience since I have not researched taxi fleets properly, that I have not been 17 18 in a New York City yellow cab where I was 19 presented with a text to 911 feature. 2.0 O. What about RideCheck feature, 21 have you ever heard of any taxi company 22 that did what Uber did and built a feature 23 that would send a notification if your 24 ride went off course? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

Page 279 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: I am not fully 3 aware of everything that Lyft has offered but I can tell you from my 4 5 personal awareness, I am not aware of a RideCheck feature available on 6 7 another rideshare platform. BY MS. CARITIS: 8 9 O. Okay. And I also was asking 10 about taxis, so same answer for taxis that 11 you're not aware of a taxi company that has similarly invented or utilized a 12 13 RideCheck feature? 14 In my research of safety features 15 in taxis, I did not come across in any 16 peer-reviewed literature, Google Scholar or Google searches a ride check feature. 17 MS. ELLIS: Counsel, we've been 18 19 going for 10 minutes. MS. CARITIS: Thank you for the 2.0 21 reminder. Why don't we go off the 22 record. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going 24 off the record. This is the end of media unit 5. The time is 3:27. 25

	Page 280
1	WEINER
2	(Recess taken from 3:27 p.m. to
3	3:44 p.m.)
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
5	the record. This is the beginning of
6	media unit 6. The time is 3:44.
7	MS. CARITIS: Mr. Weiner, before
8	we went on break, we were talking
9	about, and I believe you were
10	referring to the Mariana Esteves
11	deposition aid, and just so the record
12	is clear, I just sent what I think is
13	the same document you have to
14	Mr. Delaney.
15	Sorry, Curtis, you might have
16	literally just gotten it, but I would
17	like to mark that new document that
18	should have just come in, Curtis, as
19	Exhibit 9, and that is again the
20	Esteves deposition aid that Mr. Weiner
21	brought with him today and was
22	referring to previously, and we'll
23	just take a quick look at a few things
24	on that document.
25	(Exhibit 9, Esteves deposition

	Page 281
1	WEINER
2	aid, marked for identification.)
3	MS. CARITIS: Okay. I think we
4	can Curtis, I have it in front of
5	me so unless I can I'll just keep
6	going and once you have it to enter
7	unless Tiffany or Mr. Weiner, you want
8	to wait until it's on the screen.
9	MS. ELLIS: Let's just confirm
10	this was marked as 1581, the July 15,
11	2025 exhibit or deposition?
12	MS. CARITIS: No. That's what I
13	is that not the one you're
14	referring to?
15	MS. ELLIS: No, we're referring
16	to safety features from her July 15,
17	2025 Exhibit 1581.
18	MS. CARITIS: Okay. Well, let's
19	look at this one. I like this one,
20	Curtis. We can take a quick look at
21	this one.
22	THE WITNESS: Do you have this
23	one? Yes, we have that one as well.
24	MS. CARITIS: That would be
25	great.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 282 1 WEINER 2 MS. ELLIS: That one is Exhibit 3 1991 to the August 28, 2025. MS. CARITIS: That's right. 4 So let's go ahead and mark this as 5 Exhibit 10 [sic], please. 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 Mr. Weiner, I understand you do 8 9 have a paper copy of this one in front of Was this an additional document that 10 11 you were provided in connection with your 12 expert report and opinion? I believe I reference this 13 Α. 14 document in my materials considered. 15 could check that for you but this is a 16 document that I was aware of before I 17 filed my report. 18 0. Okay. Great. I'm not suggesting it wasn't. I can delete it so I don't 19 2.0 know off the top of my head. And you 21 would agree here that this document identifies a variety of safety features 22 23 that Uber has implemented on its platform 24 along with relevant metrics. 25 Do you see that throughout the

Page 283 1 WEINER 2 document? 3 Α. Yes, I'm well aware of all these 4 metrics. Okay. I want to talk a little 5 Ο. 6 bit about a few of these in regards to the 7 conversation we were having earlier about 8 the distinction between perception and 9 prevention. 10 First, just from a high-level 11 product development standpoint, you would 12 agree with me that there are certain 13 products where it is very challenging to 14 actually and reliably test the effectiveness of that product. Would you 15 16 agree with that? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 17 18 THE WITNESS: It has been my 19 experience that a properly designed 2.0 test can be conceived of and executed 21 for almost any product feature in the 22 product development lifecycle. 23 are not always easy to test but I 24 think if the motivation is there, 25 finding a way to test them is usually

Page 284 1 WEINER 2 achievable. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 Okay. I believe you talk in your Q. report a little bit about S-RAD control 5 6 Do you know what I'm talking group. 7 about? I am very familiar with the S-RAD 8 Α. 9 control group. 10 Ο. I actually don't want to get into 11 I just want to talk to you more 12 broadly about the concept of a control 13 group. 14 Α. Okay. 15 So a control group in my 16 understanding is kind of the on or off. 17 So you have to have a group that isn't 18 being provided the feature so that you 19 have something to compare the group that 2.0 is utilizing the feature with. Is that a 21 very basic understanding of a control 22 group? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 If you'll allow me THE WITNESS: 25 to state it in my words, a control

Page 285 1 WEINER group is the hold back of certain 2 3 people to whom a thing is not applied for the sake of comparing the people 4 5 to whom the thing is complied to the thing that people are not applied. So 6 7 the specific purpose of a hold-back 8 group is to give you a group of people 9 to compare against. What I would just 10 say is that is one of a number of ways 11 to manage tests on effectiveness. BY MS. CARITIS: 12 And again, I don't want to get 13 14 deep into the S-RAD criticism. I just 15 want to kind of stay high level what it 16 is. 17 One of your opinions concerning 18 S-RAD is that you think the control group 19 was too large; is that right? 2.0 Α. I can be more specific as I've 21 continued to try to be throughout this. 22 don't want to restate the opinion and be 23 inaccurate to what I actually wrote. What 24 I said specifically -- what I said is in

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

my opinion for the safety risk assessed

25

Page 286

WEINER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

dispatch development and rollout, Uber maintained a larger than necessary holdout group, users excluded from intervention so the model could be evaluated. That is my specific opinion.

So you talk about some safety features that Uber declined to test because they didn't want to turn off the feature for anybody. So Share My Trip is on page 2 of the Esteves exhibit that we're looking at that we've marked as Exhibit 10 [sic]. We see that Share My Trip, for example, was launched in 2013 and that was rolled out to every single individual that was utilizing the Uber platform. So there Uber made a decision it didn't want to have a control group. It wanted to give everyone access to the feature. Do you disagree with Uber's product development decision to roll out Share My Trip so that all riders could utilize it, instead of doing a holdback group that would allow it to actually test the efficacy of that specific feature?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 287

WEINER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, to the extent that you're speaking on Uber's behalf and introducing any sort of evidence about why Uber did or did not do anything in particular. You can answer to the extent you're able.

THE WITNESS: Sure. In an attempt to be very direct and responsive, I do believe that a holdback group is only one way in which effectiveness can be measured. I do state my opinion that Uber's lack of testing of some features makes them basically be measured as perception features versus features that evidence impact on assault rates because they are not being measured. And I have stated quite clearly it is my opinion that this governance approach reflects a prioritization of perception over prevention inconsistent with industry standards. So yes, I personally would like to know the effectiveness of this feature at preventing sexual assaults

Page 288 1 WEINER to consider it an effective tool for 2 sexual assault mitigation. 3 BY MS. CARITIS: 4 5 How would you test it without 0. utilizing a control group, without turning 6 it off for some riders? 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 THE WITNESS: So this is a tool 10 that is essentially optional, as we've 11 described. So one way to consider its effectiveness would be to look at 12 sexual assault rates of those that 13 have turned it on versus those that 14 15 have not turned it on. That is a 16 rough measure that gives you some indication of its effectiveness. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 If you look at metrics, that's Ο. 2.0 actually a metrics that Uber did utilize; 21 right? Do you see -- so the last bullet under Metrics, Uber looked at the number 22 23 of sexual assault, sexual misconduct, IPC and nonsexual assault, sexual misconduct, 24 IPC incidents that had riders tap on the 25

Page 289 1 WEINER share trip button. Do you see that? 2 Yes, again, I'm very familiar 3 4 with this exhibit and the statistics. What I failed to see through my analysis 5 was an actual study where they measured 6 7 and quantified the statistical significance of this feature as it relates 8 9 to preventing sexual assault. These 10 anecdotal measures are quite nice to see, 11 but what I'm looking for as a product development expert is some measure or 12 metrics ideally with statistical 13 significance that can show that the tool 14 15 is being effective in preventing sexual 16 assault to help in the prioritization of the feature versus other features that 17 18 might or might not prevent against sexual 19 assault. 2.0 Ο. One of the features that you say 21 that Uber should have prioritized was 22 dashcams; right? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, to 24 the extent it mischaracterizes his 25 opinion.

Page 290 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: I can read that 3 opinion very quickly. I was very specific in my dashcam -- what I have 4 said is --5 6 BY MS. CARITIS: 7 Q. It's on page 85. 8 Α. We have little flags now to help 9 me speed my process. We did that during one of our breaks. 10 11 "By no later than 2020, Uber 12 possessed the technical capacity to deploy mandatory audio and video recording 13 features that its own studies associated 14 15 with reductions in personal conflict, 16 including sexual assault and misconduct. 17 Uber nonetheless delayed and restricted deployment instead of prioritizing growth, 18 19 legal and reputational considerations over 2.0 the timely adoption of safety measures 21 identified in its internal analyses." 22 Okay. So you're actually not 23 saying that Uber should have implemented 24 mandatory dashcams, you're just saying 25 they had the technical ability to do so?

Page 291

WEINER

2.0

A. I'm quite literally saying that by no later than 2020, Uber possessed the technical capability to do so. What I further say in my conclusion, which might be helpful to answering your question, but I didn't flag that page.

"Based on my review of Uber's internal documents, deposition testimony, product development records and my professional evaluation against established industry standards, it is my opinion that Uber's product development lifecycle did not align with risk-based prioritization practices expected in environments where human safety is materially at stake including transportation networks that pair drivers with riders."

And then the last paragraph of that, "Based on Uber's own documents, internal assessments, the company had the technical capacity to build features that reduce the number of incidents of sexual violence between Uber drivers and riders.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 292 1 WEINER Its internal studies confirmed these 2 3 features worked as intended and were 4 associated with lower rates and measured through statistical analysis and call back 5 6 groups. As a product development expert, 7 it is my opinion based on review of Uber's 8 documents that Uber's expected outcome 9 would have been fewer sexual assaults and a meaningful reduction would have been 10 11 expected to occur by Uber's own studies had these features been deployed earlier 12 13 and at scale." 14 So to be as responsive as I can 15 to your question, the three features that 16 Uber had identified could have a material 17 effect which were mandatory audio and 18 video recording, S-RAD and Women-to-Women 19 could have had the impact of materially 2.0 impacting sexual assaults. 21 How do you define material Ο.

Q. How do you define material impact?

22

23

24

25

A. I define it as Uber defined it.

Uber's statistics on each of these

features is available and so we can look

Page 293 1 WEINER 2 to the statistical measure of Uber's statistical studies to see what the impact 3 4 would be. I would almost define a single sexual assault prevention as being an 5 6 impact that's worth considering. 7 Uber did consider all of the Ο. features you just mentioned; right? 8 9 There's loads and loads of documents where Uber is considering implementing women 10 11 rider preferred programs or surveillance programs; right? 12 13 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, to 14 the extent you are characterizing 15 evidence. 16 THE WITNESS: I have read every 17 document that I could find on these three features and I have enumerated 18 19 in my report my opinions and the basis 2.0 for my opinions that there was 21 opportunity to move some of these 22 faster with a different prioritization 23 approach. 24 BY MS. CARITIS: 25 Q. So you talked before when we were

Page 294

1 WEINER

2.0

talking about Share My Trip on the screen that it was great that Uber looked at these metrics but what you really wanted were statistical significant studies. Do you remember when you told me that?

- A. What I said, and my opinion is very clear in the black and white of my report, is that there are two buckets of safety features, those that Uber designed to influence rider or driver perception of safety, which was critical to Uber's growth, and those that were intended to provide protection against sexual violence. All that was measured by Uber's own studies.
- Q. I asked a different question. We were talking about things outside of your report, sometimes it's just me talking to you because I want to pick your brain, not just what's on the page. We were talking about Share My Trip. Do you remember when you were having that discussion with the document on the screen?
 - A. I believe we talked about our use

Page 295 1 WEINER 2 of Share My Trip. 3 0. Great. And you said that you were helping me understand a way in which 4 Uber could test the effectiveness of Share 5 6 My Trip at reducing sexual assaults 7 without a control group by looking at the 8 percent of sexual assault IPCs for those 9 that engage the Share My Trip versus -- I 10 messed it up. 11 You said that I should look at 12 the decrease in sexual assault or sexual 13 misconduct just for those that actually 14 engaged the Share My Ride trip. And I 15 said okay, that's exactly what Uber did. 16 You acknowledged it but then you said what 17 I would really like to see are statistical significant studies. If you could just 18 19 answer that question. Do you remember the 2.0 statement that you made that what you want 21 to see for Share My Trip are statistical significant studies, do you remember 22 23 saying that to me? 24 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. What I can very 25 THE WITNESS:

Page 296 1 WEINER 2 clearly answer that question because I 3 cannot remember that exact sentence, we can go back in the record if you 4 need us to look it up, but what I can 5 state is that the metrics on the 6 7 safety tool kit document from the Esteves deposition aid and the 8 9 documents that I have seen on the safety tool kit do not demonstrate 10 11 Uber putting forward a statistically significant study on the impacts of 12 13 sexual assault. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 And another place where Uber was 16 unable to secure statistical significant 17 studies was on the impact of dashcams on 18 violent interpersonal conflict. Do you 19 agree with that? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: I do not recall 22 seeing a study speaking to violent 23 IPC. I remember seeing a study L3 and 24 Is that what you're referring 25 to?

Page 297 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. Okay, let's look at -- we're going to run out of time. Let's look at 4 5 183, please, of your report, page 89. You write, by February --6 7 Α. 183? 8 Q. Paragraph 183 of Exhibit 1. 9 Α. Yes. 10 You write, "By February 2020, Ο. 11 Uber's dashcam pilot safety analysis 12 documented a 16 percent reduction in 13 verbal IPC associated with the presence of 14 cameras, which Uber characterized as 15 statistically significant; the same study 16 observed a directional reduction in 17 physical IPC, though the result did not reach statistical significance." 18 19 Do you see that? 2.0 Α. Absolutely. 21 So in all of the documents that 22 you've reviewed, you've reviewed tons and 23 tons you told us, you have not seen a single study that found a statistically 24 25 significant decrease in physical IPC in

Page 298 1 WEINER connection with dashcams; correct? 2 Hold on one second. We have the 3 recently de-designated dashcam document 4 I recall reading something. Let me 5 see if I can find that number. 6 If you're using a document, if 7 0. you could please tell me the Bates because 8 9 I have no idea what all those documents 10 are. 11 I thought we shared the Α. documents. This is UBER_JCCP_MDL_ 12 000158021. 13 14 MS. CARITIS: And Tiffany, if you 15 could please send me a Bates list of 16 all the documents he has with him in 17 the room at the next break, that would 18 be great. 19 MS. ELLIS: Sure. 2.0 THE WITNESS: Let me just find 21 the numbers. 22 BY MS. CARITIS: 23 If you need to, we can look at a break, Mr. Weiner. 24 25 Α. That would be helpful, if I could

Page 299 1 WEINER do this during a break, that would be 2 fine. 3 That would be helpful and again, 4 Ο. the question that's pending that you're 5 suggest might be in that other document is 6 7 a statistically significant study finding 8 a reduction in physical IPC for the use of 9 dashcams. So that's what we're looking for. 10 11 Objection to the MS. ELLIS: 12 extent it mischaracterizes testimony. 13 MS. CARITIS: I was just asking for what he could look for at the 14 15 I wasn't suggesting that's 16 what he said. That's what he told me 17 he was looking for. 18 THE WITNESS: Go ahead. 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 Ο. Were you going to say something? 21 No, that's fine. Α. Okay. Let's talk a little bit 22 23 more about the industry standards that you talked about in your report. We talked a 24 lot about the ISOs and I want to talk now 25

Page 300 1 WEINER 2 about the other components that you 3 identify kind of as industry standards. So let's see. I'm going to refer you to 4 5 paragraph 29 of your report and there you're identifying three broad -- as you 6 7 write, "broad and generally accepted sense of industry standards to include three 8 9 categories of benchmarks." Industry [sic] standards bodies 10 11 is A. That one we've chatted about. 12 know there's other standards we didn't 13 discuss. And then B you write, 14 "structured practices from other 15 safety-critical industries such as 16 aviation, finance, and transportation, where technology design decisions have 17 18 direct consequences for human safety and 19 thus provide relevant comparators for governance rigor." 2.0 21 What other safety critical 22 industries, what companies are you talking 23 about here that you are referring to in your discussion of industry practices 24 25 throughout this report?

Page 301 1 WEINER 2 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 3 THE WITNESS: Let me find the paragraph for you that provides some 4 examples I believe in my opinion 2. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 Is it at page 113? 7 Q. 8 Α. Hold on. 9 Paragraph 113? Ο. 10 Paragraph 113, yes, okay. So I Α. 11 refer to three examples in my report. 12 Airbnb publicly stating 150 million to new 13 safety innovations, and that since 2017, 14 the growth rate of safety innovations has 15 outpaced the rate of revenue growth; 16 Southwest Airlines performing fleet wide 17 deployment of Honeywell's Smart Runway and Smart Landing conflict alerting; and the 18 19 FAA, fiscal year 2025 request for 1.8 2.0 billion for the Office of Aviation Safety 21 and one billion in 2025 for facility replacement and radar modernization. 22 23 Those are specific examples that 24 I included in my report. 25 Q. Are there any other companies or

Page 302 1 WEINER 2 comparators that you're including in this section B of industry standards or are 3 they all encompassed in paragraph 113 in 4 5 your report? 6 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: 7 Of course, so as THE WITNESS: 8 you're aware, my industry experience 9 covers almost 37 years and a whole bunch of verticals. I considered all 10 11 of my experience in referring to industry standards. I enumerated 12 13 certain ones that I thought were 14 particularly relevant but by no means 15 was it intended to be a comprehensive 16 list. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 O. We already talked today at 19 length, Mr. Weiner, right, about the 2.0 specific experiences throughout your 21 37-year career that you tie directly to 22 concerning physical safety, right, you 23 already talked about all that? 24 I have appreciated your 25 interrogatory on the concept of physical

Page 303 1 WEINER 2 safety, but I will reiterate that I 3 believe it's clear that my experience 4 gives me the ability to have opinions on 5 industry standards beyond those 6 experiences that just related to physical 7 safety. 8 0. I hear you. I just want to make 9 sure for the millionth time that we've covered all your experience that directly 10 11 hit on physical safety. Have we talked 12 about all your experiences that directly related to physical safety? 13 We have talked about all the 14 Α. 15 times that I have enumerated in my report 16 where physical safety was a primary concern in the product development 17 18 lifecycle that I was engaged in. 19 O. Thank you. Okay, we're going to 2.0 flip back and forth a little bit here so I 21 apologize but if we could go back to page 22 13, please. Oh good, we're here, Curtis, 23 perfect. If we could go to C, so it's your third bucket under your definition of 24 25 industry standards. And you note, "peer

Page 304 1 WEINER 2 practices and benchmarks from large-scale consumer technology companies, 3 4 particularly where they have publicly reported investment levels, structured 5 6 safety programs, or rapid rollouts of 7 risk-mitigation features." I want to make sure I understand 8 9 the peer practices and benchmark 10 large-scale consumer technology companies 11 you're referring to here. I have a 12 feeling one of them is Airbnb on page 113. 13 Α. Sorry. 14 No. Are there any other aside Ο. 15 from the companies listed in paragraph 16 113, are there any other peer practices and benchmarks from large-scale consumer 17 18 technology companies that you were 19 utilizing in developing your understanding 2.0 of an industry standard in this case? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 22 THE WITNESS: Of course. I have 23 to find that I am a member of the 24 Gartner research program and have access to and am an avid reader of 25

Page 305 1 WEINER 2 peer benchmarks and industry standards in the Gartner research database. 3 the course of my time with Gartner I, 4 have read studies of quite a number of 5 consumer technology companies that 6 have been benchmarked from their 7 8 reported investment levels, structured 9 safety programs, rapid rollouts and risk-mitigation features that I have 10 11 considered as part of industry 12 standards. My industry standards, as 13 I've stated a couple of times, are 14 based on the full of my 37 years of experience, which is not just limited 15 16 to those companies where I worked, but 17 I have researched and studied 18 companies as well. BY MS. CARITIS: 19 2.0 O. Can you please name me all of the 21 companies that you took into account and 22 viewed as peer practices for your expert 23 report here? 24 Objection, form. MS. ELLIS: I don't believe I'd 25 THE WITNESS:

Page 306 1 WEINER 2 be doing service to your question to try to list them off the top of my 3 head, but I can certainly take that 4 away and get back to you with that 5 6 answer. BY MS. CARITIS: 7 Did you cite any other documents 8 9 throughout your report that talks about 10 large-scale consumer technology companies 11 that have publicly reported investment 12 levels, safety programs or rapid rollout 13 of risk mitigation features, are there any 14 cited in this report here? 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 16 I have taken you to THE WITNESS: 17 the three I have cited and I have also 18 enumerated my access to the Gartner 19 database and use of it regularly. To 2.0 give you a comprehensive listing, I 21 would prefer to do a bit more to get 22 you a comprehensive list. 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 To the extent you're relying on Ο. 25 anything else in reaching the opinion

Page 307 1 WEINER 2 that's not included in your reliance materials, I ask that you do please 3 supplement that. That would be great. 4 5 Okay, so let's talk a little 6 bit --7 I'll just clarify for MS. ELLIS: the record, I don't think that he said 8 9 he's relied on anything else that 10 hasn't been enumerated. He's just 11 saying this is all based on his experience of over 37 years. And to 12 13 come up with a very specific list of 14 every single company that he's 15 considered in that 37-year experience would take some time and was not 16 17 actually material that was considered. 18 MS. CARITIS: I'm happy to have 19 him explain who they are. He's 2.0 replying on peer practices and 21 benchmarks from large-scale consumer 22 technology companies and he's 23 helpfully pointed me to paragraph 13, that's helpful, but I need to know the 24 25 other ones. I only have so much time

	Page 308
1	WEINER
2	and to the extent he's going to come
3	to trial and say that based on my work
4	or experience working with other
5	large-scale consumer technology
6	companies, I need to know who those
7	are. So if there is a list that he's
8	going to utilize and rely upon, then I
9	ask that he update his relied
10	materials. Looks like Gartner is like
11	a website. So if there are additional
12	materials, if not, there aren't. But
13	he obviously needs to disclose who
14	he's talking about in C, and if he's
15	unable because it's hard to do, I get
16	it, on the spot, I ask that you
17	supplement.
18	MS. ELLIS: Counsel, I understand
19	your request. I still think that you
20	mischaracterized his testimony but
21	we'll take it under advisement.
22	MS. CARITIS: Thank you.
23	BY MS. CARITIS:
24	Q. In your I'm calling it your
25	industry standard paragraph, so paragraph

Page 309 1 WEINER 29 of your report, do you -- you talk a 2 little bit about how you included 3 structured practices from other 4 transportation industries. Do you see 5 6 that in 29 b? 7 Α. Yes, transportation is 8 specifically enumerated and as we have 9 discussed I considered aviation part of 10 transportation. 11 O. Another part of the transportation is, of course, the taxi 12 industry; right? 13 14 Taxi industry is, of course, part 15 of transportation. 16 And in your report, you actually 17 identify various news articles that you 18 found highlighting some of the risks 19 associated with taxi usage; fair? 2.0 Α. I did after hearing 21 Mr. Kalanick's deposition testimony, I 22 thought I would attempt myself to look at 23 public media reports in the time frame he was talking about, and within a short 24 period of time was identified three. 25

Page 310 1 WEINER 2 So let's take a look at page 32 Ο. 3 of your report. I think you just beat me to the punch. You note that in a cursory 4 search you found three articles from 5 6 San Francisco about sexual violence in 7 taxis and limos that predated the launch of Uber. You then talk about a taxi 8 9 driver convicted of murdering a female 10 passenger. You see that; right? 11 Α. Yes. And in 2005 you cite an instance 12 13 where a taxi driver was arrested on 14 suspicion of raping one of his female 15 passengers. Do you see that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. And then you also quickly 18 identified a 2008 article concerning an 19 incident involving an illegal limousine 2.0 with rape of an intoxicated person at 21 11:30 p.m. on a Friday after being picked 22 up at a ballgame. Do you see that? 23 Α. I am aware. I found those 24 articles myself. 25 Q. You also cite in your report in

Page 311 1 WEINER footnote 72, so on page 32, a document 2 where Uber is actually discussing the 3 relative risk of Uber versus taxi; right? 4 Α. 5 Yes. Did you consider in coming to 6 7 your opinions here whether any taxi company in the United States meets the 8 9 risk management standards you outline in 10 your report? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 12 THE WITNESS: So to answer your 13 question indirectly, I have to wonder 14 out loud if you are asking me if I'd 15 expect the taxi industry to live up to 16 the standards of such a 17 transformational technology company as 18 Uber. 19 BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 Ο. I'm asking if -- so you would 21 agree that Uber has done a whole lot more than taxi companies have; fair? 22 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 I would agree that THE WITNESS: 25 Uber has more capabilities than the

Page 312 1 WEINER 2 average taxi company and has used those capabilities over its 10-year 3 period that I reviewed in detail. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 That includes the day that Uber 6 Ο. was publicly available, they had 7 8 additional safety features and technology 9 that taxis did not have; right? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Uber's mission as I 11 have identified in this report was to 12 13 transform the taxi business. 14 Mr. Kalanick and his peer were 15 standing outside finding themselves 16 unable to find a taxi and wanted 17 technology to do better. That is the 18 basis in my report of my opinions in 19 opinions 1 through 7. BY MS. CARITIS: 2.0 21 So I want to -- let's see. Ο. So on 22 kind of the end, paragraph 30, the next 23 paragraph after what I'm calling your 24 industry standard paragraph, paragraph 30 25 in your report, you note collectively

Page 313 1 WEINER 2 these sources, and again they are the three sources we've been discussing, the 3 industry standards, the other safety 4 critical industries and the peer practices 5 and benchmarks. 6 You say, "these sources have 7 8 established what I mean by industry 9 standards herein: A combination of codified frameworks and practical 10 11 benchmarks that, taken together, define 12 the expectation for risk-based governance 13 in software product development where 14 foreseeable human safety risks are at 15 stake?" 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 O. Are you aware -- you don't have 19 any citation to that paragraph; right? I do not have a citation to that 2.0 Α. 21 paragraph, that is an accurate statement. 22 Are you aware of any 23 peer-reviewed articles that suggest that the industry standard as you define them 24 25 in paragraph 29 define the expectations

Page 314 1 WEINER 2 for risk-based governance in software product development where foreseeable 3 4 human safety risks are at stake? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 5 THE WITNESS: It is my statement 6 7 that my 37 years of experience and review of the materials that I 8 9 enumerate as my basis on this report 10 gives me a basis for an opinion on the 11 industry standards as I've quoted them 12 herein. 13 BY MS. CARITIS: 14 I think earlier in your report 15 you note that one of the main goals of 16 your methodology would be for it to be 17 repeatable. Do you know what I'm talking about? 18 19 Α. Absolutely I am aware of that. 2.0 Okay. If you handed the Uber O. 21 file to a different individual with your 22 experience, how would they go about 23 repeating the process that you did here, 24 what did you do? If I handed the Uber file of 25 Α.

Page 315

WEINER

2.0

documents that I reviewed to an individual who had my 37 years of experience and industry breadth and specific experience in product development, my positing that they would be able to repeat the process that I went through and I am suggesting likely would come to the same opinions.

- Q. Okay, and I want to kind of know specifics. So I hand them the file. What do you tell them to do, read documents, look at standards, what do you tell them to do, how do they repeat it, what was your process?
- A. Sure. My methodology is the same methodology I've used in my 37 years of industry experience and 14 years of litigation expert work. I used this methodology to review Uber's documents, compare its processes to recognized lifecycle standards, and evaluate other features, including those aimed at safety outcomes were tested and measured against their stated objectives once deployed. The approach is consistent with the

Page 316 1 WEINER methodology that underlines my analysis. 2 I would begin by framing questions, 3 establishing exclusion criteria, gather 4 5 documentation comprehensively, organize 6 findings in relation to those questions 7 and synthesize conclusions across multiple sources of information. I would hand that 8 9 new expert the same questions that I was 10 asked, make available to them my 37 years 11 of experience and learnings over that time 12 period, and ask them to put themselves in 13 front of the Everlaw system with two 14 million records, and review the process of 15 answering those questions using this 16 methodology to see if they came to the same conclusion as what I posited, is that 17 18 it is repeatable. 19 You still haven't really Ο. 2.0 explained when you say I utilized the same 21 methodology. I still don't understand 22 what that is. So what is your 23 methodology, what did you do? 24 Paragraph 24 and 25, I 25 established clear questions, applied

Page 317 1 WEINER 2 experience and recognized frameworks, gather and reviewed extensive documentary 3 4 evidence and measured processes against articulated goals and standards. I would 5 6 then begin by framing questions, establish 7 inclusion and exclusion criteria, gather 8 documentation comprehensively, organize 9 findings in relation to those questions, and synthesize my conclusions across the 10 11 multiple sources of information. 12 believe I've written my methodology quite specifically. 13 14 On 27, you note that "I 15 established explicit criteria to identify 16 relevant documentation. My inclusion 17 criteria started with my experience in software development for industries that 18 19 had foreseeable safety risks." 2.0 And then you identify the two 21 buckets we've already talked about, right, 22 the United Airlines logistics for flight 23 attendants and the banking systems related 24 to ATMs; right? 25 Α. That's correct. I've been very

Page 318 1 WEINER 2 specific there. 3 0. How did your experience designing a software reservation system help you 4 identify relevant documents related to the 5 6 Uber platform? 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 8 THE WITNESS: My experience in A 9 and B combined with my 37 years of experience in product development and 10 11 the process that I went through 12 allowed me to look in Uber's documentation for product development 13 14 lifecycle artifacts, TRDs, technical 15 resource documents, evaluation 16 documents on corporate issues, looked 17 at internal studies that I was able to identify, finding success and failure 18 19 in mitigating sexual assault risk, 2.0 looked at documents in Uber's file 21 indicating their ability to have an impact on the perception of safety and 22 23 come to opinions in the context of 24 their overall product development 25 lifecycle of the P1 and P0 priorities

Page 319 1 WEINER 2 that they set, considered the relative investment that they made across their 3 divisions or areas in the artifacts 4 that were provided on investment 5 choices and come to the conclusions 6 7 and opinions with the specific basis 8 that I've outlined in my report. 9 BY MS. CARITIS: 10 Ο. Do you know how much Uber has 11 spent on safety from inception to today, the total amount? 12 13 On page 22, in footnote 26, I Α. enumerate a set of documents that would 14 15 have been helpful if I had been given 16 broad access to everything that could have 17 been helpful in this case. I enumerate I 18 did not have access to safety or other 19 feature business cases, feature level 2.0 development budgets, resource allocation 21 records or return on investment analyses. 22 These are materials I would normally 23 review when assessing product development governance and prioritization. 24 I made 25 that statement because the question you

Page 320 1 WEINER 2 just asked me, if I could know what they 3 totally spent on these things, I believe would have been valuable to my analysis 4 but was not made available. 5 6 So it's just a yes or no, you Ο. 7 don't know in total how much Uber has spent on safety since its inception to 8 9 today; correct? MS. ELLIS: Objection, asked and 10 11 answered. 12 THE WITNESS: I do not have a 13 document that shows me how much Uber 14 has spent under their definition of 15 safety, no, I do not. 16 BY MS. CARITIS: 17 You also don't know if Lyft has 18 spent more or less than Uber on safety 19 features across Lyft's history; right? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: I have not seen a 22 document indicating what Lyft has 23 spent on what I would be willing to quarantee is a different definition of 24 25 safety from their inception to today

Page 321 1 WEINER 2 either. That is a fair 3 representation. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 What do you mean that Lyft would Ο. 6 have a different definition of safety, it's also a rideshare company; right? 7 8 Lyft is a company that is in the 9 business of putting people together in taxicabs for the sake of transportation, 10 11 but as with Uber they do many, many other things. The definition of safety in Uber 12 13 is not only designated by their rideshare 14 activities, but by their Uber Eats 15 activities, their logistics activities, 16 looks to insurance, looks to a whole set 17 of safety types that we have covered in my 18 report. I do not know that Uber would 19 have the same definition as Lyft in terms 2.0 of their investment in safety based on the 21 fact that they do different things. 22 Okay. And to come to your 23 opinions today, you did not analyze the 24 product development lifecycle for Lyft; 25 fair?

Page 322

WEINER

2.0

A. It is absolutely fair to say that I did not have access to documents to evaluate the product development lifecycle of Lyft.

- Q. And you did not take into account whether or not Uber implemented a safety feature before Lyft; right?
- A. I have a document or two that compared Uber and Lyft features. As you may have noted in my opinion 2, I enumerated a document that went through the priorities of Uber's prioritization process. One of them was on competitiveness and there were a number of documents that talked about when a feature did or did not exist in other rideshare environments before they created it. So I was aware in quite a bit of detail about where they saw themselves at competitive advantage and competitive disadvantage on features.
- Q. I asked a very narrow different question. Did you take into account and consider whether or not Uber implemented

	Page 323
1	WEINER
2	safety features before Lyft did, yes or
3	no, did you take that into account?
4	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form,
5	asked and answered.
6	THE WITNESS: The specific answer
7	to your question is in the context of
8	the materials I relied on. One of the
9	materials I relied on was whether or
10	not Lyft had a feature when Uber was
11	developing it.
12	BY MS. CARITIS:
13	Q. Do you know that Uber invented
14	the RideCheck feature before Lyft?
15	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
16	THE WITNESS: I do not have that
17	specific detail at my fingertips.
18	BY MS. CARITIS:
19	Q. Are you aware that Uber invented
20	the text to 911 button before Lyft?
21	MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.
22	THE WITNESS: I do not have that
23	specific detail at my fingertips.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. Do you have any idea how much

Page 324 1 WEINER resource allocation it took for Uber to 2 invent the various safety features that 3 are available for every single rider 4 5 today? MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: As I have shown you 8 in my paragraph in opinion 2, Uber has 9 spent between 10 11 investing in features that 12 cover the entirety of safety and 13 insurance, which covers road safety as 14 well as all other insurance aspects. 15 That number strikes me as incredibly 16 low for the scale and scope of the 17 risks that they are managing. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Do you know that -- you've given Ο. 2.0 me a percentage. Do you know how much 21 money they spent, not the percentage, how 22 much money they spent? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form, 24 asked and answered. THE WITNESS: The Uber documents 25

Page 325 1 WEINER 2 that I have provided simply had headcount. They did not turn 3 headcount into dollars. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Do you know how much money Uber 6 Q. 7 spends on background checks annually? MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I did not 10 personally research the amount of 11 money that usual has spent on 12 background checks. 13 BY MS. CARITIS: I want to talk a little bit about 14 Ο. 15 the public benchmarks that you identify as 16 part of your industry standard discussion 17 on paragraph 113. We've already talked about it a little bit. 18 19 Paragraph 113 appears to be 2.0 talking about capital allocation to the 21 safety. And you specifically identify 22 Airbnb's public statement concerning 23 allocation of 150 million to new safety innovations. Do you see that? 24 25 Α. I see that I specify the 150

Page 326 1 WEINER million and a growth rate that has 2 outpaced the rate of revenue growth. 3 You would agree that just because 4 Ο. 5 somebody says they are going to spend a lot of money on safety, doesn't 6 7 necessarily mean that the product is safe? 8 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would state that from my experience, every attempt to 10 11 make something safe does not lead to 12 making it safe. BY MS. CARITIS: 13 14 Do you know why it was that 15 Airbnb publicly stated in 2019 that it was 16 going to dedicate 150 million to new 17 safety innovations, do you know what led to that? 18 19 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 2.0 THE WITNESS: If we can look at 21 my reference number 133, I believe 22 there was some commentary in the trust 23 innovation update protecting host and 24 quest Web page. I cannot remember 25 that specific at this moment.

Page 327 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. Well, 133, the document you cite is from Airbnb. Did you do any research 4 to determine if there were any other 5 factors that might have been going into 6 7 Airbnb's decision to publicly announce a 8 large investment in safety, did you look 9 into that? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 My research did not THE WITNESS: 12 include looking into Airbnb's history 13 and trying to come up with a reason 14 that they made that choice, no, I did 15 not. 16 BY MS. CARITIS: 17 Do you think it would be fair to 18 benchmark Airbnb against Uber if the 19 reason that it was investing 150 million 2.0 dollars was because it had been receiving 21 significant backlash for its failure to 22 address safety problems in its product? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 What I would say is THE WITNESS: you are focused on the 150 million 25

Page 328 1 WEINER 2 dollars and I am focused on a growth rate of safety investments that has 3 outpaced the rate of revenue growth. 4 5 Looking at the that Uber is spending on the total of 6 7 safety and insurance and comparing it to an organization that has 8 9 experienced the growth of Airbnb where the growth rate of safety investments 10 11 has outpaced the rate of revenue growth is the kind of data point that 12 13 I was looking for to under how that 14 related to industry 15 public benchmarks. 16 BY MS. CARITIS: 17 Okay. What if I'm a very unsafe O. 18 platform and I realize I need to dedicate 19 tons of money to fixing my platform, 2.0 right, do you know that that wasn't what 21 was going on with Airbnb? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 23 THE WITNESS: I do not believe 24 knowing or not knowing that fact would 25 change my opinion in any way.

Page 329 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. You said before that you had a problem with Uber because some of its 4 5 safety features were based on perception; right? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Object to form and mischaracterizes his testimony and 8 9 report. 10 THE WITNESS: I am going to 11 reiterate that I don't state anywhere 12 that I have a problem with Uber. I am 13 a fan of Uber. What I stated in my report, I can read it again if you'd 14 15 like but we've done it five times now, 16 and so I'm happy to do that if that 17 would be helpful to you. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Do you know whether or not Airbnb Ο. 2.0 decided to invest 150 million because it 21 had a safety reputation problem? 22 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, 23 asked and answered. 24 THE WITNESS: I neither know, nor 25 do I believe that knowing that would

Page 330 1 WEINER 2 change my opinion, nor do I believe it's relevant. The point that I made 3 in that paragraph, which provided a 4 basis for my opinion in that section, 5 that growth rate of safety investments 6 7 has outpaced the rate of revenue growth would have led to a different 8 9 outcome at Uber. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 Couldn't that also mean for Ο. 12 Airbnb that it just wasn't a very 13 profitable venture at this time? 14 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: You're asking a 16 hypothetical that goes beyond the 17 scope of my report. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 I just want to make sure I O. 2.0 understand. 21 You just explained that in your 22 report, you identify Airbnb as a good 23 public benchmark to look at because the 24 growth rate of safety investment has 25 outpaced the rate of revenue growth.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 331 1 WEINER 2 MS. ELLIS: Objection. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 Couldn't that also be a function Ο. of the fact that they just didn't have a 5 6 lot of revenue? 7 MS. ELLIS: Object to form, mischaracterizes the report and his 8 9 testimony. 10 THE WITNESS: I am comparing a 11 public statement by Airbnb that its 12 growth rate on safety investments has 13 outpaced the rate of revenue growth. 14 I am aware from my Bloomberg terminal 15 of the growth rate of Airbnb. 16 highlighting as a basis for my opinion 17 in this section public benchmarks show 18 that companies prioritize safety in 19 line with industry standards, 2.0 consistently allocate visible capacity 21 and capital to safety, and then 22 measure those events against incident 23 reduction. I believe that the state 24 of my report is very clear. /// 25

Page 332 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. You said that you were a fan of How often do you use Uber? 4 I believe you have access to 5 Α. those records but I use Uber every day. 6 7 Have you ever had a safety Q. incident on the Uber platform? 8 9 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I have never had a 10 THE WITNESS: 11 physical violence sexual assault or sexual misconduct on an Uber but I 12 13 have made a safety report on an Uber 14 before in the last 10 years. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: 16 And you're able to make a report Ο. 17 on the application itself? 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I made that safety 2.0 report on the application itself. It 21 was in relation to an Uber Eats 22 delivery. 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 Understood. I think you already 0. 25 mentioned that your husband uses the Uber

Page 333 1 WEINER 2 application as well? 3 Α. My husband uses the Uber 4 application as well and as we discussed 5 earlier, my husband uses the Share My Ride 6 feature on every ride. We talked a little bit in the 7 Q. 8 very beginning of the deposition that 9 there are five specific cases that your report covers today and they are listed on 10 11 the front of your report. I understand 12 that you have read the complaints related to the allegations for these five cases; 13 is that correct? 14 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form to 16 the extent that it characterizes the 17 report as covering any case-specific details. 18 19 MS. CARITIS: I just want to know 2.0 if he read the complaint. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 Mr. Weiner, I'm trying to 23 understand if you read the complaints for the five plaintiffs that this report 24 25 covers, B.L., A.R.2, LCHB128, Dean,

Page 334 1 WEINER 2 WHB832. I have read all five complaints 3 Α. and I have printed out here in the room 4 the deposition testimony of the five 5 6 individuals. 7 Okay. And you're aware that all 8 of the five, the letters, the ones that 9 are at issue right now, they roll 2019 and 10 on, do you understand that? 11 I am aware of the durations of Α. 12 the five bellwethers, yes, absolutely. I 13 can correct my statement earlier when I 14 was reading one of the bellwethers, she 15 currently lives in Philadelphia but the 16 incident did not happen in Philadelphia. That was the nature of my confusion 17 18 earlier and I apologize for that mistake. 19 Ο. Thank you for that clarification. 2.0 You talk a little bit about foreseeable 21 risks throughout your report. Is it your 22 opinion that any of the five alleged 23 incidents at issue here, specifically those incidents, were foreseeable to Uber? 24 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form.

Page 335 1 WEINER 2 That goes outside the bounds of his 3 report and his testimony today. THE WITNESS: I believe we can 4 agree reading the complaint does not 5 give me adequate information to form 6 7 an opinion as to whether those particular events were foreseeable. 8 9 As we discussed S-RAD in some detail, 10 the amount of data necessary to have 11 opinions on that would take considerable review. 12 13 BY MS. CARITIS: 14 Okay. So Mr. Weiner, you're not Ο. 15 providing an expert opinion on the 16 foreseeability of the case-specific incidents that are in the first wave of 17 the bellwether; correct? 18 19 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, 2.0 asked and answered. 21 THE WITNESS: I am providing an 22 opinion on Uber. My opinions are 23 specifically stated to cover Uber and all of its rides and all of its 24 25 drivers. They are not specific to the

Page 336 1 WEINER 2 bellwethers. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 You're not providing an opinion Ο. today that had Uber implemented any 5 additional safety feature, that any of the 6 7 five bellwethers alleged incidents would not have occurred, you're not giving that 8 9 opinion; correct? 10 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 11 I am not giving a THE WITNESS: 12 specific opinion that I have analyzed 13 any data that would allow me to come to the conclusion that if Uber had 14 15 implemented the three features which 16 have an effect on sexual assault and sexual misconduct, these particular 17 cases could have been avoided. I will 18 19 reiterate that I have stated quite 2.0 clearly in my conclusion that there 21 likely would have been less sexual 22 assault and sexual misconduct if those 23 features had been implemented and delivered the results enumerated in 24 Uber's studies. 25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 337 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 0. Okay. And I think you already told me this but I just want to be super 4 clear because it is very important. 5 are not independently analyzing the 6 7 effectiveness of any proposed safety 8 feature or any implemented safety feature, 9 correct, you didn't run any test yourself 10 to figure out whether S-RAD actually was 11 effective, for example; is that right? 12 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: My opinions in this 14 report as stated rely on the testing 15 and analysis done by Uber. I have not 16 done any independent testing as part of my work on this report. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Okay. So for the three features Ο. 2.0 that in your report you identify as those 21 that Uber says could have decreased sexual 22 misconduct, those are -- that's S-RAD, 23 right, that's the first one. The next one is women's preference, be that women rider 24 25 preferred or women driver preferred,

Page 338 1 WEINER 2 that's the second; correct? I enumerated it as 3 Α. 4 Women-to-Women. I didn't enumerate a 5 specific program. I enumerated it as 6 women's ability to select women in either direction. 7 Got it. And then the third would 8 9 be dashcams or audio recording; is that 10 right? 11 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form, 12 mischaracterizes the testimony and 13 opinions. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 Just correct me if it's wrong. I 16 just want to make sure I understand. 17 I enumerated in my opinion on 18 audio and video recording that Uber had 19 the ability as of 2000 -- that's the wrong 2.0 opinion. Let me get to the right opinion. 21 Sorry about that. That Uber had the 22 ability by no later than 2020 to -- they 23 had the technical capacity to deploy mandatory audio and video recording that 24 its own studies associated reductions in 25

Page 339 1 WEINER interpersonal conflict, including sexual 2 assault and sexual misconduct. Uber 3 nonetheless delayed and restricted the 4 development of prioritizing growth, legal 5 and reputational considerations over the 6 7 timely adoption of safety measures identified in this internal analysis. And 8 9 as I read in my conclusion I also summarized that if these three features 10 11 had been more aggressively prioritized, there is a reasonable chance there would 12 have been less sexual assault and sexual 13 14 violence on the platform. 15 First off, again at break and 16 we're close to one, at break if you can please take a look at that document to 17 18 determine if you see anything that defines 19 a statistical significant decrease in 2.0 physical interpersonal conflict in 21 connection with dashcams. So that's 22 something we're going to look at so I'm going it put that to the side. S-RAD 23 was -- it is utilized currently; right? 24 25 Α. S-RAD is utilized and in my

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 340 1 WEINER report I highlight a few things, the speed 2 3 of deployment, the size of the treatment group and the size of the holdback group 4 5 which my product development experience tells me could have been prioritized 6 differently. 8 Are you aware of any 9 plaintiffs -- when was S-RAD rolled out, 10 2017, does that sound right to you? 11 Let me find that for you. Α. No. 12 2017 I believe was the first main pilot. I said that by 2010 and no later than 2017 13 14 they had the technical ability to identify 15 high-risk pairings. I'm looking for the 16 year of rollout. It's not on there. I 17 know I have it in my report. 18 Ο. That's okay. I'll take a look at 19 break and can refer you to something. We 2.0 don't need to waste the time on that now. 21 In terms of audio recording you 22 would agree in 2021 that became available 23 for all riders and drivers on the 24 platform; correct? 25 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form.

Page 341 1 WEINER 2 THE WITNESS: I can agree that 3 voluntary audio recording in the control of the rider and driver was 4 available by that year, but as my 5 report states, I am stating that 6 7 mandatory audio and video recording 8 has the potential to happen now. 9 BY MS. CARITIS: Well, in 2021 a rider could have 10 Ο. 11 the audio recording on at all times on the 12 ride; right? That was available in 2021, 13 agree? 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 THE WITNESS: Audio recording was 16 available in the United States on the 17 rider app in 2021. 18 MS. CARITIS: Okay. Why don't we 19 go off the record. 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Before we do, I'm 21 just going to object to your request 22 that Mr. Weiner use the break to do 23 anything with the documents while we 24 previously agreed that I can provide 25 you the Bates numbers for the

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 342
1	WEINER
2	documents. We've been going for
3	almost over
4	MS. CARITIS: That's fine. I
5	literally don't know what he has.
6	He's saying that he might have
7	something that shows statistical
8	significance. I'm not aware of that.
9	If you provide me the document, I'm
10	happy to do it. I need a clear answer
11	on that question and he was suggesting
12	it might be in one of the documents he
13	has in front of him. So if you send
14	me that list, I'll take a look and
15	that's fine. I'm not asking him to do
16	more work. I'm asking him to I
17	just need to know what he's talking
18	about.
19	MS. ELLIS: I will go ahead and
20	get you that list of the couple of
21	Bates number documents that he has in
22	front of him.
23	MS. CARITIS: Thank you.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going
25	off the record. This is the end of

	Page 343
1	WEINER
2	media unit 6. The time is 4:50.
3	(Recess taken from 4:50 p.m. to
4	5:15 p.m.)
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
6	the record. This is the beginning of
7	media unit 7. The time is 5:15.
8	THE WITNESS: Ms. Caritis, the
9	homework assignment I have the basis
10	for my opinion on audio and video
11	recording can be found in paragraph
12	200, footnote 273 which is quoted as
13	proven to work.
14	MS. ELLIS: Just to clarify, that
15	is in response to an earlier question
16	that was asked and is not the entirety
17	of the basis for your opinion.
18	THE WITNESS: No, that particular
19	question is the answer to the question
20	on the document that I was referring
21	to.
22	BY MS. CARITIS:
23	Q. Thanks. And I'll just quickly
24	follow-up on that.
25	What you just cited to me within

Page 344 1 WEINER paragraph 200 of your report, it says, "It 2 3 is my opinion, while this opt-in and post-incident submission model provides 4 the benefit Uber measured its internal 5 studies, it does not fully achieve the 6 'bystander intervention' impact that 7 8 Uber's documents report as 'proven to 9 work.'" 10 Do you see that? 11 T do. Α. 12 Okay. And sitting here today, do you know what that document means or is 13 referring to when it says proven to work? 14 15 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form. 16 THE WITNESS: I have what is in 17 the black and white of my report. If 18 you need us to pull that document and 19 review it again we can. But what I 2.0 relied on is that document's statement 21 that Uber had proven this model to 22 work and it went in quite a bit of 23 detail about the bystander impact and how they could build a model that was 24 effective. 25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 345 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Q. Okay. 4 Α. Sorry. 5 No, I'm sorry. I was not looking 0. That was my fault. I didn't realize 6 7 you were still speaking. No worries. 8 Α. 9 Ο. So this is in connection with in-app video recording. Sitting here 10 11 today, you don't recall by proven to work if the document was talking about a 12 13 decrease in sexual misconduct? 14 I was specifically referring to 15 your question answering my statement that 16 I prefer statistically significant studies where I said that I relied on Uber's 17 18 posits and opinions and experiments. 19 the specific experiment that I was 2.0 referring to when it discussed video 21 recording was the one referenced by 22 Ms. Esteves where audio and video 23 recording under a bystander model was 24 proven to work. 25 Q. You don't have any experience in

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 346 1 WEINER criminology; right, Mr. Weiner? 2 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 3 4 THE WITNESS: I don't want to debate with you the word experience 5 but if I can answer it as I have no 6 7 training in criminology, that would be the easiest thing I think I can do. 8 BY MS. CARITIS: 9 That's what I meant. You're not 10 Ο. 11 a criminologist by education or training; 12 right? 13 Α. I have no education or training 14 in criminology. 15 You talked a little bit today 16 about a few projects that incorporated 17 In your experience we talked one cameras. 18 about the ATM streaming camera that you worked on back in I believe it was the 19 2.0 1990s? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And then you talked a little bit 23 about a app, it's not a camera but it streams video -- excuse me, it streams 24 25 audio that you're working on through your

	Page 347
1	WEINER
2	board work for a nonprofit.
3	Do you have what other
4	experiences do you have in developing, so
5	specific to developing camera products?
6	A. We talked about my experience at
7	Weiner.net with a video streaming product.
8	I believe you asked quite a number of
9	questions about that project as well.
LO	Q. So other than that, those are the
L1	experiences that is come to mind when we
L2	talk about video streaming and cameras,
L3	the ones that we've already discussed in
L4	this deposition today?
L5	MS. ELLIS: Object to form.
L6	THE WITNESS: Those are the
L7	personal experiences I have
L8	absolutely, but I believe you will
L9	find in the basis for my opinion quite
20	a number of references to research
21	that I did both of peer-reviewed
22	articles and public statements that
23	supported my opinion in detail.
24	BY MS. CARITIS:
25	Q. And I want to distinguish between

Page 348 1 WEINER the technical capability opinion of 2 dashcam and the effectiveness at deterring 3 misconduct problem. So when I'm asking 4 you questions now I'm talking about the 5 6 effectiveness of deterring sexual assault. 7 Did you review any studies, 8 peer-reviewed studies that suggest that 9 surveillance cameras of any kind have an impact on violent crime? I'm talking 10 11 about peer-reviewed, not Uber studies. 12 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: There was a 14 peer-reviewed study, let me find it. 15 Speaking to codecs -- I'm sorry, 16 let me find it first and then be 17 clear. Forgive me. 18 Okay, in footnote 266, we have a 19 peer-reviewed study from Basel, Switzerland on video codecs and their 2.0 21 impact on perception basis. 22 BY MS. CARITIS: 23 Okay. But you have not reviewed any studies or literature confirming or 24 25 discussing the potential deterrence effect

Page 349 1 WEINER of surveillance cameras on crime; right? 2 My opinions on the deterrence 3 effect of video cameras in Ubers are based 4 on Uber's assertions. 5 Were you aware that Uber actually 6 Q. 7 had a full-time employee that was a criminal justice researcher? 8 9 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. I was not aware of 10 THE WITNESS: 11 that fact. I also did review Uber's 12 rebuttal report from a criminal 13 expert. BY MS. CARITIS: 14 15 We'll talk about the reports in a 16 minute but let's focus just first on internal Uber documents. So you are not 17 18 familiar with the name Sytske Besemer, 19 you're not familiar with that name? 2.0 Α. I do not recall reviewing a 21 document from a Sytske Besemer. If you're 22 affirming that I have one in my materials 23 relied on I can refresh my memory. 24 To be candid, your list is so long I don't know if it is included in one 25

	Page 350
1	WEINER
2	of them. It was just a different
3	document. I can put it up on the screen.
4	MS. CARITIS: Mr. Delaney, can we
5	mark please, tab 60 as our next marked
6	exhibit. I think we're at 11.
7	(Exhibit 10, e-mail from Sytske
8	Besemer, marked for identification.)
9	MS. CARITIS: This, Mr. Weiner,
10	is an April 2018 e-mail from Sytske
11	Besemer to other folks at the company
12	discussing her literature review
13	concerning the deterrent impact of
14	cameras on cars. Take a quick second,
15	it's one page so hopefully you can
16	review it quickly.
17	MS. ELLIS: I'll just note it
18	looks like we've lost access to Box.
19	I'm going to try to get it back but
20	we'll look at the screen in the
21	meantime.
22	MR. DELANEY: Alex, just a small
23	correction. This is Exhibit 10. We
24	didn't actually have the Exhibit 9
25	earlier when we first attempted.

Page 351 1 WEINER 2 Thank you so much. MS. CARITIS: 3 BY MS. CARITIS: Mr. Weiner, I'm not going to quiz 4 0. you on this, but have you had a second to 5 6 review and familiarize yourself with the document? 7 8 Α. I have. What's jumping out at me 9 which is consistent with Ms. Esteves's deposition testimony is the statement from 10 11 maximum deterrents, the certainty of 12 apprehension, policy is recommended where 13 the camera must be switched on and parties to the interaction should not have the 14 15 power to turn off the camera. 16 consistent with Ms. Esteves's deposition 17 and my opinion, without having to read it 18 to you in full once again, says that they 19 have the technical capacity to deploy 2.0 mandatory and audio recording features 21 that its own studies associated with 22 reductions in interpersonal conflicts. 23 I understand that. Prior to 24 today, do you remember reviewing this document? 25

Page 352 1 WEINER 2 I do not remember reviewing this Α. I have now reviewed this 3 document. document and it does not change my 4 opinion. 5 Okay. Well, you would agree that 6 0. 7 it's important to the product development 8 lifecycle to determine the effectiveness, 9 the potential effectiveness of any risk 10 intervention; right? Absolutely, and I reviewed a 11 Α. 12 number of documents like this one which 13 stated that the driver's ability to turn 14 off or dispose of the footage reduced its 15 deterrent effects significantly, including 16 the expert report that you provided as a 17 rebuttal. 18 O. You have never developed a 19 in-vehicle, correct, an application that 2.0 was utilized in a vehicle; is that right? 21 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. The fact is that 22 THE WITNESS: 23 some of the apps that I have developed 24 have been used by individuals in vehicles, but I haven't heard about an 25

Page 353 1 WEINER 2 app that is designed to be an in-vehicle app. 3 4 BY MS. CARITIS: That is a better question, thank 5 Ο. 6 you. 7 You've never developed an app 8 that was designed with the purpose of 9 being integrated or utilized in a vehicle; is that fair? 10 11 Α. That is a fair characterization of my background in app development. 12 13 Ο. And I understand you're 14 specifically talking about the in-app 15 audio and video recording, but you don't 16 have any prior experience concerning commercial fleet telematics; is that 17 18 right? 19 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 2.0 THE WITNESS: I do not have 21 personal experience in fleet 22 telematics. I have read quite a 23 number of Uber's documents as it 24 relates to audio and video recording and the studies that they have done in 25

Page 354 1 WEINER 2 considerable detail. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 You referenced while we were 4 Ο. taking a look at Exhibit 10 that in your 5 mind, one of the keys to dashcams is that 6 the driver can't turn it off; is that 7 8 right? 9 Α. From what I have seen of Uber's 10 documents, the ability of the driver to 11 turn off or discard the footage lowers the 12 deterrent effect. 13 Based on your product development Ο. 14 experience, can you think of a dashcam 15 system where the driver couldn't simply 16 turn off the dashcam? 17 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I am familiar with 18 THE WITNESS: 19 Uber's experiments in in-app recording 2.0 where Uber can be aware if the driver 21 stops the recording which has the 22 ability to have a deterrent effect. 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 The basis for your opinion that Ο. 25 it has the ability to have a deterrent

Page 355 1 WEINER 2 effect, you're basing that on what you read in Uber documents; right? You don't 3 have an independent opinion on the 4 deterrent effect of an in-app recording 5 feature; right? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. I have relied on 8 THE WITNESS: 9 Uber's documents to assess the deterrent effect of video recording in 10 11 a car. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 The in-app recording feature, the 0. 14 pilot with the video and the one that's 15 rolled out for rider's audio, it only --16 let me redo. 17 For the in-app recording, video 18 recording, that is triggered when the trip 19 is in process; right? 2.0 I am not specifically aware of Α. 21 the detailed answer to that question. I 22 have relied quite heavily on Ms. Esteves's 23 deposition which went into considerable detail on in-app video and recording, 24 which we can refer to if we need to. 25

Page 356 1 WEINER 2 I'm just trying to figure out, 0. you would agree that if I'm a driver, I 3 have in-app video on, it's mandatory, but 4 if I end the trip, it's going to stop 5 recording. You would agree? 6 7 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I believe I made 8 9 statements about that in my document, either that Uber has the ability to 10 11 know where the rider and the driver 12 are, they certainly could design the feature so that it ended at the end of 13 14 the ride. That is a possibility 15 within the realm of technical 16 feasibility. But they also have the 17 technical feasibility to monitor the rider and driver if they remain in the 18 same location continued to monitor the 19 2.0 ride. So I would not agree that 21 ending a ride necessarily technically ends Uber's ability to monitor the 22 23 ride. BY MS. CARITIS: 24 25 Q. I'm asking about a specific way

Page 357 1 WEINER to monitor, the video being on. We just 2 determined that Uber's documents and your 3 opinion suggest that the deterrent impact 4 is increased when the camera can't be 5 turned off by the driver. All I'm saying 6 7 is even if it's in-app, an Uber driver can 8 turn off the app, I understand that Uber 9 might know, but that doesn't physically 10 prevent or technically prevent the driver 11 from turning it off, you would agree? 12 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 13 I believe it is THE WITNESS: 14 fair to say if the Uber driver threw 15 his phone out the window, it would 16 stop recording the car. 17 BY MS. CARITIS: 18 O. For any other way that he moves 19 the camera or phone away from the cab of 2.0 the vehicle; right? 21 MS. ELLIS: Object to the form. 22 THE WITNESS: I'd rather not 23 posit hypotheticals on this. I would 24 rather stick with the facts that have 25 been presented and those facts are

Page 358 1 WEINER 2 that Uber has the technical capability to turn the recording on and off. 3 BY MS. CARITIS: 4 5 Ο. Right. But not to ensure that every single interaction between the 6 driver and a passenger is captured; right? 8 MS. ELLIS: Object. 9 THE WITNESS: Let me go 10 specifically to the statement I made. 11 That might be helpful. 12 On paragraph 213 is what I'm 13 going to refer now. "In my opinion, 14 based on my review of Uber's internal 15 documents, combined with my 16 professional experience and knowledge 17 of smartphone capabilities between 2020 and 2025, Uber had the technical 18 19 ability to control camera and audio 2.0 recording features within its app in 21 response to contextual triggers. For 22 example, Uber could have used GPS 23 signals to detect when a driver 24 lingered after a trip or when both the Uber driver and a rider remained at a 25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 359 1 WEINER 2 location other than the destination. These events could have automatically 3 triggered audio or video recording at 4 Uber's discretion." 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 I understand that. So let's say Ο. 8 it's triggered. I'm the driver, I have my 9 camera on because it has to be. Uber puts 10 I can put my camera down on the 11 seat so that even if Uber is turning it 12 on, it's not recording the criminal conduct that's occurring in the vehicle. 13 Would that be fair? 14 15 The driver as I posited in the 16 hypothetical of throwing it out the window 17 can stop the recording effect but Uber can also know that and do something about it. 18 19 Have you researched or looked O. 2.0 into the impact of cellular network speed 21 in a moving vehicle as opposed to in a 22 stationary spot? 23 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 24 I have reviewed and THE WITNESS: 25 cited opinions about the difficulty

Page 360 1 WEINER 2 and opportunity of connectivity in a moving vehicle. I have not personally 3 worked on a product that was designed 4 to operate in a moving vehicle. 5 have relied on the documents that I 6 cited as evidence. 7 BY MS. CARITIS: 8 9 O. You mentioned that you have 10 reviewed a rebuttal report of a 11 criminologist and I'm not suggesting you 12 should know the terms of what we call 13 these million experts but I want to make 14 sure I understand the reports or 15 depositions of experts that you reviewed. 16 In your report, materials 17 considered, you cite a handful of reports that are actually from a different Uber 18 19 litigation. 2.0 The report I was specifically Α. 21 referring to, would you like me to pull up the name of the individual? 22 23 Ο. That would be great. I'm trying 24 to find it. 25 Α. Let me look quickly to respond to

Page 361 1 WEINER 2 your question. 3 I can't pull it up quickly. I'm 4 It was an Uber report of a criminologist. 5 6 Ο. Is it Dr. Piza? 7 I've officially decided that Α. 8 Tiffany's computer is slow. 9 O. We can worry about this later. I 10 don't want us to waste our time. 11 Have you -- and this can be a yes 12 or no and maybe you all can send me a 13 Have you reviewed expert reports 14 that were recently served? 15 There were two different 16 litigations and you cite some from the 17 I'm trying to find out if you JCCPs. 18 subsequent to your report here reviewed 19 any other expert reports in this 2.0 litigation. 21 Since returning from my retreat, 22 I have reviewed the Wilson report and I believe I reviewed another report that was 23 24 served after my report was submitted. 25 Q. Okay. I want to talk now in the

Page 362 1 WEINER 2 last bit of time we have about your opinion concerning Uber's mechanism for 3 4 reporting sexual assault or sexual misconduct in the app. 5 6 Α. Of course. 7 We talked a little bit about this Ο. 8 earlier in connection with some of your 9 early work for a travel agency, but you have no direct experience designing a 10 11 reporting process related to sexual assault or sexual misconduct; is that 12 13 right? 14 MS. ELLIS: Objection, form. 15 THE WITNESS: As it specifically 16 relates to sexual assault and sexual 17 misconduct, I have not personally had 18 that experience before, correct. BY MS. CARITIS: 19 2.0 O. I would like to turn to the 21 appendix, what is it, Exhibit D of your 22 report. 23 Α. Okay. 24 MS. CARITIS: Curtis, it's like 25 super in the back and unfortunately I

Page 363 1 WEINER don't know the Bates number, but it's 2 Exhibit D of what we're calling -- we 3 labeled as Exhibit 1. It says 4 Reporting Sexual Violence in the Uber 5 6 App. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 BY MS. CARITIS: 9 O. And I want to just talk a little 10 bit about the flow for reporting as you 11 identify it here. So at a high level, 12 let's find it because I know you're going 13 to want me to be precise. 14 Your opinion 8 is that "As of 15 September 2025 (the time of this report), 16 Uber rider app still lacks a clear 17 dedicated and intuitive pathway for sexual 18 assault and misconduct, instead requiring 19 riders to use vague categories such as 'driver behavior' or 'other.'" 2.0 21 That's your opinion 8 of at least 22 the first few sentences and then you 23 continue to elaborate; is that right? 24 Α. Exactly what is written here, 25 yes.

Page 364

WEINER

2.0

Q. Now, I want to go to what Curtis pulled up for us and that's your Exhibit D which describes the flow for Uber's reporting here.

So it looks like you took a screenshot from your own Uber application and you identify on page 3 of this exhibit that at the top of the screen you see a clearly labeled blue icon visible on the upper right-hand corner of the main screen which says Safety. That's what you included in this page; right?

- A. This particular part of the appendix is going over those features that are available during the ride.
- Q. Okay. And there's actually a feature on here that is available before. And if we look at the bottom of your screenshot I see a license plate that starts with T, I see the type of vehicle, I see the driver's name and I see a star rating. Do you see that at the bottom of page?
 - A. I see these descriptors of the

Page 365 1 WEINER 2 driver and the vehicle and the car, yes. 3 And both have the Uber passenger and as an expert in this litigation, 4 5 you're aware that anytime an individual is matched with a driver, a safety feature 6 7 that was built into the product is that 8 I'm provided the license plate and the 9 name and rating of the driver. You're aware of that? 10 11 I've had the experience of seeing Α. that feature and I have seen Uber's 12 13 documents describing that as a safety 14 feature. 15 Okay. And turning to the next Ο. 16 page of your exhibit, and what you're describing here is not only does it say 17 18 safety at the top of the screen but if you 19 click in, you're actually then provided 2.0 with some additional in-trip safety 21 features. Do you see that identified on 22 page 4 of your report? 23 Α. Absolutely. And the safety features that it 24 Ο. 25 immediately brings to your screen are

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 366 1 WEINER 2 Contact 911, Record audio, Share trip 3 status and Report safety issue. Do you 4 see that? Α. I do. 5 Looks like then you took some 6 7 screenshots of actually clicking in to the features that are available to all riders 8 9 in-app during trip and the first on page 5 is the 911 Assistance. Do you see that? 10 11 Α. I do. 12 Ο. And it looks like there's two 13 options to trigger this feature. You can 14 swipe or swipe to call or click button to 15 Do you see that's been included and 16 integrated into the Uber platform? 17 I do. We talked about those 18 features earlier today. 19 Okay. You note in the text above Ο. the screenshots, "A rider who is 2.0 21 experiencing sexual violence while still 22 on a ride might reasonably select this 23 option if they are able to do so under high stress and traumatic circumstances." 24 25 Do you see that?

Page 367 1 WEINER 2 I do. Α. 3 Ο. Okay. You're not providing an 4 opinion one way or the other about whether or not a sexual assault victim would be 5 more likely or not to be able to utilize 6 7 this feature; right? MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I do not have an opinion on whether or not a rider who 10 11 is experiencing sexual violence while still on the ride might reasonably 12 13 select this option if they are able to do so under high-stress and traumatic 14 15 circumstances. I pulled that 16 particular data point from Uber's own 17 documents. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 The next page, moving to page 6, Ο. 2.0 you identify additional options that are 21 available during the ride, including Share 22 My Trip, audio recording and others and 23 something that explicitly says report 24 safety issue and direct someone to the 25 previous 911 button option. Do you see

Page 368 1 WEINER that where you identified those 2 3 screenshots on page 6? Yes, I took those screenshots in 4 Α. 5 my Uber app on the date enumerated in this section. 6 7 Ο. And then on page 7, we're still 8 kind of in the during trip features and 9 availability and we see Get more safety check-ins, Use PIN verification, Record 10 11 audio, Share trip. This is identifying 12 the ability to automatically initiate various safety features on the Uber 13 14 application whenever somebody gets in the 15 vehicle; right? 16 As you can see at the bottom in 17 the schedule section, this highlights that 18 you can schedule it for all rides. I 19 believe pressing that button gives you 2.0 other options as well. 21 In fact, Uber actually says that Ο. it's recommended to schedule the safety 22 23 features that it has created for all 24 rides. Do you see that there at the 25 bottom?

Page 369 1 WEINER 2 The word recommended clearly Α. appears on that button, yes. 3 And as we said, one of the four 4 Ο. safety features that you can schedule as a 5 rider is record audio; right? 6 That is true. The record audio 7 Α. 8 feature can send us a recording to report 9 safety issue. It will record on the rider's phone in its current 10 11 implementation. 12 O. And because there's the ability to schedule it, there's the functionality 13 14 for the audio recording to turn on during 15 a trip, even if the rider is unable for 16 whatever reason to initiate the recording 17 by hitting a button; right? MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 18 19 THE WITNESS: As I understand the 2.0 safety feature, Uber riders have the 21 ability to turn an audio recording as 22 a default option that will record from 23 the start to the end of an Uber ride. 24 BY MS. CARITIS: Page 8 and 9 talk about another 25 Q.

Page 370 1 WEINER 2 in-app option that Uber has integrated and 3 actually rolled out through its product development lifecycle, and that's contact 4 a safety agent where there's either a text 5 or a phone call from ADT. You're aware of 6 that feature? 7 I have documented that feature on 8 9 page 8 and 9 of this appendix. 10 You then on pages 10 and 11, you 11 then move on to reporting availability 12 after a trip has ended; is that right? 13 Α. This particular paragraph 10 14 begins the process of post-ride reporting, 15 yes. 16 Okay. Do you have an opinion as Ο. 17 to -- is it your opinion that Uber should have additional reporting available while 18 19 they are on trip? 2.0 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: The question I was 22 asked and enumerated in my opinion 8 23 was that it still lacks a clear 24 dedicated and intuitive reporting 25 pathway for sexual assault and sexual

Page 371 1 WEINER 2 That is the only opinion misconduct. 3 I am positing as to the safety features. 4 BY MS. CARITIS: 5 Okay. So you're not specifically 6 Q. 7 opining as to when that clear pathway 8 should exist, it's simply identifying that 9 there is not a clear reporting pathway; is 10 that right? 11 MS. ELLIS: Form. 12 THE WITNESS: As of 2025 at the time of this report, Uber's rider app 13 still lacks a clear dedicated and 14 15 intuitive reporting pathway for sexual 16 assault and misconduct. It goes on 17 instead requiring riders to use vague 18 categories such as driver behavior or other. We can refer back to the 19 2.0 question I was asked, if that would be 21 helpful earlier in my report. 22 BY MS. CARITIS: 23 No, this is great. We can keep Ο. 24 going, thank you. 25 So are you defining reporting

Page 372 1 WEINER 2 pathway to exclude safety features that report incidents directly to a safety 3 agent or 911? 4 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 5 THE WITNESS: I am not including 6 7 or excluding any safety feature at 8 all. The ability to enumerate and 9 call 911 does not constitute, as I was asked, a clear dedicated and intuitive 10 11 reporting pathway for sexual assault and misconduct. It gives the --12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 14 Ο. That's --15 A. -- ability to call 911. 16 Well, you also see information Ο. 17 that Uber provides ADT and 911. 18 provides the vehicle information along 19 with some information concerning the trip. 2.0 So is it your opinion that the ADT button 21 and the 911 button does not constitute a 22 reporting avenue? 23 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 24 So as you know, THE WITNESS: 25 I've been really precise with this.

Page 373 1 WEINER 2 The ability to call a 911 agent or call an ADT key agent is different 3 than a clear dedicated and intuitive 4 reporting pathway for sexual assault 5 and misconduct. If I'm looking at 6 this ADT button I do not see the words 7 sexual assault, I don't see the words 8 9 sexual misconduct. I have seen in 10 other rideshare apps much clearer 11 pathways for reporting these kinds of 12 issues. Clear pathways does not 13 appear as of my report in the Uber 14 rideshare app. 15 BY MS. CARITIS: So let's talk a little bit about 16 that because you made a big deal about how 17 18 there aren't that many people in the 19 rideshare industry, it's really just Uber 2.0 and Lyft. So are you suggesting that Lyft 21 has a clearer reporting pathway for sexual 22 assault or sexual misconduct in their app? 23 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 24 I do not have THE WITNESS: 25 documented here in my report the

Page 374 1 WEINER 2 screenshots that I have seen on the 3 Lyft app at this time. BY MS. CARITIS: 4 You cut out a little bit. Can 5 Ο. you repeat that? I'm sorry, Mr. Weiner. 6 7 Sure. My review of the Lyft app 8 was not included in my final report so no, 9 I do not have an opinion as to Lyft's app at this time. 10 11 What were you referring to when Ο. 12 you said you've seen in other rideshare 13 applications clearer reporting structures than Uber's? 14 15 I've seen the word sexual 16 violence in the Lyft app. 17 So sitting here today, you're 18 saying that Lyft has a clear reporting 19 structure because you've seen the term 2.0 sexual violence in their app? 21 MS. ELLIS: Objection to form, 22 misstates the testimony. 23 THE WITNESS: If you would like, 24 I can go back and get the Lyft app 25 terms and be very precise. At this

Page 375 1 WEINER 2 stage and moment, what I'm going to say is that I was comparing this 3 process to other rideshare apps and in 4 a relative basis, this was not as 5 clear as others that I saw. I can 6 7 give precise answers to your questions 8 if you would like. 9 MS. CARITIS: If you're relying 10 on any other materials including the 11 Lyft application, then I would ask that you please supplement your 12 13 reliance list and provide those 14 materials because they are not 15 currently stated. 16 MS. ELLIS: Object to form and 17 mischaracterizes the testimony. 18 THE WITNESS: I did not say I 19 relied on them, I said I saw them. 2.0 They are different. My reliance is 21 entirely documented in this report. This report clearly shows the Uber 22 23 screens. Nowhere on the Uber screens do you see the words sexual violence 24 25 or sexual misconduct in any way, shape

Page 376 1 WEINER 2 or form throughout the reporting 3 process. The opportunities to make such reports involve driver behavior 4 and other. 5 BY MS. CARITIS: 6 7 Q. Okay. Just to be very clear, I 8 asked you what your basis was for 9 concluding that the Uber structure was not clear and you said it's based on what 10 11 you've seen in other rideshare 12 applications, to which I asked what are 13 you talking about and you said Lyft. So that's what I'm talking about. If you are 14 15 relying on Lyft, that's great, but I ask 16 that you please produce those documents, 17 or if that's not what you're saying, to 18 clarify so I can understand the basis for 19 your opinion that Uber's reporting 2.0 structure on its app is some way less 21 clear than in other rideshares, that's 22 what I would like to know. So if you have 23 basis for that, I would like to know the 24 basis. Counsel, I'm going to 25 MS. ELLIS:

Page 377 1 WEINER 2 have to object to your entire soliloguy there. That is not what his 3 testimony was. He did not say he was 4 5 relying upon anything that he's seen, simply mentioning that he'd seen it 6 7 and that all of his opinions and the bases for his opinions are contained 8 9 in this report. And we can have our 10 court reporter read it back. It's at 11 lines 361-12 to line 361-18 if it's 12 unclear because he did not say he was 13 relying on anything other than what's 14 in his report. 15 THE WITNESS: Everything I relied 16 on for these opinions is in this 17 report and the opinion does not state 18 a comparison. It states that the Uber 19 rider app lacks a clear dedicated and 2.0 intuitive reporting pathway. 21 BY MS. CARITIS: 22 Okay. So to come to that Ο. 23 opinion, you did not conduct a comparative analysis between the reporting mechanisms 24 25 or structures in the Lyft platform to come

Page 378 1 WEINER to this opinion, you didn't do a 2 3 comparative analysis; right? That is correct. The analysis 4 Α. that I put it is enumerated and documented 5 in the screenshots in the exhibit we were 6 7 just going through. 8 Did you ask any rideshare 9 passenger whether they agreed with you that it was unclear that if they had a 10 11 sexual assault complaint, they should tap 12 driver behavior, did you ask anyone else if they didn't think that wasn't clear? 13 14 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: My opinion, like 16 all of my opinions in this report, are 17 based on my 37 years of experience in 18 product development. Any external 19 data that I used as part of the basis 2.0 for my opinions is documented in my 21 report. I did not enumerate, nor did 22 I ask any other individuals for their 23 opinion in order to safely form my opinion that the Uber rider app still 24 25 lacks a clear, dedicated and intuitive

Page 379 1 WEINER 2 reporting pathway. BY MS. CARITIS: 3 4 So it's based on your -- let's 0. look at this a little more. On page 12, 5 let's start actually where is it? On page 6 7 11 of your report, we're after trip now, 8 and you note that you can rate a trip and 9 then you're provided options to provide more feedback. 10 11 Α. That is correct. Okay. On page 12, you can 12 0. 13 identify more trip issues and one is 14 Driver behavior. Do you see that? 15 Α. That is correct, I see the page 16 13. 17 O. And it's your opinion that if 18 somebody is trying to report a sexual 19 misconduct or sexual assault, they are not 2.0 going to know to click on the term driver 21 behavior? 22 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: My opinion as 24 written here is that when pressing the 25 more issues option, you are presented

Page 380 1 WEINER 2 a screen with five options but none of the options directly indicate sexual 3 violence. Driver behavior is listed 4 as the only option that lastly might 5 6 be selected to make as much a report 7 when considering Dangerous driving, Vehicle, Navigation and Pickup/Dropoff 8 9 as the other options. BY MS. CARITIS: 10 11 Okay. And the basis for your O. opinion that it's unclear, that if I'm 12 trying to report a sexual assault or 13 sexual misconduct I should hit driver 14 15 behavior is your 37 years of experience in 16 product development, that the basis; 17 right? 18 MS. ELLIS: Objection. 19 THE WITNESS: The basis of my 2.0 opinions is clearly documented in my 21 report. All of the paragraphs that 22 follow on the exhibit collectively 23 represent the basis for my opinion. 24 You may --/// 25

Page 381 1 WEINER 2 BY MS. CARITIS: 3 Ο. Okay but ---- have referenced a number of 4 Α. articles as well in this opinion. All of 5 this collectively reflects my opinion. 6 7 Respectfully in Exhibit A there's Q. 8 not a single citation. So your opinion 9 that it's unclear --10 MS. ELLIS: Did you misspeak? 11 Exhibit A is the CV. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 Okay, whatever one, Exhibit D, there are no citations in Exhibit D; 14 15 correct? 16 The citations I'm referring to Α. 17 directly follow opinion 8. We have the rider app screen, shots of Exhibit D, the 18 19 deposition of Hanna Nilles, Uber document 2.0 ending in 59. We have ISO 2018, the 21 ergonomics of human system interaction, 22 the documents -- I'm so sorry. 23 getting towards the end of the day. I will be slower and more clear. 24 25 I will simply state the entire

Page 382 1 WEINER 2 basis of my opinion is enumerated in the paragraphs and the footnotes that follow 3 opinion 8. 4 You did not take into account 5 Ο. that Uber has many other ways aside from 6 7 in-app reporting to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct; correct? 8 9 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: I was responding to 11 a specific question and enumerated a 12 specific opinion which I've documented 13 herein. I am very well aware of 14 Uber's ability to report sexual 15 assault and sexual misconduct. 16 were not responsive to the question or 17 the opinion that I gave. BY MS. CARITIS: 18 19 Okay. And the question that was Ο. 2.0 asked to you was posed by plaintiffs' 21 counsel; right? They asked you to look 22 into a specific question about the in-app 23 reporting options? 24 The questions I was asked as 25 documented in my report was whether Uber's

Page 383 1 WEINER 2 post-reporting features, i.e., the features drivers could use to report 3 4 sexual violence incident during or after trips, together with Uber's use of 5 6 internal reporting records and its 7 available GPS/telemetry capabilities, were 8 designed, implemented, and governed 9 consistent with recognized product development and software lifecycle 10 11 standards in risk-sensitive applications. 12 Did you look at whether or not Ο. 13 United has a clear reporting pathway for sexual assault or sexual misconduct? 14 15 Object to form. MS. ELLIS: 16 THE WITNESS: I did not review 17 the current United application in consideration of whether Uber's 18 19 post-reporting features were 2.0 consistent with recognized product 21 development and software lifecycle standards, no, I did not. 22 23 BY MS. CARITIS: 24 You didn't look at any other 0. 25 company's post-reporting or any reporting

	Page 384
1	WEINER
2	mechanisms for reporting sexual assault in
3	coming to your opinion; is that right?
4	MS. ELLIS: Object to form.
5	THE WITNESS: As I discussed,
6	with my 37 years of experience, my
7	extensive role as a traveler, using
8	almost every travel app that is out
9	there, an active rider on Uber and
10	Lyft, I had information in my brain
11	that was broad and comprehensive.
12	What I relied on to form my opinion is
13	specifically documented in the
14	paragraphs that follow opinion 8.
15	MS. CARITIS: Okay. Could we
16	quickly pull up 33 and 34 and we'll
17	mark those collectively as Exhibit 11,
18	and these reflect current reporting
19	options on United Airlines.
20	MS. ELLIS: Can we get a time
21	check when we have a moment?
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: There's 11
23	minutes left.
24	(Exhibit 11, form on the United
25	website, marked for identification.)

Page 385

1 WEINER

BY MS. CARITIS:

2.0

- Q. So Mr. Weiner, what we've just marked as Exhibit 11 is a form on the United website that attempts to allow an individual to report an issue and if we see my colleague Paul went through it the other day, we see he put his confirmation number for his flight and his last name and then he's provided a few options at the bottom. I don't see a reference to sexual assault anywhere there. Do you?
- A. I do not see a reference to sexual assault or sexual misconduct there. That does not surprise me because the relevant impact and frequency of sexual assault and sexual misconduct on an airplane are not the same as the incidence and impact of a sexual assault in an Uber and I would not expect these two companies to have the same reporting features.
- Q. Okay. Well, would it surprise you to learn that the FBI has put out multiple statements concerning the incidents of sexual assault and sexual

Page 386 1 WEINER 2 misconduct that are occurring on airplanes? 3 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: I did not say that 5 sexual assault and sexual misconduct 6 never occur on airplanes. I enumerate 7 8 the relevant risk position as we 9 talked about extensively in ISO 31000 that must be taken into consideration 10 11 when making these assessments. 12 BY MS. CARITIS: 13 You're assuming that there are 0. 14 less sexual assaults that occur relatively 15 on airplanes than Ubers; right? 16 didn't look up statistics concerning 17 sexual assault on airplanes? 18 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I did not look up current statistics related to sexual 2.0 21 assaults on Ubers. As you're well 22 aware, my experience at United was 23 some time ago but I was aware of all sorts of incidents that occurred on 24 25 airplanes during my time at United.

Page 387 1 WEINER 2 MS. CARITIS: Curtis, if we can scroll down a little bit on the 3 document, we can let Mr. Weiner see 4 other ways in which -- and if that's 5 the end of that one, then we can go to 6 7 tab 34 which will be Exhibit 12. (Exhibit 12, document entitled 8 9 Customer Care, marked for identification.) 10 11 BY MS. CARITIS: 12 O. This is the customer care form 13 and if you scroll down it says it provides 14 you some options to make some -- make a 15 complaint. You can click on complaint. 16 When I click on complaint I'm 17 then taken down -- Mr. Delaney, you can 18 scroll down -- to inflight experience. I 19 quess that's what I would do. And then 2.0 you can go to about and you can identify a 21 safety issue. Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And then it takes you to 24 another form where you can keep filling out some information and then it ends up 25

Page 388 1 WEINER 2 just providing me at the very bottom an 3 open answer box where I can provide a description of what occurred here. Do you 4 see that? 5 I'm seeing that for the first 6 Α. 7 time now with you. Okay. Seeing it for the first 8 9 time because you didn't look at any other 10 reporting processing that other industries 11 or companies have to report safety 12 incidents; is that right? 13 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I considered 14 15 product development standards, not 16 other companies' reactions to the 17 risks that they are given. 18 MS. CARITIS: Okay. And let's 19 take a look at 35 and then I promise 2.0 we're done. 35 we'll mark as Exhibit 21 13. 22 (Exhibit 13, document from United 23 messaging, marked for identification.) 24 BY MS. CARITIS: 25 Q. This is a different way that you

Page 389 1 WEINER 2 can get in touch with United. It's one of 3 those chat bots and we put in I was sexually assaulted on a flight and the 4 response was to just send us right to a 5 6 feedback form. Do you see that there? 7 I see that in front of me now. Α. 8 Ο. Mr. Weiner, you would agree that 9 based on what we just looked at, United certainly doesn't have a clear reporting 10 11 structure available on its website for 12 sexual assault or sexual misconduct; 13 right? 14 Object to form. MS. ELLIS: 15 THE WITNESS: The United screens 16 that you showed me do not show what I 17 have looked for and characterized in 18 my opinion as a clear, dedicated and 19 intuitive reporting pathway for sexual 2.0 assault and sexual misconduct, which 21 in my opinion is consistent with the 22 difference in sexual assault risk on a 23 United Airlines flight and in an Uber. 24 So you said the one MS. CARITIS: 25 that you believe you saw sexual

	Page 390
1	WEINER
2	assault reference was Lyft. We
3	actually were able to find a
4	screenshot of the reporting mechanism
5	there.
6	So Mr. Delaney, if you could pull
7	up the new tab that just came your
8	way, that will be Exhibit 14.
9	(Exhibit 14, document from Lyft's
10	website, marked for identification.)
11	MS. CARITIS: And it's from
12	Lyft's website. We'll get it in a
13	second up.
14	MS. ELLIS: I'm going to object
15	to this entire line of questioning as
16	to its relevance outside the scope of
17	Mr. Weiner's testimony as well as his
18	report.
19	MS. CARITIS: He has an entire
20	section on reporting sexual violence
21	in the Uber app.
22	BY MS. CARITIS:
23	Q. Here we see Lyft's reporting and
24	it says something happened during my ride.
25	I have a few options I can pick, Lost and

Page 391 1 WEINER 2 found, Accident, Feedback on driver, Refused service animal, I was refused 3 4 service due to a mobility device. Do you see those options? 5 6 Α. I do. 7 Before you thought maybe you had Q. 8 seen something explicitly mentioning 9 sexual assault or sexual misconduct. You would agree here that it doesn't say 10 11 anything on this document on Lyft's 12 reporting mechanism concerning sexual 13 misconduct or sexual assault? 14 I was not referring to this 15 reporting mechanism. I have never looked 16 at this reporting mechanism before. 17 Okay. Sitting here today, you Ο. 18 don't recall one way or the other whether 19 you have seen something on Lyft that 2.0 explicitly allows you to report sexual 21 assault or you do think you've seen it? MS. ELLIS: Object to form and 22 23 the witness has just made clear that 24 he has not looked at this reporting 25 mechanism from the Lyft website before

	Page 392
1	WEINER
2	today.
3	MS. CARITIS: I'm asking about
4	the app.
5	BY MS. CARITIS:
6	Q. You're saying that you've never
7	seen this website. Have you ever seen any
8	other Lyft reporting mechanism before?
9	A. I have seen the Lyft reporting
10	mechanism in-app.
11	Q. And is it your testimony under
12	oath today sitting here today that you
13	think it says sexual assault on in-app
14	reporting but it doesn't say it on the
15	website?
16	MS. ELLIS: Object to form.
17	THE WITNESS: I cannot recall
18	sitting here today.
19	MS. CARITIS: You can take that
20	down, Curtis, thanks so much. That's
21	all I've got. So I don't know if you
22	want to go off the record, Tiffany, or
23	if you want to ask him questions.
24	It's totally up to you what you want
25	to do first.

Page 393 1 WEINER 2 MS. ELLIS: Can we just go off the record for a quick bio break and 3 I'll ask him questions. 4 THE WITNESS: We're going off the 5 record. This is the end of media 7. 6 The time is 6:10. 7 (Recess taken from 6:10 p.m. to 8 6:23 p.m.) 9 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 11 the record. This is the beginning of 12 media unit 8. The time is 6:23. 13 EXAMINATION BY MS. ELLIS: 14 15 Mr. Weiner, you and I have met 16 before and I've been defending you 17 throughout the deposition today, but I'll introduce myself for the sake of the 18 19 record. 2.0 My name is Tiffany Ellis and I'm 21 the attorney for the plaintiffs in this 22 I just have a few follow-up matter. 23 questions to ask you about some of the 24 things you were asked about today; is that 25 okay?

Page 394 1 WEINER 2 Of course. Α. 3 Ο. You were just asked some 4 questions about your time -- about United Airlines and the reporting structure for 5 6 potential sexual assaults that occurred 7 Do you recall that? there. 8 Α. I was. 9 Ο. To your knowledge, is United Airlines in the business of sending a 10 11 single pilot to provide a one-on-one ride 12 with a single flier? 13 Α. No, they are --14 MS. CARITIS: Form. 15 THE WITNESS: -- not. 16 BY MS. FLLIS: 17 Mr. Weiner, you also referenced a Ο. 18 footnote in your report earlier today, 19 number 2 which is on page 8 of your 2.0 report, and that states that United 21 Airlines in 2005 provided 67 million 22 passengers, a total of 114 billion 23 mainline passenger miles. Do you remember 24 that? I do. 25 Α.

Page 395 1 WEINER 2 You're not aware of the number of Ο. miles or trips United Airlines provided in 3 any given year now in 2025, are you? 4 I have not seen that number Α. 5 recently, no. 6 7 Would you expect that it went 8 down based on your experience and with 9 United Airlines in the transportation industry since 2005? 10 11 MS. CARITIS: Form. 12 THE WITNESS: My experience gives 13 me a reason to hypothesize that it has gone up since 2005, having recovered 14 15 from COVID and having merged with 16 Continental Airlines. 17 BY MS. ELLIS: 18 Ο. You were also asked some 19 questions about the FBI investigating incidents of sexual assaults aboard 2.0 21 aircraft in the United States. Do you 22 recall that? 23 Α. Yes, I was asked by Ms. Caritis 24 about sexual assaults on airlines. 25 Q. I just was able to look up an

Page 396 1 WEINER 2 article from FBI.gov dated April 29, 2025 3 that says that the FBI in 2024 4 investigated 104 sexual assaults aboard aircraft cases. Is that a number from a 5 product development safety you would be 6 7 interested in comparing to the total number of rides if you were to look at 8 9 reporting processes? 10 MS. CARITIS: Form. 11 THE WITNESS: So yes, the 12 relative scale of a small hundred 13 number of sexual assaults is not the 14 same scale and impact as I have stated 15 we have seen in the data at Uber and, 16 therefore, I would not expect the same 17 reporting features to be present. BY MS. FILITS: 18 19 You were asked some questions Ο. 2.0 earlier by Uber's counsel positing that 21 from 2017 to 2022 that .006 percent of the 22 trips in the United States have reported 23 sexual assault and misconduct. Do you 24 remember that? I recall that statement. 25 Α.

Page 397 1 WEINER 2 You are not testifying in this Ο. case about the number of reports that were 3 received by Uber, are you? 4 5 Α. I do not have any opinions that specifically refer to the number of 6 7 reports received by Uber. 8 Are you aware, however, from 9 information produced after your deposition 10 or after your report was produced 11 regarding the number of reports that Uber 12 has received of sexual report assaults and 13 misconduct? MS. CARITIS: 14 Form. 15 I have seen a THE WITNESS: 16 document which I have in front of me 17 regarding Flack data that has been 18 produced by Uber talking about the 19 total number of reports on the Uber 2.0 platform in that time period. 21 We can go ahead and MS. ELLIS: 22 share that document now and I will 23 mark that for the record as Exhibit 24 Hopefully you will be able to see 25 my screen.

	Page 398
1	WEINER
2	MS. CARITIS: I think we marked
3	an 11. Didn't we already mark an 11?
4	MS. ELLIS: I only see 10 in the
5	Box.
6	MR. DELANEY: Yes, the next free
7	exhibit number is 15.
8	MS. ELLIS: We'll mark this
9	Exhibit 15.
10	(Exhibit 15, document, marked for
11	identification.)
12	BY MS. ELLIS:
13	Q. Are you aware from your review of
14	this document the number of reports of
15	sexual assault and misconduct that Uber
16	received between 2017 and 2022?
17	MS. CARITIS: Form, scope.
18	THE WITNESS: According to this
19	document we are looking at roughly
20	half a million.
21	MS. ELLIS: I move to admit that
22	as Exhibit 15.
23	BY MS. ELLIS:
24	Q. Mr. Weiner, you also testified
25	earlier about you were asked some

Page 399 1 WEINER 2 questions about your LinkedIn profile. 3 you remember that? 4 I do remember seeing my LinkedIn Α. profile presented by counsel. 5 6 Can we pull up Exhibit 4 again Ο. 7 for a moment? Before we get there, let me 8 just ask you this: In your LinkedIn 9 profile, does it include all of the main 10 areas of your experience and expertise 11 that you have earned over the last 37 12 years as a -- in product development? 13 My LinkedIn profile is not Α. 14 intended, nor is it a complete 15 representation of all of the skills and 16 experience I have developed over the last 37 years. 17 18 O. Does it reflect your core 19 foundational knowledge and experience? 2.0 Α. It reflects my work experience as 21 a chronology over the time period since 22 graduating college to date. 23 Now we can go ahead to Exhibit 4 24 for a moment. 25 Mr. Weiner, when this exhibit was

Page 400 1 WEINER 2 pulled up before, I think you made a 3 comment about your photo that you were a 4 little bit younger in that picture. Do you recall how long ago that was? 5 I believe counsel has posited 6 Α. that this was 2010. I believe that 7 8 picture is older than that. 9 O. But this Web page as you 10 discussed was from around that time, 2010, 11 over 15 years ago; is that right? 12 Α. That is correct. 13 Ο. And at this time that you had 14 this Web page up you then had 20 years of 15 professional experience; is that right? 16 Α. That is correct. 17 And was that in areas that we Ο. 18 just discussed that are reflected on your 19 LinkedIn pages? 2.0 Α. That is correct. 21 We can go ahead and take that Ο. 22 down. 23 Α. I love that picture. 24 You were also asked some Ο. 25 questions about paragraph 27 in your

Page 401 1 WEINER 2 report and your methodologies. Do you 3 rely on all of those core experiences even 4 if not articulated exclusively in paragraph 27 of your report in formulating 5 your searches and review of records in 6 7 this litigation? 8 Α. Absolutely. As I stated in my 9 report, I've used the sum of my 37 years of experience in forming the criteria to 10 11 identify relevant documentation. You were also asked some 12 O. 13 questions earlier about documents and 14 budgets. Do you remember that? 15 I was asked if I was aware of the 16 total spending on safety features, yes. 17 Ο. Why is that important to you as 18 an expert in product development and 19 product lifecycles? 2.0 Α. As I highlighted to counsel, 21 there are certain documents that could be 22 extremely helpful. Knowing the relative 23 amount of money spent involves the 24 I highlighted those particular returns. 25 documents in a footnote in my report.

Page 402 1 WEINER 2 And why did you highlight that? Ο. Because when as a consultant I am 3 Α. often asked to consider the product 4 development lifecycle, I find it helpful 5 6 to have budgets and PV analysis, return 7 analysis to consider the product development lifecycle overall. 8 9 O. Counsel for Uber also asked you 10 some questions about whether you know the 11 total amount of money that Uber spent on 12 safety initiatives at any point in time. Do you recall that? 13 14 I do recall that question. Α. 15 Would it be helpful for you to Ο. 16 have Uber's overall budget if you knew 17 that number in order to provide any 18 additional opinions related to those in 19 your report? 2.0 MS. CARITIS: Form. 21 THE WITNESS: Sorry, were you 22 objecting? 23 MS. CARITIS: I just said form. 24 THE WITNESS: Sorry, yes. 25 yes, as I highlighted in a particular

Page 403 1 WEINER 2 paragraph in my report, the relative spend on safety and investment was 3 Knowing the 4 between total dollar amount spent and the 5 relative proportion of that number in 6 7 the overall context of Uber's budgets 8 and revenue could be a helpful piece 9 of information to have. BY MS. ELLIS: 10 11 Ο. Why does that matter? 12 Α. Because we are considering Uber's 13 choices in a product development lifecycle. Those choices come out in 14 15 feature prioritization and budgets, and 16 trying to understand the choices that they 17 made to define those features and budgets 18 is important in understanding their 19 overall prioritization process. 2.0 O. Does your assessment of scale 21 have anything to do with that analysis? 22 MS. CARITIS: Form. 23 THE WITNESS: The scale of Uber 24 is very relevant to my analysis in terms of the number of humans on the 25

	Page 404
1	WEINER
2	dollars spent on safety features
3	absolutely.
4	BY MS. ELLIS:
5	Q. You were also asked some
6	questions about the taxis and Uber
7	(inaudible)?
8	A. Yes, counsel asked a number of
9	questions about taxis and comparisons to
10	taxis.
11	Q. You, I think, stated on the
12	record that Uber has more capability than
13	taxis. Does that surprise you?
14	MS. CARITIS: Form.
15	THE WITNESS: I'm neither
16	surprised nor am I in any way modified
17	to change any of my opinions based on
18	the relative scale of Uber and its
19	ability to make technology investments
20	and taxis and their relative ability
21	to make technology investments.
22	BY MS. ELLIS:
23	Q. I think you referenced paragraph
24	27 of your report to talk about the
25	foreseeability of sexual assaults in

Page 405 1 WEINER 2 Would that have any impact on your 3 comparison to taxi industry? Sorry, what paragraph? 4 Α. 27. 5 0. Page 27? 6 Α. 7 Q. Paragraph 27. Perhaps it was 8 page 27. We can move on. I think I've 9 cited the wrong question about after the wrong paragraph. But I will ask you again 10 11 in considering the difference between 12 sexual assault, the possibility of sexual assaults in taxis and -- in taxis versus 13 14 Uber, does foreseeability enter your 15 equation at all? 16 MS. CARITIS: Form. 17 The foreseeability THE WITNESS: of sexual assaults is a risk 18 19 consideration that must be taken into 2.0 account in a product development 21 lifecycle by a technology product like 22 Uber. 23 BY MS. ELLIS: 24 You were also asked some Ο. 25 questions about criminology. Do you

Page 406 1 WEINER 2 recall that? I do recall being asked if I was 3 Α. a criminologist. 4 While you said that you were not 5 Ο. 6 formally trained in criminology, but as a 7 consideration of crimes, that's something that has been a part of your work over the 8 9 last 37 years? 10 MS. CARITIS: Form. 11 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. As I described in a number of examples, we 12 13 consider crime risk specifically in financial services as it relates to 14 15 financial crime compliance. We 16 consider crimes from industries like 17 travel and travel agency services. 18 consider crimes in this particular 19 case quite considerably and I have 2.0 considered crimes across much of my 21 37-year career. 22 BY MS. ELLIS: 23 Ο. You were also asked some questions about cameras in vehicles 24 25 including a hypothetical that if a in-app

Page 407 1 WEINER 2 recording feature were required by Uber, a driver could simply put the phone down on 3 the seat. Do you recall that? 4 I do recall that question. 5 Α. In that scenario, even if the 6 Ο. 7 picture image was not visible, would audio still record? 8 9 Α. Audio --10 MS. CARITIS: Form. 11 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Forgive me. Audio recording would continue if 12 13 the driver put the phone down on the 14 seat in or in a glove compartment. 15 BY MS. ELLIS: 16 You were asked some questions 17 about Exhibit D to your report. Do you 18 recall that? And we can go ahead and flip 19 there. 2.0 Α. Absolutely. 21 Starting at paragraph 3, you were Ο. asked about the call 911 feature or text 22 23 911 that is discussed in paragraph 5. Do you recall that? 24 25 Α. I do recall that question.

Page 408 1 WEINER 2 So can you explain how many steps 3 would it take if a person, as you say Uber said, was experiencing sexual violence 4 while still on a ride have to go through 5 in the app before they were able to 6 7 connect with 911 during a sexual violence incident? 8 9 Α. If we can imagine what Uber has 10 characterized as a high-stress and 11 traumatic circumstance, they would need to 12 hit the safety blue button, they would 13 need to hit the contact 911 button, they 14 would need to choose between the swipe to 15 call 911 or the text 911 button, at which 16 point they would either be connected to a 17 911 operator or begin a text conversation. And all of the features that are 18 Ο. 19 covered in Appendix D to your report are 2.0 only available if you actually have access 21 to the Uber app online; is that correct? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 MS. CARITIS: Form. 24 THE WITNESS: Sorry. That's correct. You would need access to the 25

	Page 409
1	WEINER
2	Uber app to use these features
3	enumerated in Exhibit D.
4	BY MS. ELLIS:
5	Q. If a friend called an Uber ride
6	for me because my phone had died, would I
7	be able to use those feature during a
8	ride?
9	MS. CARITIS: Form.
10	THE WITNESS: Those features
11	would not be available during a ride
12	where a phone was dead.
13	MS. ELLIS: I have no further
14	questions at this time. Thank you,
15	Mr. Weiner.
16	FURTHER EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. CARITIS:
18	Q. I just have two quick follow-ups.
19	So on the questions that,
20	Mr. Weiner, Ms. Ellis was just asking you
21	about, are you familiar with the guest
22	ride feature?
23	A. I am familiar with the guest ride
24	feature in the Uber app.
25	Q. And on the guest ride feature, I

Page 410 1 WEINER 2 can, I do it all the time, order a ride 3 for my mother on my Uber app and then it 4 will connect with her own phone so that she's connected directly to the Uber 5 6 driver; right? 7 In the case that your mother's 8 phone had a battery and signal, she would 9 be able to connect to the Uber driver. If she had scheduled for the 10 Ο. 11 various safety features we discussed to 12 turn on automatically, is it your opinion 13 that her phone would need to have battery power in order to share her trip with me 14 15 still? 16 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 17 It's a little THE WITNESS: 18 complicated what you just asked so we 19 can just be really clear. If you set 2.0 up a ride for your mother and she was 21 in the vehicle with a dead phone, would she be able to notify you of the 22 23 in-phone recording or the follow-my-ride feature? 24 /// 25

Page 411
WEINER
BY MS. CARITIS:
Q. I'm talking about the instance
that Ms. Ellis just described. So we have
a guest, so my mother is my guest.
A. Yes.
Q. I order her a ride on my
application
A. Yes.
Q but I add her info
A. (Inaudible) cell phone.
Q. Exactly. Exactly.
A. Sorry.
Q. She then is connected her app
is connected to my ride but her battery is
dead.
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your opinion that
prescheduled safety features such as Share
My Trip would not work and track my
mother's ride?
MS. ELLIS: Objection, form and
outside the scope of redirect as well
as his opinion.
MS. CARITIS: You just asked him

Page 412 1 WEINER 2 if there were features, if they would 3 work if the phone was dead. talking about a scenario where the 4 safety features would still work. 5 MS. ELLIS: You can answer if 6 you're able. 7 8 THE WITNESS: I'm going to do my 9 So you've asked me if your 10 mother's dead phone can record or be 11 tracked on the GPS in her ride as a 12 guest? 13 BY MS. CARITIS: Would my mother's ride be tracked 14 Ο. 15 if she had done share her trip prior to 16 the phone schedule, prior to her phone dying? 17 18 Α. I must admit I am not precisely 19 clear if your mother's phone or the 2.0 driver's phone is the one reporting the 21 If your mother's phone is the one reporting GPS, it would not work. If the 22 23 driver's phone is the one reporting the GPS, it would work. 24 25 Q. And one last question.

Page 413 1 WEINER 2 Ms. Ellis opened up with talking about FBI investigations on airplanes and 3 4 she reported that she had identified a statistic where the FBI had been 5 6 investigating about a hundred sexual 7 assaults on the airplanes. You're not aware of statistics concerning total 8 9 number of sexual assaults reported to airlines; right? 10 11 I do not have a particular Α. statistic of total number of sexual 12 13 reports reported to airlines in 2025. 14 Ο. Yes. 15 I have experience while at United 16 and I know that number to be considerably 17 low. You also are aware that airlines 18 Ο. 19 don't have any mandatory reporting 2.0 requirements and do not publicly report 21 the total number of reported sexual 22 assaults on airlines? 23 Α. I have never --24 MS. ELLIS: Object to form. 25 ahead.

	Page 414
1	WEINER
2	THE WITNESS: I have never seen a
3	United Airlines' report on sexual
4	assault in the public domain.
5	MS. CARITIS: I have no further
6	questions. Thank you very much for
7	your patience today with me,
8	Mr. Weiner. I appreciate it.
9	MS. ELLIS: Just one last
10	question. Thank you everyone for your
11	patience.
12	FURTHER EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. ELLIS:
14	Q. In the situation where a rider's
15	phone is dead and there mandatory
16	recording through the app, would there
17	still be a record of either my ride or
18	Uber counsel's mother's ride?
19	A. As I have described in my
20	opinion, mandatory audio and video
21	recording which would primarily come from
22	the driver phone, that ride would be
23	recorded.
24	MS. ELLIS: No further questions.
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can I take us

	Page 415
1	WEINER
2	off the record?
3	MS. ELLIS: Yes.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the
5	record at 6:45 p.m. Eastern time and
6	this concludes today's testimony given
7	by Bruce Weiner. The total number of
8	media used was 8 and will be retained
9	by Veritext.
10	(Time noted: 6:45 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 416 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 STATE OF NEW YORK 4 : ss. 5 COUNTY OF NASSAU) 6 7 I, CATHI IRISH, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime 8 Reporter, and Notary Public within and for 9 10 the State of New York, do hereby certify: 11 That BRUCE WEINER, the witness whose 12 deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was 13 duly sworn by me and that such deposition 14 is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 15 I further certify that I am not 16 17 related to any of the parties to this 18 action by blood or marriage, and that I am 19 in no way interested in the outcome of 20 this matter. 21 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 22 set my hand this 28th day of October, 23 2025. 24 25 CATHI IRISH, RPR, CRR, CLVS

	Page 417
1	
2	I N D E X
3	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE
4	BRUCE WEINER MS. CARITIS 7, 409
5	MS. ELLIS 393, 414
6	
7	EXHIBITS
8	EXHIBIT NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
9	Exhibit 1, expert report 25
10	Exhibit 2, LinkedIn profile 28
11	Exhibit 3, LinkedIn experience page 31
12	Exhibit 4, Weiner.net home page 95
13	Exhibit 5, SEAK expert directory 123
14	page
15	Exhibit 6, invoices 146
16	Exhibit 7, document entitled 213
17	Foreward - Supplementary
18	information
19	Exhibit 8, document entitled Risk 230
20	management Guidelines ISO 31000
21	Exhibit 9, Esteves deposition aid 280
22	Exhibit 10, e-mail from Sytske 350
23	Besemer
24	Exhibit 11, form on the United 384
25	website

	THORET CONTIDENTIAL TITTOR VETS ETES		
		Page	418
1			
2	Exhibit 12, document entitled 387		
3	Customer Care		
4	Exhibit 13, document from United 388		
5	messaging		
6	Exhibit 14, document from Lyft's 390		
7	website		
8	Exhibit 15, document 398		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 419
1	
2	*** ERRATA SHEET ***
3	NAME OF CASE: In Re: Uber Technologies,
4	Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation
5	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 28, 2025
6	WITNESS: Bruce Weiner
7	
8	PAGE LINE FROM TO
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
	BRUCE WEINER
21	
22	
	Witness and sworn to before me
23	this day of, 2025.
24	
25	(Notary Public) My Commission Expires:
	Job No. CS7657810

	Page 420
1	
2	10/28/2025 - In Re: Uber Technologies,
	Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation
3	
4	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
5	I, Bruce Weiner, do hereby declare
6	that I have read the foregoing transcript,
7	I have made any corrections, additions, or
8	changes I deemed necessary as noted on the
9	errata to be appended hereto, and that the
10	same is a true, correct and complete
11	transcript of the testimony given by me.
12	
13	
14	BRUCE WEINER DATE
15	
16	
17	*IF NOTARY IS REQUIRED
18	
19	
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME
21	THIS, DAY OF, 20
22	
23	
24	
25	NOTARY PUBLIC
	Job No. CS7657810

	Page 421
1	TIFFANY ELLIS, ESQ.
2	tellis@peifferwolf.com
3	October 29, 2025
4	RE: In Re: Uber Rideshare Cases v.
5	10/28/2025, Bruce Weiner (#7657810)
6	The above-referenced transcript is available for
7	review.
8	Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should
9	read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are
LO	any changes, the witness should note those with the
L1	reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.
L2	The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of
L3	Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.
L4	Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at
L5	erratas-cs@veritext.com.
L6	Return completed errata within 30 days from
L7	receipt of testimony.
L8	If the witness fails to do so within the time
L9	allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.
20	
21	
22	Yours,
23	Veritext Legal Solutions
24	
25	